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ABSTRACT
In seismic modelling experiments, the propagating seismic wave experiences attenuation when real media is simulated. However, for computational ease, we often ignore this effect and model the Earth as either an acoustic or an elastic medium. In this paper, we briefly discuss the importance of considering attenuation in seismic modelling, by using a viscoelastic wave equation. Further we also briefly discuss the effects of attenuation on seismic data and its incorporation in seismic modelling. We find that the attenuation has a large effect on synthetic seismogram, which should be properly addressed in advanced seismic processing and imaging methods.

INTRODUCTION
Attenuation is a property of a medium due to which the energy of a seismic wave can be dissipated in the form of heat and thus leading to a reduction in the wave amplitude. Factors and phenomena responsible for the attenuation can be broadly classified as either extrinsic, or intrinsic. In extrinsic attenuation, redistribution of energy leads to a reduction of amplitudes, while in intrinsic attenuation, the waves suffer energy loss due to conversion of mechanical energy into heat. Commonly observed wave phenomena like geometric spreading, scattering, leaky modes, etc. can be cited as examples of extrinsic attenuation and they do not contribute towards intrinsic attenuation. In general, seismic attenuation is quantified in terms of quality factor (Q), which can be defined as a ratio of stored energy to dispersed energy, as it measures relative energy loss per oscillation cycle of the seismic waves. In ideal scenario, Q is related to the physical state of the rock and it increases with increase in density and velocity.

Since attenuation results in a loss of seismic energy, the recorded seismic traces are required to be compensated for an exact of amount of Q. To achieve this, determination of a precise Q model is required, especially in the high attenuating regions like Deccan Volcanic Province (DVP), where thick basalt sequences cause high attenuation to the propagating seismic waves [Vedanti et al., 2015, 2018]. In these areas, data processing using conventional methods may fail because of low signal to noise ratio, especially in the sub-basalt formations. In a synthetic study carried out by Malkoti et al., [2015], it is shown that due to attenuation, amplitudes of the late arrivals or amplitudes from deeper reflectors, are highly diminished in the generated seismogram, which makes processing and interpretation very challenging in sub-basalt layers. In recent past, attempts have been made to apply advanced techniques like full waveform inversion (FWI) to improve the seismic imaging. This technique needs ‘complete wave field information’ with precise amplitudes to obtain the accurate gradients and thus, it needs a Q structure of the domain. There are several techniques mentioned in the literature for estimation of Q from the acquired seismic data. Most of these techniques are based upon certain data attributes, such as spectral ratio technique which depends mainly on the amplitude, however, the seismic attenuation has a high influence on several other attributes known as the first order effect. Hence, considering the medium as an attenuating media, has its own consequences on Q estimation techniques and it is quite likely to obtain different values of Q from the same data using different methods [Tonn, 1991]. To understand this problem of the estimation of Q, we first need to understand the theory of viscoelasticity and the aspects we should consider while incorporating attenuation in a seismic modelling experiment. Thus in this paper, we briefly discuss these aspects of incorporating attenuation in seismic modelling and demonstrate its need by using a simple Earth model.

VISCOELASTIC MODELS
Viscoelasticity is a property of a medium that exhibits both viscous and elastic characteristics when it undergoes deformation. In a pure elastic medium, the process of ‘application and removal of the load’ follows energy conservation; however, in a viscoelastic material, it involves energy loss. The energy is dissipated during the loading and unloading cycle and thus obtained hysteresis curve area can be used to estimate the attenuation or the quality factor Q. There are several approaches available in literature to model the quality factor, which includes simple damping, frictional models, complex moduli, time dependent moduli etc. [Carcione, 2007; Kjartansson, 1979; Liu et al., 1976; Tal-Ezer et al., 1990]. However, in this paper, we preferred to follow more reasonable ‘time dependent moduli’ approach.
for which it is required to define the basic characteristics of a viscous material.

Ideal viscoelastic material exhibits the characteristics functions [creep and stress-relaxation functions] as shown in Figure 1. These characteristic functions are obtained under the two kinds of tests, where the first test is, “Creep Recovery Test”, and the second is “Stress Relaxation Test”. In case of the former, a constant stress is applied on the sample for a fixed duration of time and created stress changes are observed over the body. In the latter test, a constant strain/deformation is applied on the body and then the stress variation with time is observed.

Different mechanical models can be defined to describe such a viscoelastic material. In these models, number of parameters may vary from 1 to $N$ depending upon different configurations, which can be used to approximate the rheology of the material by satisfying the above defined tests functions. While doing so, we try to mimic the attenuation by using minimum number of elements to incorporate the correct amount of attenuation, while preserving the characteristics. Thus, we start with a simplest family of models i.e., the 1-element/parameter family that includes single springs or dashpots. In a pure elastic medium, there is no dissipation of energy, so it can be represented with a spring. However, a viscous material can lose the energy and hence it is modeled as a dashpot, which acts like a damper. Stress-strain relation for these two can be written as

For spring, $\sigma = k \epsilon$

For Dashpot, $\sigma = \eta \frac{d\epsilon}{dt}$

To represent the Earth more accurately, these two models shall be used in different combinations to form models with higher number of parameters. 1 spring and 1 dashpot model, is called as 2 parameters family. The spring and dashpot components can be arranged either in parallel or in series which are called as Kelvin-Voigt model and Maxwell model, respectively. These arrangements should properly model the characteristics shown in Figure 1. However, the Kelvin-Voigt model cannot model either creep function or stress-relaxation function correctly, which is a disadvantage while Maxwell model only has acceptable stress relaxation function. This limitation led to the inclusion of higher number of parameters such as 3-parameter model e.g., Zener model [Figure 2], also known as Standard Linear Solid (SLS) model, and 4-parameters model e.g., Burger model.

The most useful model to compute the time dependent moduli among these models is a Generalized Standard Linear Solid (GSLS) model for which the general stress-strain relation can be written as:

$$a_0 \sigma(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{l} a_i \frac{d^i \sigma(t)}{dt^i} = b_0 \epsilon(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{l} b_i \frac{d^i \epsilon(t)}{dt^i}$$ (1)

Where, $a_i$, $b_i$ are constants for a linear material. The complex modulus $M^*$ for a general viscoelastic material can be calculated by applying the sinusoidal varying
oscillating stress of given frequency, e.g., \( \sigma(\omega) = \sigma^0 \exp(i\omega t) \).
After certain amount of time the initial effect is negligible and the strain will also be in the form of \( \epsilon(\omega) = \epsilon^0 \exp(i\omega t) \).
The complex modulus of the material is given by Bland (1960); Christensen (2012).
\[
M(\omega) = \frac{\sigma^0(\omega)}{\epsilon^0(\omega)} = \frac{a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{L} a_i \omega^i}{b_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{L} b_i \omega^i}
\]  
(2)

Thus, the better approach to model time dependent moduli is to assemble many Zener models in parallel, which gives us a "Generalized Zener model" (Figure 3).

Viscoelastic wave formulation

There are many formulations available in literature to define the above mentioned viscoelastic materials in time domain. In this paper, we only discuss the memory variable approach which is based upon a SLS model (Carcione et al., 1988; Liu et al., 1976). As we know that the stress \( |\sigma| \) strain \( |\epsilon| \) relationship for a viscoelastic medium can be written in the form of convolution integral as
\[
\sigma_{ij} = \Lambda(t) \ast \epsilon_{ij}(t) + M \ast \epsilon_{ij}
\]  
(3)

Where \( \Lambda \) and \( M \) are the relaxation functions. A general relaxation function \( |\Psi| \) can be modeled with the help of SLS model comprised of L relaxation mechanisms (Carcione, 2007).
\[
\psi(t) = M_R \left[ 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{L} \left( 1 - \frac{t}{\tau^i} \right) \exp \left( - \frac{t}{\tau^i} \right) \right] H(t - t^*)
\]  
(4)

\( M_R \) is the relaxed modulus, \( H(t) \) is the heavy side function, \( \tau^i \) and \( \tau^i_x \) stress and strain relaxation times, respectively. The stress-strain and memory variable equations can be obtained by substituting the relaxation function (Eq. 4) into Eq. 3. Further, separate relaxation functions should be considered for the P-wave and S-wave. Thus, it will yield following relations:
\[
\frac{\partial \sigma_{ij}}{\partial t} = \Lambda \delta_{i,k} \delta_{ij} + 2M \delta_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{L} r_{ij}^l
\]  
(5)

\[
\frac{\partial r_{ij}^l}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{\tau^l} r_{ij}^l + \frac{1}{\tau^l} \Lambda^l \delta_{i,k} \delta_{ij} + \frac{1}{\tau^l} M^l (\epsilon_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij})
\]  
(6)

Where, we have \( \Lambda = [\Lambda_1^l]^l M^l \) and \( \Lambda^l = [\Lambda_1^l]^l - \epsilon^l M^l \). To simplify the equations we have assumed that, \( [\Lambda_1^l]^l \epsilon^l M^l \) and \( [\Lambda_1^l]^l \epsilon^l M^l \). Here \( \Lambda^l \) and \( 2M^l \) are relaxed modulus for respective waves, \( r_{ij}^l \) is known as the memory variable, and \( T^l \) and \( T^l_s \) stand for \( \frac{1}{2} (1 - \frac{1}{2}) \) and \( \frac{1}{2} (1 - \frac{1}{2}) \), respectively.

Eq. [5] and [6], along with the continuity equation, forms a complete set of equation for viscoelastic modelling. Thus the complete set of wave equation for 2D viscoelastic wave can be written in expanded form as follows.
\[
\rho \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \sigma_{xx}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{xz}}{\partial z} + \rho f_x
\]  
(7)

\[
\rho \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \sigma_{xz}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{zz}}{\partial z} + \rho f_z
\]  
(8)

\[
\frac{\partial \sigma_{xx}}{\partial t} = (\Lambda + 2M) \delta_{xx} + \Lambda \delta_{zz} - \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^{L} r_{xx}^l
\]  
(9)

\[
\frac{\partial \sigma_{xz}}{\partial t} = \Lambda \delta_{x,y} + \Lambda \delta_{y,z} + (\Lambda + 2M) \delta_{zz} - \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^{L} r_{xz}^l
\]  
(10)

\[
\frac{\partial \sigma_{zz}}{\partial t} = M \delta_{zz} + M \delta_{zz} - \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^{L} r_{zz}^l
\]  
(11)

\[
\frac{\partial r_{xx}^l}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{\tau^l} r_{xx}^l + \frac{1}{\tau^l} (\Lambda^l + 2M^l) \delta_{xx}^l + \frac{1}{\tau^l} \Lambda^l \delta_{xx}
\]  
(12)

\[
\frac{\partial r_{xz}^l}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{\tau^l} r_{xz}^l + \frac{1}{\tau^l} \Lambda^l \delta_{xz}^l + \frac{1}{\tau^l} (\Lambda^l + 2M^l) \delta_{xz}
\]  
(13)

\[
\frac{\partial r_{zz}^l}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{\tau^l} r_{zz}^l + \frac{1}{\tau^l} M \delta_{zz}^l + \delta_{xz}
\]  
(14)

The relaxation times can be determined by minimizing the error between \( Q(\omega) \) and given \( Q_0 \) (Blanch et al., 1995):
\[
\phi = \int_{0}^{\omega} \left[ Q^{-1}(\omega, r^i) - Q_0^{-1}(\omega) \right]^2 d\omega
\]  
(15)

where, \( Q(\omega) = \frac{n_{\Phi}(\omega)}{\ln[\Phi(\omega)]} \) \( \Phi(\omega) = \mathcal{F} \{ \Phi(t) \} \) and \( \tau^l \) is the stress relaxation time.

These equations can be solved using the finite difference method. Here we use a synthetic data set to demonstrate the importance of the method.
Measurement of attenuation

In general attenuation measurements are carried out using the amplitude information from the seismic data. Thus, in this paper we only discuss the amplitude based spectral ratio technique, for Q estimation. The data used in this study was generated by designing a synthetic Vertical Seismic Profiling (VSP) survey. The VSP geometry used is shown in Figure 4, where we have laid the receivers vertically and placed the source at the top for the seismic wave simulation.

Spectral ratio technique

This method is very common among the geophysicists and has many variants. The fundamental principle of this method is to compare the spectral characteristics of the seismogram while assuming that the amplitude of a wave can be described by the following relationship:

\[
A(\omega) = G(t)R(t)A_0(\omega) \exp\left(-\frac{\omega t}{2\mathcal{Q}}\right)
\]

where, \(A_0\) is the initial amplitude of the wave which has reduced to \(A\) after travelling for time \(t\) in the given medium of attenuation characterized by \(\mathcal{Q}\). \(G\) and \(R\) represent the reduction in amplitude due to geometric spreading and reflectivity, respectively. Assuming two receivers placed some distance apart records the amplitude \(A_1(\omega)\) and \(A_2(\omega)\) respectively at time \(t_1\) and \(t_2\) respectively. We obtain

\[
\ln\left(\frac{A_2(\omega)}{A_1(\omega)}\right) = -\frac{\omega \Delta t}{2\mathcal{Q}}
\]

where, \(\Delta t = t_2 - t_1\).

When we plot the logarithm of amplitude ratio with the frequency, it represents the equation of straight line with a slope as equal to \(\frac{\Delta t \pi}{\mathcal{Q}}\), which can be in turn utilized to estimate the attenuation \(\mathcal{Q}\).

First order effect of attenuations

When a medium offers attenuation to seismic waves, certain phenomena come into play which can be understood as the first order effect of attenuation. Here, we describe the most important ones and their mitigation.

1. Frequency dependence of \(\mathcal{Q}\): The attenuation experienced by a seismic wave is dependent on the frequency and attenuation mechanism. In a seismic modelling experiment, it’s advised to assume constant attenuation over the seismic frequency range (McDonal et al., 1958).

2. Frequency dependence of the reflectivity: Attenuation causes the reflectivity of the interfaces to become frequency dependent and thus can affect the attenuation estimation. Hence one must constrain the experiments to include only/nearly normal incident rays. It can be achieved using VSP geometry.

3. Velocity dispersion causing the travel time difference (drift): As mentioned earlier, attenuation causes different frequencies to be attenuated differently. The higher frequencies attenuate faster in comparison to lower frequencies. This causes changes in seismic wavelet and it gets broader with depth. It also causes shifting of the peak amplitude and thus the events experiences a drift. This effect can be corrected or compensated by providing the appropriate time shift or by matching with well log.
Viscoelastic seismic modeling and Q estimation for an attenuating media

Numerical Simulation of Seismic Wave Propagation in Viscoelastic media

Following the above mention concepts, we carried out a synthetic VSP modelling for the elastic as well as viscoelastic medium. The outputs of these simulations are compared in Figure 5. Some of the important parameters for the model and for the simulation are shown in the Table 1, along with their corresponding values. It can be seen that the receivers are arranged vertically as in VSP geometry (Figure 4). The simulation parameters, i.e. time step ($\Delta t$) was taken according to stability condition and to minimize the grid dispersion, more than 6 grids/wavelength were used. To suppress the edge reflections, damping type absorbing boundaries (Cerjan et al., 1985) were applied on all the sides. The synthetic VSP seismogram generated by using viscoelastic formulation, considering attenuation in the media, is used for Q estimation. The results generated after taking care of all the above mentioned effects are shown in Figure 5.

Table 1. List of parameters used in seismic simulation carried out for a viscoelastic media.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Model physical parameters</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velocity, $V_p$</td>
<td>2000 ms$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velocity, $V_s$</td>
<td>1700 ms$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density, $\rho$</td>
<td>1900 kgm$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality factor, Q</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Source parameters</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source signature</td>
<td>Ricker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central frequency, $f_0$</td>
<td>15Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zero time offset/shift, $f_0$</td>
<td>0.07sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total time length, $T$</td>
<td>1sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Simulation parameters</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model size [$x,z$]</td>
<td>3km x 3km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grid spacing, $\Delta h$</td>
<td>5m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time step, $\Delta t$</td>
<td>0.1ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorbing boundary nodes</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We have also used a two layered model and generated a VSP record for this model. Source and simulation parameters were same as for homogeneous. We have chosen two nearby locations to picked events (down going and upcoming) and computed the attenuation for down going as well as upcoming wave. Figure 6 demonstrates the key steps involved in attenuation measurement using the Spectral Ratio method for a two layer case. The steps are namely- selection of traces, clipping the waveform part, Fourier Transform of the clipped part, and estimation of attenuation using the slope of linear fit. For the seismic wave simulation, we have used the ‘FDwave’ package developed by Malkoti et al. (2018a, 2018b).

RESULTS

The Figure 5 shows a very simple experiment using homogeneous model to demonstrate the difference between the elastic and viscoelastic seismogram. The difference due to the first order effects is very prominent for late phases. This type of mismatch, if not taken care of, can lead to the erroneous results. In Figure 6, we have shown a successful application of this method for Q estimation. As we can see in this figure that considering the first order effects of attenuation and following the prescribed solutions, we can estimate the value of attenuation quite precisely.

CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have discussed the behavior of an attenuating media and how to model it, using the theory of viscoelasticity, we have shown that the seismic attenuation has a large effect on synthetic seismogram, which was generated for a synthetic VSP survey. Further, we demonstrate an application of Spectral ratio technique to estimate precise value of Q using the synthetic seismic data. We have also discussed the first order effects of attenuation, which should be considered while incorporating seismic attenuation in the seismic modelling experiment. Further, details on the theory and seismic wave simulation algorithm being used are available in Carcione et al. [1988] and Malkoti et al. [2018a, 2018b] respectively.
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Characterising the source rocks in petroleum systems using organic and stable isotope geochemistry: An overview
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ABSTRACT
Geochemical investigations for correlating the petroleum (oil and gas) with source rocks and that with petroleum itself, which can be produced from a similar or dissimilar source rock, is important for the assessment of generation potential of a prolific or potential petroleum system. Analytical advancements have synergized the hydrocarbon exploration methods, with exploration geochemistry becoming increasingly an integral part of source rock characterization. Geochemical studies investigate the presence and properties of hydrocarbons trapped in geological formations, or the potential to generate such hydrocarbons and provide source rocks properties, by measuring the compositional changes associated with hydrocarbon generation, migration, accumulation and production. These are critical for proper and efficient management of the hydrocarbon assets at all stages. The source rocks and the petroleum are primarily characterized utilizing the organic geochemical and stable isotope techniques. Organic geochemistry deciphers the source, depositional environment and thermal maturity of sedimentary organic matter from which the hydrocarbons are generated and the stable isotopes of carbon, nitrogen and hydrogen describe the paleo productivity, origin, evolution and correlation of organic matter with generated or in situ hydrocarbon components. The paper presents an overview of the stable isotopic and organic geochemical properties of shales from Damodar Valley basin of India in the light of its gas generation potential.

Key words: Source rocks; organic matter; kerogen; isotopes; biomarkers; thermal maturity; Damodar Valley; Permian; Shale Gas

INTRODUCTION
The unifying concept of petroleum system encompasses a combination of essential elements [source, reservoir, seal, and overburden rocks] and essential processes [basin and trap formation, deposition of source and reservoir rocks and generation-migration-accumulation of hydrocarbons] [Magoon and Dow, 1994]. The geologic time when essential elements and processes are correctly placed is critical. Formation of an effective petroleum system is realized only when the organic matter preserved in a source rock, is converted into petroleum (oil and natural gas) and accumulated in a trap, following which there are reasonable chances of its exploitation. A petroleum system investigation includes the identification, naming, determining the level of certainty, and mapping the geographic, stratigraphic, and temporal extent of a petroleum system [Magoon and Dow, 1994; Kenneth et al., 2012].

Geochemical investigations for correlating the petroleum with source rocks and that with petroleum itself is important for the evaluating the generation potential of a prolific or potential play. Advancement in high resolution mass spectrometers for the determination of trace levels of compound specific organic components and their isotope ratios have synergized the hydrocarbon exploration methods, with exploration geochemistry becoming an increasingly integral part of it. Geochemical studies investigate the presence and properties of hydrocarbons trapped in geological formations or the potential to generate such hydrocarbons by providing highly specific information about the characteristics of a petroleum system from just a few rock, oil or gas samples [Eden and Mungo, 2013]. It involves the chemical analysis of source rocks and measures the compositional changes associated with hydrocarbon generation, migration, accumulation and production, which are critical to proper and efficient management of the hydrocarbon assets at all stages [Eden and Mungo, 2013]. The source rocks and the petroleum are primarily characterized using the organic geochemical and stable isotope techniques. Organic geochemistry deciphers the source, depositional environment and thermal maturity of sedimentary organic matter from which the hydrocarbons are generated and the stable isotopes of carbon, nitrogen and hydrogen describe the paleo productivity, origin, evolution and correlation of organic matter with generated hydrocarbon components [Mani et al., 2011].

This paper presents an overview on characterising the source rocks in petroleum and shale gas/oil systems, using organic and stable isotope geochemistry, with a case study describing the potential of gas generation from Permian shales of the Damodar Valley basin. The origin, evolution, qualitative and quantitative properties of sedimentary organic matter and its thermal decomposition kinetics, all of which are critical for resource assessment, are discussed in light of the Permian shales from Jharia sub-basin, which might be potential source rock for natural gas generation.
Characterising the source rocks in petroleum systems using organic and stable isotope geochemistry: An overview

ORGANIC MATTER – FROM SOURCE ROCKS TO TRAPS

Synthesis of organic matter

It is evident that organic matter in sediments upon exposure to subsurface temperature and pressure, transforms into hydrocarbons. The mass production of organic matter is achieved by a universal process of photosynthesis, wherein autotrophic green plants, blue green algae and photosynthetic bacteria convert solar energy into chemical energy, utilizing the CO₂ and H₂O from the ambient environment to fix the carbon in the form of polysaccharide - glucose \( \text{C}_6\text{H}_{12}\text{O}_6 \) with the release of free oxygen and water.

\[
6\text{CO}_2 + 12 \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightleftharpoons \text{C}_6\text{H}_{12}\text{O}_6 + 6\text{O}_2 + 6\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \text{hv}
\]

Oxygenation of primitive reducing atmosphere of early Earth supported the evolution of diverse lifeforms and is considered an important event in timeline of photosynthesis. Though the exact timing of the ‘great oxidation event’ is being constrained narrowly by present day research, the earliest evolution of free oxygen and production of organic matter has been reported to be much older than 2.5 - 3 billion years. Nearly 75 million petagrams (Pg) of carbon are distributed within various reservoirs of the Earth’s crust, biosphere, and ocean (Petsch, 2014). Most of the carbon on Earth is concentrated in sedimentary rocks, a large part (~ 82%) of it in the form of inorganic carbonates and remaining (~18%) as organic carbon. A dynamic equilibrium between the oxidised [e.g. \( \text{CO}_2, \text{HCO}_3^- \)] and reduced \( \{\text{C}_6\text{H}_{12}\text{O}_6, \text{CH}_4\} \) forms of carbon is manifested in the global carbon cycling, which involves sequence of processes through which carbon compounds move from one carbon reservoir or sink to another.

Figure 1. The organic carbon cycle (modified after Tissot and Welte, 1984).

**Organic Carbon cycle**

The atmospheric, hydrospheric and terrestrial reservoirs of carbon are in constant exchange with each other. Carbonates get precipitated in the aquatic reservoirs in the form carbonate sediments such as calcites. Dissolution of sedimentary carbonates produces carbonate and bicarbonate ions and carbon dioxide, which are in equilibrium in the surrounding waters.

\[
\text{CO}_2(aq) + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightleftharpoons \text{H}_2\text{CO}_3
\]
\[
\text{H}_2\text{CO}_3 \rightleftharpoons \text{H}^+ + \text{HCO}_3^-
\]
\[
\text{HCO}_3^- \rightleftharpoons \text{H}^+ + \text{CO}_3^{2-}
\]
\[
\text{Ca}^{2+} + \text{CO}_3^{2-} \rightleftharpoons \text{CaCO}_3
\]

The terrestrial plants form the organic matter directly from the atmospheric reservoir by photosynthesis, while marine plants synthesize it from the dissolved CO₂ in the hydrosphere. Terrestrial and marine organic matter is largely destroyed by oxidation, and CO₂ is returned for recirculation in the system. The cycling of organic carbon is illustrated in the organic carbon cycle (Figure 1), which consists two sub-cycles, a primary and a secondary one.

The primary cycle is smaller with a lower turnover and shorter half-life of days to couple of years, whereas the secondary cycle has a larger turnover and half-life of millions of years. The two cycles are interconnected by a tiny leak of 0.01-0.1% of total organic carbon, which represents the oxidation of sedimentary organic matter to carbon dioxide (Tissot and Welte, 1984). For petroleum formation, the secondary cycle is significant. Once the organic matter completes the second cycle during formation of a sedimentary basin, upon increasing burial it is subjected to diagenetic and catagenetic reactions, which lead to formation of petroleum.
Preservation of organic carbon in sediments

The long-term fate of organic matter in sediments is mainly governed by tectonic activities. Phases of subsidence and increasing burial, or uplift and erosion define whether the organic content is preserved and thermally evolved into petroleum, or is eroded and oxidized back to carbon dioxide (Tissot and Welte, 1984). The average preservation rate of organic carbon is estimated to be less than 0.1%, and is controlled by the geological and geochemical conditions of sedimentation. Higher organic productivity, nutrient supply [brought by rivers, upwelling currents, and water mixing], low oxygen content of associated water column and sediments [less than 0.2 mL/L water], restricted water circulation, lack of bioturbation, very fine-grained sediment particles [<2 μm], and an optimum sedimentation rate favour the preservation of organic matter in the sediments. The upper limit of the preservation rate of organic carbon, to be found in certain oxygen-deficient environments such as Black Sea, favourable for deposition of source rock-type sediments, is about 4% [Peters et al., 2005].

Thermal transformation of organic carbon

About 10 - 20% of petroleum is formed from the hydrocarbons, mainly lipids which has been synthesized naturally by the earlier living organisms. It constitutes carbon homologs, (≥ C15+ compounds) that have identifiable biological and chemical structure or ‘biomarkers’ (Hunt, 1996). These are soluble in organic solvents and constitute the bitumen part of organic matter. The carbon skeleton in biomarker compounds gets only minimal or slightly altered during the diagenetic processes, thus providing useful information on paleo-events of its deposition and preservation. The second major source of petroleum is kerogen, which constitutes about 80–90% of hydrocarbons, and involves the conversion of the proteins, lipids, and carbohydrates of living organisms into organic matter of sedimentary rocks. Consecutive burial of organic matter at different depths in the subsurface causes various chemical and physical transformations in it, ultimately leading to the formation of liquid and gaseous hydrocarbons, through the stages of diagenesis, catagenesis, and metagenesis [Figure 2] (Hunt, 1996). Diagenesis occurs in recent sediments at shallow depths, where the temperatures are less than 60°C. The bio-polymers like carbohydrates and proteins transform into geo-polymers, or kerogen. Increased sedimentation leads to burial of previously deposited beds and exposure of sediments to subsurface conditions of increasing temperature (T~50–150°C) and pressure [P~300–1000 bars]. Overburdened sedimentary column and tectonic activities govern the subsurface P–T conditions. Thermal degradation of kerogen results in the formation of petroleum, condensates, and wet gases. At still higher temperature [150°–200°C], organic matter is cracked to dry gas. Metamorphism is the last stage of evolution of sediments in the subsurface [Hunt, 1996].

Besides the prevailing depositional conditions and environment, the accumulation and preservation of organic matter also depends upon the sediment grain size. Clay type sediments adhere maximum organic material, followed by the carbonate matrices. As a result, amongst the sedimentary rocks, fine grained shales have the highest percentage of organic carbon, only seconded by
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Figure 3. Distribution of organic carbon in different sedimentary rocks.

Figure 4. Depositional environment for potential source rock (after Bjørlykke, 2015).

Figure 5. Schematic of essential elements of petroleum system (after Bjørlykke, 2015).

the carbonate rocks (Figure 3). The similar hydrodynamic behaviour of the organic matter tends to get deposited preferentially with fine-grained mud. Unlike sand, fine grained mud more readily excludes oxygen-rich water below the sediment-water interface, thereby enhancing anoxia when it develops. Oxygen deficit environment is essentially important for the preservation of organic matter.

Source, reservoir and trap rocks

The organic matter in sedimentary rocks, with increasing temperature and time, transforms into petroleum and natural gas. The black-grey colour of sedimentary rocks is primarily due to the presence of organic matter in it. It is well known that shale is the most abundant sedimentary
rock, and black shales containing 1-3% of total organic carbon (TOC) and as high as 20% form important petroleum source rocks in the world. Some depositional controls favourable for good source rocks include major marine transgressions, warm equable climate, and anoxia (Figure 4).

The generated hydrocarbons, after being expelled from source rocks migrate upward and laterally along faults and fractures until a trap is encountered. The density variations in natural gas and petroleum leads to formation of free gas cap on the top of the trap, oil reservoir in the middle and salt water at the bottom. In the trap, the reservoir rock is overlain by an impermeable caprock or seal (Figure 5).

Because of migration, the physical and chemical properties of petroleum formed deep in the basin and where it ends in the trap are different both vertically and horizontally.

**SOURCE ROCK CHARACTERIZATION**

**Organic Geochemistry**

Organic geochemistry provides analytical data for identification and mapping of petroleum source rocks. The maps generated from the organic geochemical data indicates the quantity, quality and thermal maturity of organic matter in a source rock and is important in determining the stratigraphic and geographic extent of a pod of active source rock in a petroleum system (Peter and Cassa, 1994). These characteristics of active source rocks lead to the determination of the amount of oil and gas available for traps and significantly assist in reducing the exploration risk. Carbon compounds manifest themselves on Earth in inorganic or oxidised forms, such as carbonates or carbon dioxide etc. and organic or reduced forms such as methane, carbohydrates which are produced by living organisms.

Kerogen is the dispersed, amorphous, macromolecular organic matter. It is the precursor geo-polymer from which most of the hydrocarbons are generated in a source rock, with minor contribution from the bitumen, which is derived mainly from the lipid and fat content of once existing organisms. Thus, the source rocks are, in general, characterised by the organic richness, type and thermal maturity of the kerogen and the bituminous biomarkers present in it. Organic richness is characterised by the total organic carbon content, expressed in weight percent (% TOC). Higher the TOC, greater is the hydrocarbon generation potential. A TOC < 0.5% is considered to have poor generation potential, whereas that between 3-4% is considered very good to excellent.

**Kerogen**

The type or quality of organic matter is dependent upon the source of organic components and its environment of preservation, thus resulting in different kerogen types with varying capacity for oil and gas generation. Kerogen is classified under Type I, II, III and IV based on their Carbon (C), hydrogen (H) and oxygen (O) content (Van Krevelen, 1961). Type I and II have generated most of the world's oil. Type I is generated predominantly in lacustrine/marine depositional setting and is derived mainly from algal lipids (Table I). It contains several long aliphatic chains and the H/C ratio is originally high (H/C >1.5) (Tissot and Welte, 1984; Peters et al., 2005). Type-II kerogen contains aliphatic chains and more number of aromatic and naphthenic rings compared to Type I. The range of hydrocarbon compounds generated and the oil and gas potential of Type-II kerogen are lower (H/C= 1.2 to 1.5) than Type I kerogen. Type-III kerogen has low H/C range (H/C= 0.7 to 1.0). It generates primarily gas, condensates and some waxes and contains mainly condensed poly-aromatics and oxygenated
Table 2. Thermal maturity and oil and gas generation potential [after Tissot and Welte, 1984]

![Thermal maturity and oil and gas generation potential diagram]

Table 3. Selected biomarkers along with their source and depositional environments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hydrocarbon Biomarkers</th>
<th>Proxy information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>n-Alkanes</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long chain n-alkanes with predominance maxima at n-C_{27}, n-C_{29} and n-C_{31}</td>
<td>Terrigenous plant source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-alkanes with n-C_{13}, n-C_{17} and n-C_{19} predominance</td>
<td>Marine algae/ lacustrine source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Preference Index (CPI)</td>
<td>Thermal Maturity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acyclic Isoprenoids</strong></td>
<td>Marine, fresh water and brackish water (Reducing Environ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pristane [Pr] Phytane[Ph] ratios</td>
<td>Fluvio-marine and coastal swamp (Oxic to suboxic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr/Ph &lt; 2</td>
<td>Peat swamp (Oxic environment)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr/Ph &lt; 2-4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr/Ph up to 10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unusual distributions of n-alkanes and cyclohexylalkanes</td>
<td>Characteristic of Gloeocapsomorpha prisca found in early Paleozoic samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Steranes</strong></td>
<td>Algal source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_{27} and C_{28} steranes</td>
<td>Higher plants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_{29} steranes</td>
<td>Marine phytoplankton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_{30} steranes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hopanes</strong></td>
<td>Anoxic Depositional Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_{35} homohopanes</td>
<td>High in certain reducing environments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28,30-bisnorhopane</td>
<td>Carbonate Source Rock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-norhopanes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botryococcane</td>
<td>Lacustrine depositional settings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C35 homohopane</td>
<td>Degree of oxicity of marine sediments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gammacerane</td>
<td>Stratified Column</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oleane</td>
<td>Characteristic of angiosperms [flowering plants] found only in Tertiary and Upper Cretaceous rocks and oils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dinosterane</td>
<td>Marine dinoflagellates, possibly distinguishing Mesozoic and Tertiary from Paleozoic source input</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-n-Propylcholestane</td>
<td>Marker for marine algae extending from at least the Devonian to the present.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
functional groups, with minor aliphatic chains. The organic matter is mostly derived from terrestrial higher plants. Type IV kerogen is inert and generates only small amount of methane and CO₂ [Hunt, 1996].

Various thermal maturity parameters such as vitrinite reflectance, conodont index, Rock Eval Tmax etc. indicate the extent of heat experienced by the source rocks. High subsurface temperatures [60°- 200°C] eventually lead to the cooking and transformation of organic matter into the oil and gas [Table 2]. Depending upon the thermal exposure, the rocks are categorised as immature, with no ability to generate petroleum in present condition; mature, in oil or gas condensate zone or post-mature, largely in gas generating window [Peter and Cassa, 1994].

Geochemical logs prepared from organic richness, type and thermal maturity of kerogen, help in identifying a potential, effective, and spent petroleum source rock, the thermal maturation gradient, including immature, mature, and post-mature zones, and in situ and migrated petroleum shows [Peters and Cassa, 1994].

**Biomarkers**

Biological markers or biomarkers are complex organic compounds composed of carbon, hydrogen, and other elements occurring in sediments, rocks, and crude oils. These compounds originate from formerly living organisms and show little or no change in structure from their parent organic molecules in living organisms. Biomarkers are important because select lipids and their hydrocarbon remnants derived from earlier organisms carry a high degree of taxonomic specificity, which allows the reconstruction of past organismic diversity from the sedimentary inventory of fossil biomarkers (Figure 6, Table 3). Biomarkers help in deciphering the source, depositional environment and thermal maturity of the organic matter and are particularly used in source rock to oil and oil to oil correlation studies.

**Figure 6.** Biomarker tree of life showing the three domains of life [after Briggs and Summons, 2014]
Stable isotope geochemistry

Isotopes of carbon, hydrogen, nitrogen and oxygen have been used to study diverse aspects of organic matter burial, productivity, storage and cycling on the Earth. The isotopic variations documented in the sedimentary shales and carbonates, provide information on the productivity, gross carbon storage and development of prolific source rocks in sedimentary basins. As a result of kinetic isotope effects, the transformation of inorganic carbon into living matter entails a marked bias in favour of light isotopes ($^{12}\text{C}$), with heavy species ($^{13}\text{C}$) retained in the inorganic reservoir (Schidlowski, 1987). This isotopic discrimination leads to the preferential accumulation of $^{12}\text{C}$ in all forms of biogenic (oxidized) carbon as compared with the inorganic (reduced) carbon pool of surficial environment, mainly in form of the atmospheric carbon dioxide and dissolved marine carbonate (Schidlowski, 1987). When the biogenic materials and carbonates are incorporated in newly formed sediments, the kinetic isotope effect, associated with the autotrophic carbon fixation, is propagated from the surficial exchange reservoir into the rock section of the carbon cycle (Schidlowski, 1987). Over geological time, this effect has ultimately brought about a conspicuous isotope disproportionation of Earth’s primordial carbon into a light and heavy crustal carbon reservoir (Figure 7).

The hydrocarbon components generated from different organic matter types differ in isotopic compositions due to the characteristic parent source material (Figure 8). Depending upon the different enzymatic process used for carbon fixation in photosynthesis, there may even be different isotopic values for terrestrially derived organic matter, in addition to the variability of marine and lacustrine organic signatures. Plants using C3 Calvin cycle show less efficient carbon fixation and observe large $^{13}\text{C}$ depletions compared to plants adopting C4 cycle (Figure 9).
The isotopic variations provide significant information on the origin and correlation of petroleum and natural gas. The gas systems originating from a respective source of organic matter have been classified into two distinct types, namely biogenic (or microbial) and thermogenic (Figure 10). There can also be mixtures of the two gas types. Occurrence of thermogenic gas in the subsurface establishes the possibility or presence of a source rock and provides information on the elements of the petroleum or gas system, which otherwise lacks in biogenically produced gases.

**Organic Petrology**

Organic petrology comprises of the visual examination of organic matter under microscope and is useful for the characterization of the source rocks. The study of macerals or organic constituents in sedimentary rocks, such as coal, petroleum source-rocks and oil shales (with abundant organic matter) and limestone, sandstone and shale (with minor dispersed organic matter), provide important information about kerogen type, its thermal maturity level, and the hydrocarbon generation potential. Reflectance measurements and overall observations of organic matter (OM) under the microscope with white and UV light in oil immersion or dry environment, allow identification of maceral composition of source rocks and understanding of interplay between OM and minerals for in-depth evaluation of source rock potential in conventional and unconventional exploration and production.

There are three major maceral groups, liptinite, vitrinite and inertinite (Table 4). The liptinite group is derived from the resinous and waxy parts of plants, the vitrinite group from coalified woody tissue, and the inertinite group is derived from charred and biochemically altered plant cell wall material.

![Figure 9. Carbon isotope values of C₃ and C₄ plants (after Sharp, 2007)](image)

![Figure 10. Schematic showing varying sources of methane (After Hoefs, 1996)](image)
Liptinitic organic matter generates more of the oil compared to the vitrinite, which is gas prone. The inertinite is generally comprised of the recycled organic matter with no oil or gas generative property (Table 1).

**Kerogen Kinetics**

The petroleum evaluation in a sedimentary basin involves a quantitative approach, taking into account the amount of oil and gas generated by primary cracking of kerogen when temperature increases through time (Tissot and Espitalie 1984). The degradation of kerogen into hydrocarbons is described by a series of n parallel chemical reactions, each of which obey a first order kinetics, characterized by the Arrhenius Law:

\[
\frac{dX_i}{dt} = -k_i X_i
\]

1. \( \frac{dX_i}{dt} \) = hydrocarbon generation rate
2. \( X_i \) = residual petroleum potential
3. \( t \) = time (sec)

where \( k_i \) is

\[
k_i = A \exp\left(-\frac{E_i}{RT}\right).
\]

The activation energy and associated kinetic parameters are integrated with the burial and thermal history of the basin which are used in basin modelling studies to predict the amount and timing of generated hydrocarbons as a function of time and temperature (Tissot and Espitalie, 1984).

**ANALYTICAL METHODOLOGY**

A range of geochemical analyses, comprising of organic and isotopic composition determination, are performed to determine the properties of source rocks. Kerogen, being insoluble in organic solvents is generally studied using petrological and pyrolysis methods. The biomarkers are studied using solvent extraction and chromatographic techniques. The kinetic parameters of thermal decomposition of kerogen are then combined with geological data for deciphering comprehensive maturity models for hydrocarbon generation and expulsion in sedimentary basins.

**Rock Eval Pyrolysis**

Rock Eval pyrolysis is a routinely used technique for source rock characterization. It involves thermal cracking of sedimentary organic matter in accordance with a programmed temperature pattern in an open system pyrolyzer to estimate the petroleum generation potential of the source rocks. The process occurs in two ovens, pyrolysis and oxidation (combustion), respectively of the Rock Eval
pyrolyzer [Figure 11]. The pyrolyzed hydrocarbons, both the thermo-labile ones (given by peak S1) and those obtained during the cracking of organic matter (given by peak S2), are detected by a flame ionization detector (FID). The residual rock, recovered after pyrolysis, is combusted in an oxidation oven up to 850°C. The CO and CO₂ released during pyrolysis and oxidation processes are monitored online by an infrared cell. This enables the determination of organic and mineral carbon content of samples, defined as the TOC and MinC, respectively. The Tmax value is a thermal maturity parameter. It corresponds to the temperature at which the maximum amounts of hydrocarbons are released from the thermal degradation of kerogen, i.e., the temperature at which S2 peak reaches its maximum.

Among the other calculated parameters of Rock Eval, the hydrocarbon potential or HI is defined by 100 × S2/TOC. The OI is defined as 100 × S3/TOC, where S3 is the CO₂ released during the pyrolysis. These indices help in establishing the kerogen type and its maturity [Behar et al., 2001; Espitalié et al., 1987; Peters and Cassa, 1994; Mani et al, 2014]. Figure 12 depicts the evolution of a typical source rock during pyrolysis and oxidation in a rock eval pyrolyser.

Depending upon the type of kerogen, the hydrocarbon generation capacity is different. Higher H/C ratios have greater possibility of generating oil. Thus, Type I kerogen is oil prone and generates significant amount of petroleum. With decrease of hydrogen content, and/or increase of O/C ratios, more and more gas prone behaviour of source rocks is exhibited [Figure 13]

**Gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC-MS)**

The composition and concentration of volatile gases and the biomarkers components in a source rock are determined using Gas chromatography. The gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC–MS) is a separation technique (GC),
coupled with analytical power of mass spectrometre (MS). It is used to detect compounds using the relative gas chromatographic retention times and elution patterns of components of a mixture in combination with the mass spectral fragmentation patterns, which is the characteristic of a compound’s chemical structures (Sneddon et al., 2007). A typical GC–MS system performs the following functions: (1) separation of individual compounds in a mixture by gas chromatography; (2) transfer of separated components to the ionizing chamber; (3) ionization; (4) mass analysis; (5) detection of the ions by an electron multiplier; and (6) data acquisition, processing, and display by a computer system (Figure 14).

As the individual organic compounds elute from the GC column, they enter the MS. During ionization, they are bombarded by a stream of electrons leading to the fragmentation into ions. The mass of the fragment, divided by its charge is the mass to charge ratio ($m/z$). Almost always, the charge is +1, and $m/z$ ratio represents the molecular weight of the fragment. In general, MS are configured with magnetic sector or quadrupole type mass analyzers. A quadrupole GC–MS has a group of four electromagnets that focus each fragment through a slit into the detector. These are programmed to direct only certain fragments, one at a time (scan), until the complete range of $m/z$ is recovered. This produces a mass spectrum, which is a graph of the signal intensity (relative abundance) versus the $m/z$ ratios (essentially the molecular weight). Each compound has a unique fingerprint, and the software is equipped with a library of spectra for unknown compounds (Sneddon et al., 2007).

The homologous series of saturated and aromatic fractions are identified by characteristic masses of the fragmented ion and their retention times in the chromatographic column e.g. alkanes by $m/z = 57$; steranes by $m/z = 271$; hopanes by $m/z = 191$ etc. The individual compounds or their isomers in a series are identified by the characteristic fragmentation pattern of the molecular ions, its retention time, and use of respective biomarker standards and/or published mass spectra.

**Isotope ratio mass spectrometry (IRMS)**

Isotope ratio mass spectrometry (IRMS) has been widely used to determine the ratio of stable isotopes of several low molecular weight elements such as carbon ($^{13}$C/$^{12}$C) and oxygen ($^{18}$O/$^{16}$O) in geological samples. Stable isotopes provide important information on the origin and source of hydrocarbon gases and in oil to oil and oil to source rock correlation studies. Such studies are performed using
hyphenated techniques, which include the separation power of a gas chromatograph coupled to the mass spectrometer, along with the introduction of a sample combustion interface into the gas chromatograph-IRMS [Platzner, 1997]. Broadly, a mass spectrometer comprises of the [1] ion source for the fragmentation of the sample molecule into ions and [2] mass analyzer for separating and detecting the ion beam according to the mass of the respective ions (Figure 15). In GC-C-IRMS, the separated products of the sample mixture, carried in the stream of helium, are eluted at the output of the gas chromatograph and passed through an oxidation/reduction reactor and then are introduced into the ion source of mass spectrometer for the ratio determination. An open split-coupling device ensures that only a part of the sample/reference gas containing carrier gas is fed into the ion source, thus reducing the volume constraints and sample size. Continuous Flow-IRMS is a standard term used for the IRMS instruments, that are coupled online to preparation lines or instruments.

This includes the (1) Gas Chromatography-Combustion-IRMS (GC-C–IRMS), used for the compound specific isotope ratio determination of individual hydrocarbon components, (2) Gas Bench–IRMS (GB–IRMS), used for the C and O isotope ratio determinations on carbonates, and (3) Elemental Analyzer–IRMS (EA–IRMS), for the determination of bulk isotopic compositions of the coexisting organic matter.
CASE STUDY

Gas generation potential of Permian shales in Damodar Valley basin

Jharia sub-basin, Damodar Valley:
Organic matter rich and thermally mature Permian shales are widely distributed in the Gondwana basins of Indian sub-continent. Gas shows have been reported in the wells drilled in the Raniganj area of Damodar Valley, which forms an important coal repository among the Indian Gondwana basins (Padhy and Das, 2013). Barakar and Raniganj are the main coal-bearing formations in the basin, and a marine/lacustrine succession that got deposited between these continental depositions resulted in the coal-devoid Barren Measure formation (Chandra, 1992). Thermal maturity of the coals surrounding the Barren Measure shale formation suggests it to be within the gas window (Padhy and Das, 2013), making it a potential shale gas target.

Figure 16. Kerogen type and thermal maturity of shales from Raniganj formation [MMW-18 borewell, represented in blue] (Figure a), Barren Measure formation [MKP-29 borewell represented in red and MSG-34 borewell represented in green] (Figure b), and Barakar Formation [MKP-29 borewell represented in red and MSG-34 borewell represented in green] (Figure c) of Damodar valley (Mani et al., 2015)
The Permian shales from the Jharia sub-basin of Damodar Valley were studied for their organic matter properties, to infer the gas generation potential (Mani et al., 2015, 2017). Rock Eval pyrolysis of the shales showed excellent organic richness with TOC content ranging between 2.86% and 23.09%. Organic matter is characterized by Type II/III and Type III kerogen, and the thermal maturities span between the mature (oil window-gas condensate) and post-mature (dry gas) zone for hydrocarbon generation. The Raniganj and Barren Measure shales are in the late oil generation window, and the Barakar shales show post-mature stage for oil (Figure 16).

The bulk and compound specific carbon isotope ratios of hydrocarbon gases from the flash combustion and thermal desorption studies, respectively, of these shales indicate a thermogenic gas from the terrestrial organic matter, except for Barren Measure, where a lacustrine/marine source has been inferred (Mani et al., 2015). The isotopic compositions vary in a narrow range and are nearly similar for most of the studied samples (−40.4 to −44.8‰). The exposure of organic matter to high subsurface temperatures has not enriched or altered the $\delta^{13}C$ values significantly (Mani et al., 2015).

The organic matter in the shales was extracted and the aliphatic and aromatic biomarker constituents in it were studied using GC-MS (Mani et al., 2017). The total organic extract contains high proportion of saturated hydrocarbons in the range $nC_{13}$ to $nC_{30}$ and acyclic isoprenoids in all the samples (Figure 17).

The aromatic fractions in the organic extracts of the Raniganj, Barren Measure, and Barakar shale, in general, contain naphthalene, phenanthrene, biphenyl, and their alkylated derivatives, along with a low abundance of dibenzothiophene and its homologs. The ratio obtained by dividing the sum of odd carbon-numbered alkanes to the sum of even carbon-numbered alkanes has been defined by carbon preference index (CPI) (Didyk et al., 1978). It gives information on the maturity of the organic matter. The CPI of Damodar basin shales range from 0.9 to 1.1, which indicates no even or odd carbon preference and suggests the organic-rich sediments to be thermally mature. This is also corroborated by the unimodal peak

**Figure 17.** Saturated hydrocarbons [$nC_{13}$ to $nC_{30}$] in organic extracts of shales from Damodar Valley Basin (Mani et al., 2017)
distribution of the n-alkanes. The differences of pristine to phytane (Pr/Ph) ratios, which indicate the oxygenation conditions during the deposition of the Damodar Valley shales, suggest that these shales were deposited in fluviomarine and coastal swamp depositional environment under oxic to sub-oxic conditions. The ratios of $\alpha/\beta$ isomers in aromatic biomarkers are maturity dependent and their concentrations are useful in the calculation of temperature-sensitive maturity parameters. Such isomeric ratios, expressed in form of indices such as Methylphenanthrene indices (MPI-1), dimethylnaphthalene ratios (DNR-1 and DNR-2), and trimethylnaphthalene ratio (TNR-1) are high in Jharia shales, suggesting a mature to highly mature state of kerogen. The high maturity of the shales is also supported by the Tmax parameter of Rock Eval pyrolysis. Vitrinite and inertinite are the dominant macerals in the Jharia shales. Liptinite occurs sparsely (Mani et al., 2015). The Raniganj and Barren Measure shales show vitrinite and inertinite macerals, with lesser occurrence of liptinite. The random reflectance (Rr%) varies between 0.99 and 1.22 for Raniganj shales and 1.1–1.41 for the Barren Measure. The Barakar shales consist mainly of vitrinite and inertinite with a random reflectance ranging between 1.11 and 2.0.
Further, the mineral matrix is characterized by abundant clay minerals with siderite and pyrite. The kinetic parameters obtained during pyrolysis studies indicate that Raniganj has lower activation energies ($\Delta E = 42–62\, \text{kcal/mol}$) in comparison to Barren Measure and Barakar ($\Delta E = 44–68\, \text{kcal/mol}$) (Figure 19, Mani et al., 2015). Temperature for onset (10%), middle (50%), and end (90%) of kerogen transformation is least for the Raniganj shales (Mani et al., 2015). It is followed by the Barren Measure and Barakar shales.

Thus, the organic geochemical and stable isotopic studies carried out on the Permian Gondwana shales from Jharia sub-basin of Damodar Valley, in particular, those from the Barren Measure formation, demonstrate excellent properties of a potential shale gas system (Mani et al., 2015). These shales possess abundant organic matter in highly mature stage for generation of gaseous hydrocarbons. Integration of organic geochemical, stable isotope, mineralogical and petrological data with the stratigraphic extent, thickness and structural features such as faults and fractures associated with the shale horizons is important for the shale gas exploratory studies in the basin.

**SUMMARY**

Source rock characterization using geochemical logs and maps is necessary in a sedimentary basin analysis with the objective of identifying the pod of active or potential source rock. A source rock can be described as potential (could generate oil), effective (generated or currently generating oil), or spent (generated oil). A spent source rock can still generate gas. The pod of active source rock contributes hydrocarbons to the petroleum system. Source rock assessments include determination of its richness, kerogen type, thermal maturity, product generated, time, and provenance or depositional environment. The organic richness of the source rock organic can be poor, fair, good, very good, or excellent and the kerogen can be described as type I, II, III, or IV, based on petrological and geochemical analyses. The source rocks can be immature, mature, and postmature, based on parameters like vitrinite reflectance, Tmax, and thermal alteration index. Geochemical logs of closely spaced Rock-Eval pyrolysis and TOC, vitrinite reflectance, lithology, and related data are indispensable tools in the sedimentary basin evaluation process. Useful geochemical logs require adherence to systematic sampling and proper procedures for sample preparation, analysis, and interpretation. The logs generated from such datasets aid in identify petroleum source rocks [as potential, effective, or spent], the thermal maturation gradient [including immature, mature, and postmature zones], and in situ and migrated petroleum shows. These rapid and inexpensive screening methods generate libraries of geochemical logs that progressively reduce the risk associated with petroleum exploration as the study region becomes more thoroughly sampled. Logs from various locations can be used to map the pod of active source rock, regional variations in organic facies, and the volume of generated petroleum. This information can be used as input to refine mathematical basin models. As hydrocarbons have become more difficult to discover and recover, the ever more precise forms of analysis to differentiate between a viable prospect and a dry hole can be provided essentially by exploration geochemistry.
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ABSTRACT

Conventional seismic reflection data are not well suited for imaging sub-trappean sediments. In this paper, we report on seismic imaging investigations that used large-offset refraction and wide-angle reflection data from three regions of India, namely, the Kutch basin, the Deccan syncline, and the Bengal basin. We use travel time modeling of refracted and reflected phases to derive the basement configuration, including the Trap and sub-trappean sediment thickness. Some of the record sections show sharp amplitude decays (attenuation) of the refracted phase from the high velocity Traps and travel time delays between the Trap and basement refracted phases, which can be diagnostic of the presence of a low-velocity zone (LVZ) consisting of sediments beneath basalt. We identify reflected phases from the top and bottom of the LVZ. Analysis of the refracted and reflected phases suggests that the relative thickness of the overlying high-velocity and underlying low-velocity sediments, play a major role in the seismic data quality. The sediment thickness also affects the magnitudes of the attenuation, the travel time delay, and the strength of the reflection. In the Kutch area, we find that the Deccan Traps are thin (about 100-800 m), and sub-trappean Mesozoic sediments are about 2 km thick. In the Deccan syncline, the Traps are relatively thin in the north and Tertiary and sub-trappean Mesozoic sediments are thick in the southwest. Towards the east, the Trap and subtrappean Mesozoic sediments are about 1 km thick. Further east, near the Satpura basin, the Deccan Traps are about 1 km thick, and sub-trappean Gondwana sediments are quite thin (100-400 m). Similarly, in the west Bengal basin, the Rajmahal Traps are about 1 km thick, while the subtrappean Gondwana sediments are 1.8 km thick near Palashi. These results provide crucial information needed for more detailed hydrocarbon exploration in sub-trappean sediments.

Key Words: Seismic refraction, 2-D Travel time inversion, Mesozoic sediments, Deccan Traps, Saurashtra.

INTRODUCTION

Deccan volcanic rocks (Upper Cretaceous) cover large areas in western and central India (Kutch, Deccan syncline (Figure 1a, b), whereas Rajmahal Volcanic rocks (Upper Jurassic-Lower Cretaceous) cover the eastern part (Bengal basin) (Figure 1c). Outcrops of Mesozoic and Gondwana sediments are present in the adjoining areas of Kutch, the western and eastern parts of Deccan syncline, and in the west Bengal basin (Prasad et al., 2010, Murty et al., 2008, Kaila, 1988; Kaila et al., 1985, 1981, Mall et al., 2002). A significant amount of these sediments is reported to underlie the volcanic rocks [Traps] (NGRI, 1998, 2004, Murty et al., 2008, Mall et al., 2002)]. The oil industry has been engaged in exploring Trap-covered regions for geologic and tectonic studies and hydrocarbon potential because Mesozoic sediments are source rocks for more than 50% of hydrocarbon reserves worldwide [Bois et al., 1982]. Seismic imaging in the Trap-covered regions is a complex problem. Conventional seismic reflection methods have not been successful because of reverberations between high-velocity volcanic and low-velocity rocks. Large impedance contrasts within the volcanic sequences, caused by interbeds, breccia and vesicles, contaminate the primary reflections of interest with multiples and scattered noise in this range (Catchings and Mooney, 1988; Pujol et al. 1989, Jarchow et al., 1994; Sain et al., 2002). Subtrappean sediments form a low-velocity zone (LVZ) in seismic data sections because a high-velocity Trap layer is underlain by lower velocity sediments. Seismic refraction studies that used large energy sources can provide high-amplitude reflections from subtrappean interfaces at wide angles, where the noise is less dominant (Catchings and Mooney, 1988; Jarchow et al. 1994, Sain and Kaila 1996). Also, in certain favourable geological conditions, a high-velocity Trap layer that is underlain by low-velocity sediments causes seismic attenuation and travel time delays in the refracted first-arrivals; the magnitudes of the attenuation and delays being a function of the relative thickness of the two layers and their velocities (Catchings and Mooney, 1988; Tewari et al., 1995). Attenuation and travel time delays in the refracted phases and wide-angle reflected phases from top of the LVZ and basement, are indicative of low-velocity sediments beneath high-velocity volcanic rocks. Therefore, seismic refraction and wide-angle reflection data sets can be effectively used to constrain the subsurface velocity structure in Trap covered regions (Tewari et al., 1995; Fruehn et al., 2001; Murty et al., 2008; 2010, 2011).
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Figure 1. Location of the seismic profiles in (a) Kutch area, (b) Deccan Synclise and (c) West Bengal basin [after Rajendra Prasad et al., 2010, Murty et al., 2011a, 2011b, Murty et al., 2008].
Deep Seismic Sounding (DSS) studies in the Cambay-Narmada-Tapti region (Kaila et al., 1981, Sridhar and Tewari, 2001) indicate the presence of subtrappean Mesozoic sediments in grabens, separated by a horst. Similar studies in the eastern part of Deccan syncline (Kaila, 1986, Mall et al., 2002), indicate the presence of Gondwana sediments beneath the Traps. Integrated geophysical studies were carried out by the National Geophysical Research Institute (NGRI) in Kutch (NGRI, 2000), the western part of Deccan syncline (NGRI, 2004), which delineated Mesozoic sediments. The integrated studies in the eastern part of the Deccan syncline (NGRI, 2009) between the Satpura basin [NE] and Godavari Gondwana [SE] graben in central India, also delineated Gondwana sediments beneath the Traps. Kaila et al. (1992) and Murty et al. (2008) further delineated subtrappean Gondwana sediments beneath the Rajmahal Traps in west Bengal basin. In the present study, we analyze the seismic refraction and wide-angle reflection data sets of Kutch, the Deccan syncline and the Bengal basins, primarily to delineate thickness variations of the Trap and subtrappean sediments.

**SEISMIC DATA ANALYSIS**

In all seismic record sections displayed in this paper, we use the term $P_i$ as the P-wave refraction through layer $i$ and $P'$ as the P-wave reflections from top of layer $i$.

**Kutch basin:** Using two DFS-V seismic acquisition systems, seismic refraction data were acquired (NGRI, 2000) along the Jakhau-Mandvi [NW-SE], Mandvi-Mundra [W-E], Mundra-Adesar [SW-NE] and Hamirpur-Halvad [N-S] profiles, which totalled 355 km in length (Figure 1a). All the shots were recorded to an offset of 48 km, with a shot interval of 8-12 km, and a receiver interval of 100 m, using 4-ms sampling interval. Data were recorded simultaneously in (a) analog form on photographic paper, and (b) digital form (SEG B) on magnetic tapes. First-arrival refraction data were picked from monitor records and travel time curves were prepared. Shot gatherings of two reciprocal shot points [SP1 and 3] along the Jakhau-Mandvi profile is shown in figure 2a, b. Based on the slopes of the travel time curves, we identify four refracted phases $P_{2.0}$, $P_{4.6}$, $P_{5.4}$ and $P_{5.8}$. The direct wave ($P_{2.0}$) with a velocity
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Figure 3. Record sections for shot points (a) SP2 and (b) SP4 from the western Deccan syncline, along the Jhagadia-Rajpipla (SW-NE) profile. $P_1$, $P_2$, $P_3$ and $P_5$ are first-arrival refracted phases. Amplitude decay in the Trap refracted phase ($P_3$) and time skip between $P_3$ and $P_5$ indicate the presence of a low-velocity zone. $P^*$ and $P^p$ are wide-angle reflected phases from the top and bottom of the low-velocity zone (c) Shallow crustal velocity model along Jhagadia-Rajpipla profile (after Murty et al., 2011a).
2.0 km s\(^{-1}\) propagates within the alluvium (Tertiary) layer that is observed at the surface. The velocities of the second, third, and fourth phases are 4.6, 5.4, and 5.8 km s\(^{-1}\), respectively. These velocities are characteristic of Deccan Trap, limestone, and granitic basement rocks, respectively, in this region (Kaila et al., 1981). Shot gathers indicate amplitude decay in the P\(_{4.6}\) and P\(_{5.4}\) refracted phases and time skip between refracted phases P\(_{4.6}\) - P\(_{5.4}\) and P\(_{5.4}\) - P\(_{5.8}\), indicating the presence of low-velocity zones (LVZ) between P\(_{4.6}\) and P\(_{5.4}\) and P\(_{5.4}\) and P\(_{5.8}\). The low-velocity zones might be responsible for the decay of energy from the overlying high-velocity layer and the delay in arrival time of the refracted from the deeper high-velocity layer (Greenhalgh, 1977). The Trapped refracted segment P\(_{4.6}\) is short, indicating that the Deccan Trap layer is thin in this region. We observe the magnitudes of the amplitude decay and time skips to be a function of the relative thickness of both high- and low-velocity layers. Considering the prominent amplitude decay and time skips in the first-arrival refraction data, two LVZs are interpreted between P\(_{4.6}\) and P\(_{5.4}\) (Late Mesozoic) and P\(_{5.4}\) and P\(_{5.8}\) (Early Mesozoic) respectively along the Jakhau-Mandvi profile.

**Deccan Syncline**

Seismic refraction studies were carried out along nine profiles, covering a total length of 700 km in the western part of Deccan syncline (NGRI, 2004), and four profiles covering a total length of 600 km in the eastern part (Kaila et al., 1981) (Figure 1b). The data were recorded using RF Telemetry systems with a 100-m receiver interval, 8-10 km shot interval and 2 ms sampling interval. The data were recorded in SEG-D and converted to SEG-Y format. Record sections were generated from the SEG-Y data plotting the traces at their straight distance positions and band pass filtering [5-20 Hz]; the traces were normalized and plotted in a reduced-time scale with a reduction velocity 6.0 km s\(^{-1}\). Record sections of two shot points (SP2 and SP4) along the Jhagadia-Rajpipla profile (Figure 3a,b), two shot points (SP3 and SP4) along the Kothar-Sakri profile (Figure 4 a,b), in the western part, and two shot points (SP22, SP23) along the Khandala-Brahmanwada profile (Figure 5 a,b) in the eastern part of Deccan syncline, are displayed. We picked refracted and reflected travel times from phases identified with pick uncertainties ranging between 20 to 100 ms, depending on the pick quality and S/N ratio. We used refraction data to derive an initial model, and computed reflected times from the model to pick a more reliable phase of the reflection band consisting two cycles. Reciprocal times of the identified phases were checked for every pair of shot points.

The record section of SP 2 (Figure 3a) along the Jhagadia-Rajpipla profile of the western Deccan Syncline, shows four first-arrival refracted phases P\(_1\), P\(_2\), P\(_3\), P\(_5\). From the velocities of the phases (1.95-2.0, 2.7-2.9 km s\(^{-1}\)) and the surface geology, P\(_1\) and P\(_2\) represent phases from the Tertiary (Recent and Quaternary respectively) sediments. The velocities of P\(_3\) and P\(_5\) are 5.1 and 6.15 km s\(^{-1}\) – a characteristic of Deccan Traps and granitic basement, respectively. There exists an amplitude decay at 14 km offset from SP2 NE and also a time skip between the two refracted phases [P\(_3\) and P\(_5\)], indicating the presence of a low-velocity zone (LVZ) beneath the trap layer. The same phenomena of attenuation and travel time delay is observed in SP 4 (Figure 3b). Record sections for shot points 2 and 3 also show a wide-angle reflected phase (P\(_4\)), just above first-arrival refracted phase (P\(_3\)). The strong reflected phase immediately after the first-arrival, Trap refracted phase indicates the existence of a large velocity contrast. An apparent travel time delay and amplitude decay in the high-velocity refracted phase and the presence of a strong reflected phase just above the refracted phase (due to large velocity contrast), strengthens our argument for a LVZ beneath the Trap cover. We interpret this reflected phase as arising from the top of the LVZ. Similarly, we interpret another reflected phase (P\(_5\)) seen from SP 4, but we lack reversed coverage for that phase. We interpret P\(_3\) as a wide-angle reflected phase from the bottom of the LVZ. We observed the Trapped refracted phase (P\(_3\)) from SP2, occurs at ~ 5 km offset from shot point 2 NE (SP 2, Figure 3a), whereas the refracted phase (P\(_3\)) observed as far as 10 km towards the SW does not include a high-velocity refracted (P\(_3\)) phase. This indicates that the high-velocity Trap layer is deeper in the SW direction. Based on the above observations and on the exposures of Mesozoic sediments near Rajpipla, we infer that a low-velocity layer corresponding to Mesozoic sediments lies between the basement and the Deccan Traps.

The reversing shot points (SP3 and SP4) along the Kothar-Sakri [N-S] profile (Figure 4a,b) are located east of the Jhagadia-Rajpipla profile, in the western part of the Deccan syncline. The first two phases (P\(_1\) and P\(_2\)) with apparent velocities of 4.5-4.7 km s\(^{-1}\) and 5.0-5.2 km s\(^{-1}\), respectively, may represent two flows of Deccan Traps, and the third phase (P\(_3\)) with an apparent velocity 6.0 km s\(^{-1}\), may correspond to the basement. (P\(_2\)) extends to large offsets, indicating that the Deccan Trap layer is thick in this part of the profile. We observe amplitude decay in the Trap refracted phase (P\(_3\)) and a travel time delay between the Trap and basement refracted phases (P\(_3\) and P\(_5\)) in SP 4). Record sections show a reflected phase, which we designate as P\(_1\) just above the Trap refracted phase (P\(_3\)), we interpret P\(_1\) as a reflection from the top of the LVZ. We interpret another reflected phase (P\(_3\)) as a reflection from the bottom of the LVZ. P\(_3\) is relatively weak, probably due to attenuation of the seismic wave that propagates through thick Trap and LVZ layers.

Shot gathers from reversing shot points (SP 22 and 23) along the Khandala-Brahmanwada profile in the eastern
Figure 4. Record sections of shot points (a) SP3 and SP4 in western Deccan syncline, along Kothar-Sakri [N-S] profile. P₁, P₂, and P₃ are first arrival refracted phases. Amplitude decay in Trap refracted phase (P₂) and time skip between P₂ and P₃ indicate presence of low velocity zone. P² and P³ are wide-angle reflection phases from top and bottom of the low velocity zone, (b) corresponding ray diagrams and travel time fit for SP3 and SP4, (c) shallow crustal velocity model along Kothar-Sakri profile (after Murty et al., 2010).
Figure 5. Record sections of shot points (a) SP22 and (b) SP23 in eastern Deccan Synclise, along Khandala-Brahmanwada profile. P₁, P₂ and P₃ are first-arrival refracted phases. P⁴ and P⁵ are wide-angle reflected phases from the top and bottom of the low-velocity zone. S₁ and S₄ are S-wave refracted phases. S² and S⁴ are wide-angle reflected phases from the top and bottom of the low-velocity zone. (c) Shallow crustal velocity model along Khandala-Brahmanwada profile (σ-Poisson’s ratio) [after Murty et al., 2011b].

Part of Deccan synclise (Figure 5a, b), show there are three refracted phases [P₁, P₂, P₃] with velocities 1.4, 4.9-5.2, and 6.0-6.2 km s⁻¹ that correspond to alluvium, Deccan Traps, and granitic basement, respectively (Figure 5a, b). Attenuation of the high-velocity Trap refracted phase and a travel time delay between the Trap [P₃] and basement refracted [P₄] phases, are not seen from these shot points, whereas the same are clearly observed from the shot points at large offsets along this profile. There is a reflected phase [P⁴] that follows the P₂ refracted phase, which likely results from a LVZ beneath the Traps, which results in a large velocity contrast. There is a strong reflection (designated as P⁵) in the 10-15 km distance range. Considering the attenuation and travel time delay between the refracted phases [P₂ and P₄] at larger offsets, we interpret the wide-angle reflected phases (P³ and P⁵) from SPs 22 and 23 as
reflected phases from the top of a LVZ and basement. The reflected phase \( P^4 \) is strong, with a high-amplitude phase that infers a large velocity contrast. We do not observe significant energy loss associated with the reflected wave propagating between basement and the overlying layer, which is also indicative of a thin LVZ. In general, we have noticed that the strength of the reflection phase from the bottom of the low velocity zone, varies considerably based on the thickness of the high-velocity trap and low-velocity sediment layers. In the case of large trap and sub-trappean sediment thickness [Figure 4c], reflection phase is weak and in the case of thin trap and sub-trappean sediments [Figure 5c] the reflection phase emerges strong and the data quality is better.

**West Bengal basin**

Record sections from reversing shot (SP11A and SP12) along the Palashi-Kandi (N-S) profile in the west Bengal basin are shown in figure 6a, b. The profile was acquired during 1989-90, using a DFS-V seismic system with 80 m receiver intervals, ~10 km shot intervals, and a 4-ms sampling rate. All shots were recorded with up to 80 km offset. To show the reflected phases clearly, we use low-gain data at near offsets. We picked travel times of the first-arrival refracted phase \( P_1 \), \( P_2 \), \( P_T \) and \( P_{5.3} \) are first-arrival refracted phases. Amplitude decay in the Trap refracted phase \( P_T \) and traveltime delays between \( P_T \) and \( P_{5.3} \) are indicative of a low-velocity zone. \( P^{4.0} \) and \( P^{5.3} \) are wide-angle reflected phases from top and bottom of the low-velocity zone. [c] Shallow crustal velocity model along Palashi-Kandi profile is shown at the bottom [after Murty et al., 2008].
MODELLING RESULTS

Shallow crustal velocity models were derived from first-arrival refraction and wide-angle reflection data for Kutch, the Deccan synclise, and the west Bengal basins (Murty et al., 2008, 2010, 2011a,b; Prasad et al., 2010), using the travel time inversion method of Zelt and Smith (1992) and the ray tracing program, Rayamp-PC (1987). In the Kutch basin, we infer a five-layer model (Figure 2c) that overlies granitic basement between SP 1 to 6, and a four-layer above-basement model between Jakhau-Mandvi profile-I (Rajendra Prasad et al., 2010). The first layer is unconsolidated Tertiary sediments, which are varying between 0.8 to 0.5 km in thickness from the NE to SW (velocity of 2.0 kms^{-1}). We interpret the second layer to be Deccan Traps, with a velocity of 4.6 km s^{-1}. The Trap is thin [0.2 km] between SP 1 and 5 and increases in thickness to 0.7-0.9 km between SP 6 and 8. We interpret about 1 km of Mesozoic sediments [3.1 kms^{-1}] to underlie the Traps near SP1, increasing in thickness to about 2 km near SP8. The third layer is underlain by a 0.4-km-thick high-velocity layer [5.4 km s^{-1}] at SP 1 that increases in thickness to about 2.5 km between SP 5 and 8. Between the high-velocity [5.4 km s^{-1}] layer and basement [5.9 km s^{-1}], we interpret another low-velocity layer [3.5 km s^{-1}]. Basement is at 3.2 km near Jakhau, deepening to about 6.5 km near Mandvi.

Murty et al. (2011a) interpreted four layers above basement along the Jhagadia-Rajpipila profile in western part of Deccan synclise (Figure 3c). All the layers, including the basement, are deepest below shot point 1 [near Jhagadia in the southwest] and are shallowest towards northeast, near Rajpipla. Near-surface sediments range in velocity between 1.95-2.3 km s^{-1} and ranges in thickness from about 300 m near Jhagadia to 700 m near SP 2; this layer gradually thins towards the northeast and pinches out near shot point 5. We interpret ~ 2.9 km of Quaternary sediments [2.7-3.05 km s^{-1}] to underlie the near-surface recent sediments beneath SP 1 near Jhagadia. The Quaternary sediments layer rapidly decreases in thickness between SP 2 and SP 3 and is only about 150 m thick near Rajpipila. Deccan Traps [4.8-5.1 km s^{-1}] are about 1 km thick near SP 3, thinning to 500 m to the northeast and southwest. The top of the Trap layer dips steeply between shot points 2 and 3, resulting in a thickened deposit of Mesozoic sediments overlying the Trap layer southwest of SP 2, near Jhagadia. The Mesozoic sediments vary in thickness from about 1 km near Jhagadia [at SP 1] to 1.7 km near SP 2. The depth of basement [5.9-6.15 km s^{-1}] decreases from 4.6 km near shot point 2 to 2.6 km near SP 3 and further thins down to about 1.2 km near Rajpipla. Murthy et al. (2010) interpret three layers above basement (Figure 4c) along the Kothar-Sakri profile in western part of Deccan synclise. They interpret the first two layers [4.5-4.7 and 5.1-5.2 km s^{-1}], with layer thickness 0.15-0.30 km and 0.65-1.35 km respectively, as various flows of the Deccan Traps. The total Trap thickness is 1-1.50 km. The underlying low-velocity Mesozoic sediments [3.5 km s^{-1}] are 0.55-1.1 km thick along the profile, and basement [6.0 km s^{-1}] varies in depth from 1.5-2.45 km, dipping towards north. Murty et al. (2011b) modelled three layers above basement along Khandala-Brahmanwada profile (Figure 5c) in the eastern part of Deccan synclise. The first layer [1.4 km s^{-1}] represents alluvium, which is about 350 m thick at SP21A [near Khandala] that gradually thins towards the east before surfacing near Brahmanwada. The second layer [4.8-5.2 km s^{-1}] corresponds to Deccan Traps, with an average thickness of 1 km along the profile which is underlain by a thin layer [250-400 m] of Gondwana sediments [3.6 km s^{-1}]. Basement [6.1-6.2 km s^{-1}] is about 1.6 km deep in the NW near SP 0, decreasing to 1.35 km near Brahmanwada.

Murty et al. (2008) also modelled six layers above basement along the Palashi-Kandi profile (Figure 6c) in the west Bengal basin. The first two layers (1.9-2.1 and 2.8-3.1 km s^{-1}) correlate with alluvium and shale which are 0.3-0.75 km and 1.0-1.7 km thick, respectively. The third layer corresponds to Sylhet limestone (3.7 km s^{-1}) and is only a few hundred meters thick and is underlain by a ~1-km-thick layer of Rajmahal Traps [4.5-4.7 km s^{-1}]. About 1.8 km of Gondwana sediments [4.0 km s^{-1}] underlies the Rajmahal Traps near Palashi, shallowing to about 400 m near Kandi. Murty et al. (2008) interpret Sighbhum group of Meta volcanic rocks [5.4-5.6 km s^{-1}] to underlie the Gondwana sediments and overlie the crystalline basement [5.8-6.25 km s^{-1}], which varies in depth between 4.9 to 6.8 km, dipping towards the south.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The velocity models derived along various seismic profiles in the Kutch area, the Deccan synclise, and the west Bengal basin, delineate variations in the Traps and sub-trappean sediments and the basement depth. In the Kutch basin, Recent/Tertiary sediments overlie the Trap along the Jakhau-Mandvi profile. Shot records show near-surface refracted arrivals are followed by a shadow zone [travel time delay], a higher velocity layer, and a second shadow zone before the basement refraction. We correlated well data with our refraction/reflection data and interpreted the shallow crust along the Jakhau-Mandvi profile as Mesozoic sediments. We interpret high-velocity layer between the two low-velocity layers as limestone within Mesozoic sediments. From the derived velocity models along the four segments [Figure 2c], we infer a sedimentary graben between faults F1 and F3. The model shows that basement is 3.3 km deep near Jakhau, increasing to 6.7 km depth at Mandvi. Overlying the basement are five layers, with velocities of 2.0, 4.6, 3.1, 5.4, 3.5 km s^{-1}, which
we interpret as Tertiary sediments, Traps, Late Mesozoic sediments, Mesozoic limestone, and Early Mesozoic sediments, respectively. The model changes toward the northeast. The Traps are almost absent in the north, consisting of an older Mesozoic sequence. The basement shallows to about 3 km from Bachau north-eastward, and the limestone layer discontinues. Across the Little Rann, sediments (2.8 km/s) overlie the shallow (2 km) basement. The thick Mesozoic sediments in the southern Kutch basin are likely an extension of the thick Mesozoic rocks inferred in north-western Saurashtra, across the Gulf of Kutch.

The velocity models for the western part of Deccan syncline [Murty et al., 2011a, 2010] are shown in figures 3c and 4c. The Mesozoic sediments in western India occupy a large portion of Rajasthan, Gujarat, and Madhya Pradesh, and the sediments are mostly of marine origin; thus, they are important to the oil industry. On the south-eastern margin of the Cambay basin and the western part of the Deccan syncline near Raipipla, a 600-m-thick section of the Cretaceous Bagh beds (Mesozoic) is exposed. Poddar (1964) suggested the presence of suitable facies and favourable prospects for hydrocarbon-bearing Mesozoic sediments below the Deccan Traps towards the Anklewar oil field. From these considerations and the presence of a relatively thin trap, the thick (~1.7 km) Mesozoic sediments near SP 2 of the Jhagadia-Rajpilla profile [Figure 3c] are significant. Deep seismic sounding studies (DSS) across the Narmada-Son lineament revealed Deccan Traps and subtrappean sediments in the Narmada-Tapti region [Kaila, 1988; Sridhar and Tewari, 2001]. The area in the western part of the Deccan syncline lies between two DSS profiles, the Mehmadabad-Billimora profile in Cambay basin and the Thudara-Sindad profile to the east. Along the Thudara-Sindad profile, the Trap thickness is about 900 m, and south of Sendhwa [up to Tapti river], Mesozoic sediments are approximately 1.9 km thick. Sridher and Tewari [2001] observe evidence for a graben between the Narmada and Tapti rivers. Within the graben, basement is 5.0-5.5 km deep between Sendhwa and the Tapti river and contains 1.0-2.8 km Mesozoic sediments beneath the thick (2.0-2.7 km) Trap cover. From the present study of profiles in the western part of the Deccan syncline, Tertiary and subtrappean sediments are relatively thick in the southwest [Figure 3c]. Further east [Figure 4c], the Trap and subtrappean sediments are about 1 km thick. On the basis of isopach contour maps of the Mesozoic sediments in the Narmada-Tapti region, Kaila [1988] hypothesizes a Mesozoic basin in the Narmada-Tapti area that formed within a Mesozoic sea. Seismic profiles in the western part of the Deccan syncline show evidence for a Mesozoic basin in the region.

In the eastern part of the Deccan syncline, Deccan Traps are about 1 km thick, and subtrappean Gondwana sediments vary in thickness from 100-400 m [Figure 5c]. Seismic profiles in the eastern part of Deccan syncline [Murty et al., 2011b, Kaila, 1988, Kaila and Koteswara Rao, 1985, Mall et al., 2002] indicate a large variation in the thickness of the Deccan Traps in the E-W direction. The variation in the thickness of the Traps arises from the presence of volcanic plugs. Although Gondwana sediments outcrop north of the seismic profile, these sediments are relatively thin in the area of the Satpura horst. A Bouguer gravity low in the area suggests that the Godavari Gondwana graben and the Tapti-Purna fault extend northward of Nagpur. This Tapti-Purna fault is believed to bound the Satpura graben [Mishra, 1992]. The entire region of the eastern Deccan syncline, combined with the Gondwana rocks of the Wardha coal field, constitutes the elongated Godavari Gondwana basin, which extends towards the Satpura basin. Such a linear extension of Gondwana sediments might have resulted from some deep-seated tectonics, such as lithospheric upwelling associated with a plume or a hot spot track [Curray and Munasinghe, 1991], which, in turn, gave rise to the extensional tectonics that produced the rift valleys in this region.

Seismic profiles in the West Bengal basin [Kaila et al., 1996. Murty et al., 2008] delineate the Traps and subtrappean Gondwana sediments. The Rajmahal Traps are about 1.0 km thick, and Gondwana sediments are about 1.8 km thick in the south near Palashi but decreases to 0.4 km northward near Kandi [Figure 6c]. Wells in the west Bengal basin show a large variation in velocity of the Eocene limestone formation, varying from 5.8 km/s at the Diamond Harbour (0.9 km thick) to 3.2 km/s (0.2 km thick) at the Palashi -1 well [Kaila et al., 1996]. The limestone formation was not found further north in the Purane well, where basement is shallow near Jangipur and Malda [Chowdhury and Datta, 1973]. We suggest that the shallow basement might have limited the northward extension of the early Tertiary Seas towards Purane and acted as an effective structural barrier to the deposition of Sylhet limestone in the Early Tertiary. According to Chowdhury and Datta (1973) the basement high, while acting as a structural barrier in the early Tertiary period, did not interrupt the continuity of the Gondwanas from south to north. We infer that there was continuous deposition of the Gondwanas from south to north in local basement depressions at the initial stage of the break-up of Gondwana land during the Permocarboniferous period.
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ABSTRACT
The Magnetotelluric (MT) data from 14 MT stations along a NW-SE profile, having a length of 40 km, is processed for a period range of 0.01-100s along the coastal part of north-western Saurashtra, India to estimate the dimensionality of the MT data, using three different approaches, that is, Phase Tensor (PT) technique, WALDIM code, Swift's and Bahr’s Skew technique. The Swift's and Bahr’s skew result suggests 1D structure for low periods (0.01-1 s) and 2D structure for high periods (1-100 s), except for some sites which show a 3D subsurface structure for high periods (1-100 s). The results generated through PT and WALDIM code are consistent with those from Swift’s and Bahr’s skew technique. The strike estimated through Phase Tensor (PT), Groom-Bailey (GB) and Becken and Burkhardt (BB) technique for broad period 0.01-100s suggest NNE-SSW regional strike direction, which is well correlated with the Delhi-Arvalli tectonic trend. From the 1D inverse model, the Quaternary and Tertiary sediments are found up to the depth of 300-400 m followed by Deccan Trap. A conductive zone [having resistivity <20 Ohm-m] in the central part of the profile near Okha Rann is also observed which suggests the presence of a fault.

Keywords: Magnetotellurics, Dimensionality, Strike, Galvanic distortion, Western Saurashtra coast, Gujarat

INTRODUCTION
Gujarat state is divided into three regions namely, Mainland Gujarat, Kachchh and Saurashtra on the basis of seismotectonics and geomorphology of the region [Yadav et al., 2008]. The Saurashtra region comes under two seismic zones (IV and III) on the seismic zoning map of India [BIS, 2002]. The major portion of the Saurashtra peninsula is covered by Arabian Sea water. The interior of the Saurashtra is either covered by Deccan Traps or Quaternary deposits due to which no clear faults have been identified. Various geophysical studies have been carried out in the Saurashtra region for regional as well as local tectonic interpretation. From Gravity and Magnetic method, Mishra et al. [2001] delineated major structural trends using satellite data: (i) NE-SW Precambrian Aravalli trend, observed in entire Saurashtra but dominating in the SE part of Saurashtra. (ii) ENE-WSW to E-W trend, which represents the Precambrian Son-Narmada lineament trend in southern Saurashtra. (iii) The NW-SE trend, parallel to the west coast of Saurashtra, visible over the entire Saurashtra peninsula. (iv) N-S to NNW-SSW trend dominating in the eastern part of Saurashtra close to the Cambay basin. In central northern part of Saurashtra a Magnetotelluric survey with 607 stations was carried out in grid by Sharma et al. [2004], which identified near-surface structural features: (i) a near N-S trending fault/fracture passing through Amreli to Chotila and (ii) a near NW-SE trending fault passing through west of Lalpur. Subbarao et al. [2012] recorded magneto-variational fields by an array of magnetometers covering whole Saurashtra region and inferred the presence of two prominent conductivity anomalies: (i) the first elongated anomaly coinciding with the horst and graben offshore structures of Saurashtra and Kachchh, and (ii) the second anomaly is found over the Saurashtra depression that correlates well with the low-magnetization anomaly extending in the NW-SE direction towards Cambay basin. From Deep Resistivity Sounding (DRS) data, Singh et al. [2004] generated a geo-electrical section of Navibandar-Rajkot-Dhrangadhra and observed the resistivity of sediments less than 60 Ohm-m, traps of 100-600 ohm-m and basement of >1000 ohm-m. The presence of the number of hidden volcanic plugs in the area of Porbandar-Navibandar, Kutiyan, Junagadh and, Rajula region are observed in which some plugs are not exposed at the surface and could be an extension of Girnar, Baroda and Alech hills at depth. Patro et al., 2015 have acquired a N-S profile across the center of the Saurashtra and correlated the velocity model from the seismic study with the resistivity model generated from MT data and observed that velocity of different layers increases with increase in resistivity of the different layers. From MT data analysis the resistivity of 120 ohm-m, 20 ohm-m and >1000 ohm-m were found for Deccan trap, Mesozoic sediments, and basement rock respectively.

In a nutshell, most of the geophysical study has been conducted in the Southern and central part of the Saurashtra. The NW Saurashtra has not been covered with geophysical survey. Therefore, the MT survey was planned in the NW part of Saurashtra to understand the dimensionality, directionality and the conductivity variations in the subsurface. Total 14 MT stations have
been acquired. The MT data of 13 stations were used for further analysis and one station is rejected due to presence of high-level noise in the data. The dimensionality analysis has been carried out using Swift skew (Swift, 1967); Bahr skew (Bahr, 1988, 1991); Phase Tensor Analysis (PTA) (Caldwell, 2004), WALDIM decomposition technique (Marti et al., 2009). The strike analysis has been carried out using Multisite Multifrequency tensor decomposition (McNeice and Jones, 2001; Becken and Burkhardt, 2004).

**GEOLOGY AND TECTONICS**

The Saurashtra region is a horst structure (Biswas, 1982), bounded by the Cambay Rift Basin (CRB) in the east, West Coast Fault (WCF) in the west, extension of Son Narmada Fault in the south and North Kathiawar Fault (NKF) in the north [Figure 2]. Major geological and tectonic events of the Saurashtra region are narrowed to Mesozoic and Cenozoic era and associated with (i) break-up of Africa from the Indian block containing Madagascar and Seychelles, (ii) later breakup of Madagascar from India due to Marion hotspot activity, and (iii) break-up of the Seychelles plateau from India followed by eruption of Deccan volcanism related to interaction of Reunion hotspot activity (Subbarao et al., 2012). The region has observed various tectonic activities such as deviational forces causing inter-continental splitting, different phases of rifting, re-activation of the ancient fault zones and Deccan volcanism due to reunion plume activity. Major continental part is covered with the Deccan trap. The coastal plains fringing the trappean highland comprise Cenozoic cover consisting of Tertiary and Quaternary sediments (Biswas, 1987). The Tertiary exposures are patchy in occurrence and mostly belong to Dwarka Formation [Bhatt, 2000] [Figure 1]. A thin cover of Neogene and Quaternary sediments occurs at the top surface [Table 1].

**Magnetotelluric data and processing**

Magnetotelluristic [MT] data at 14 stations are acquired along the NW-SE traverse of ~40 km with ~3 km station spacing. The MT data acquisition system ADU-07e of M/s Metronix, Gmbh, Germany was deployed. The data was measured for five components, using induction coils (MFS-06) for three orthogonal channels of magnetic field variation [Hx, Hy, and Hz] and Pb-PbCl2 electrode dipoles [80m dipole length] for orthogonal electric field variations [Ex and Ey]. The MT data were recorded for about 48 hours over a broad period range. The time series data were processed using a software package Mapros to estimate the apparent resistivity and phase curves [Figure 3].

**METHODOLOGY**

In MT method, the horizontal Electric fields (E) and the horizontal magnetic fields (B) are linked through a...
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Figure 1. Geological Map of the study area of the Saurashtra region (Gujarat), along with Magnetotelluric Stations marked in black star symbol (modified after Bhatt, 2000).

Figure 2. Tectonic Precambrian trend (after Biswas, 2005) along with regional strike direction estimated through BB technique.
complex impedance tensor $Z$ [Bahr, 1988] in the frequency domain:

$$E = Z.B$$

$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} Z_{xx} & Z_{xy} \\ Z_{yx} & Z_{yy} \end{pmatrix}$$

**Swift skew**

Swift's skew indicates the dimensionality of the subsurface structure [Swift, 1967]. This is independent of rotation of the tensor matrix and the values ranges generally from 0 to 0.5 [Vozoff, 1972]. The values are less than 0.2 for 1-D and 2-D structures [Swift, 1967]. Higher skew values indicate the more complex structure of the site. In some cases, apparent resistivity and phase may be well-behaved but skew will show a high value if 3-D inhomogeneity is present [Berdichevsky, 1999]. Skew measures impedance components differences to find out the deviation from 2-D structures [Swift, 1967]. The diagonal terms are influenced strongly by noise. Consider the modified impedances.
Dimensionality and directionality analysis of the magnetotelluric data along the coastal part of western Saurashtra, Gujarat

\[ S_1 = Z_{xx} + Z_{yy}, \]
\[ S_2 = Z_{xx} + Z_{yy}, \]
\[ D_1 = Z_{xx} - Z_{yy}, \]
\[ D_2 = Z_{yy} - Z_{xx}. \]

Where, \( S_1 \) and \( D_1 \) are rotationally invariant.

Further, Swift’s skew is defined as,

\[ K = \frac{S_1}{D_1}. \]

**Bahr skew**

Bahr’s skew (BS) is a measure of the local 3-D distortion of regional 2-D fields based on impedance phase, rather than on impedance magnitudes that is the conventional definition of skew \( \{Wozoff, 1972\} \). For BS greater than 0.3 the data considered as 3-D [Bahr, 1991]. The Bahr’s skew is given as:

\[ \eta = \left( \frac{D_1 S_2 - S_1 D_2}{D_2} \right)^{1/2} \]

Where, \( D_1, D_2, S_1 \) and \( S_2 \) are derived in above equations.

**Extended Groom and Bailey decomposition**

Extended Groom and Bailey decomposition method [McNeice and Jones, 2001] has been used to find the most consistent 2-D parameters over a different frequency band. Extended GB decomposition [McNeice and Jones, 2001] utilizes all the physical constraints implicit to the Groom Bailey decomposition model [Groom and Bailey, 1989] which imposed simultaneously on all dataset and a global minimum misfit solution achieved to estimate a regional geo-electrical strike in presence of galvanic 3-D distortions. This decomposition technique shows shear and twist values. If the values are above +/-45 for shear and twist, the data is 3D in nature.

**Phase tensor analysis**

The invariant parameters lambda and beta determine the dimensionality of regional impedance tensor [Bibby et al., 2005 & Caldwell et al., 2004]. Bibby [2005] distinguished 1-D, 2-D and 3-D structures on the values of lambda and beta. In case of 1-D structure, the lambda as well as beta will be zero, for 2-D structure lambda become non-zero, while the beta become zero and for 3-D structure both beta as well as lambda become non-zero. The criterion provided by the phase tensor parameters is necessary but not sufficient for determining the dimensionality of the regional conductivity structure [Bibby et al., 2005]. The dimensionality evaluated using phase tensor gives the best result by considering the MT sites from different locations collectively. It has become common practice to combine the problem of determining the strike direction with distortion removal. The phase tensor provides the better solution for dimensionality and the strike direction. The Phase Tensor is defined as,

\[ \phi = X^{-1} Y \]
\[ \phi = (DX_R)^{-1}(DY_R) \]
\[ \phi = X^{-1} D^{-1}DY_R \]
\[ \phi = X^{-1} Y R = \phi_R \]

Where, \( \Phi \) and \( \Phi_R \) are measured and regional phase tensors, \( D \) is distortion tensor, \( X \) and \( Y \) are real & imaginary parts of the measured impedance tensor, \( X_R \) and \( Y_R \) are real and imaginary parts of regional impedance tensor.

The following equations derive the formulae of Lambda and Beta:

\[ \phi = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} X_{22} Y_{11} - X_{12} Y_{21} & X_{22} Y_{12} - X_{12} Y_{22} \\ X_{11} Y_{21} - X_{21} Y_{11} & X_{11} Y_{22} - X_{21} Y_{12} \end{array} \right]/\text{det}(X) \]
\[ \phi = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \phi_{11} \\ \phi_{12} \end{array} \right] \]

Where, \( \text{det}(X) = X_{11} X_{22} - X_{12} X_{21} \)

The simplest algebraic representations of the tensor invariants are the trace

\[ \text{tr}(\phi) = \phi_{11} + \phi_{22} \]

The skew is:

\[ \text{sk}(\phi) = \phi_{12} - \phi_{21} \]

and the determinant of the matrix is:

\[ \text{det}(\phi) = \phi_{11} \phi_{22} - \phi_{12} \phi_{21} \]

The first order function of all the invariants is:

\[ \phi_1 = \frac{\text{tr}(\phi)}{2} \]
\[ \phi_2 = \left[ \text{det}(\phi) \right]^{1/2} \]

and

\[ \phi_3 = \frac{\text{sk}(\phi)}{2} \]
\[ \phi_{\text{min}} = \left( \phi_{11} + \phi_{33} \right)^{1/2} - \left( \phi_{11} + \phi_{33} - \phi_{22} \right)^{1/2} \]
\[ \phi_{\text{max}} = \left( \phi_{11} + \phi_{33} \right)^{1/2} + \left( \phi_{11} + \phi_{33} - \phi_{22} \right)^{1/2} \]
\[ \text{Ellipticity}(\lambda) = \frac{\phi_{\text{max}} - \phi_{\text{min}}}{\phi_{\text{max}} + \phi_{\text{min}}} \]
\[ \text{Skew}(\beta) = \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\phi_1}{\phi_2} \right) \]

Therefore, PT ellipses can be plotted by obtaining values from Ellipticity \( \lambda \) and Skew angle \( \beta \).
Induction Arrows

Induction arrows are vector representations of the complex ratios of vertical to horizontal magnetic field components (Naidu, 2012). It determines the presence or absence of lateral variations in conductivity (Jupp and Vozoff, 1976). In a 2-D Earth, induction arrow is associated only with the E-polarization. Thus, insulator conductor boundaries extending through a 2-D Earth gives rise to induction arrows that orient perpendicular to them and their magnitude indicate the intensities of anomalous current concentrations (Wiese, 1962). The vectors point towards the anomalous internal concentrations of current (Simpson and Bahr, 2005) called Parkinson convention, whereas the vector points away from the internal current concentrations are called Wise convention.

WALDIM Technique

WALDIM, a FORTRAN code is used to perform the dimensionality analysis of Dwarka MT data. This code is based on Weaver et al. (2000) criteria. WALDIM technique allows categorizing the dimensionality into bands of periods for each site, in order to have a more stable estimate of the dimensionality. For particular site and their frequency, the code figures the type of dimensionality structure, as well as, strike direction and distortion parameters, including errors. This method of averaging over period bands differs from that used in the Strike Decomposition code, with the main difference that, whereas Strike Decomposition code provides least-squares fit to data from a given period band, however WALDIM averages the separate results within the band. Weaver et al. (2000) presented a dimensionality study based on sets of rotationally invariant scalars computed from the observed MT impedance tensor. The set of eight invariants $[I_1, I_2, I_3, I_4, I_5, I_6, I_7]$, and a dependent one $Q$ known as WAL invariants, defined in a way that the invariants represent a Mohr circle diagram. The $I_1$ and $I_2$ are non-dimensional and normalized to unity, their vanishing has a physical interpretation, specifically related to the geoelectric dimensionality. Based on these WAL invariants, Marti et al. (2009) developed a code for dimensionality analysis known as WALDIM. This provides a robust description of the subsurface dimensionality, as well as the parameters necessary for data correction prior to model.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

To obtain dimensionality information of the regional subsurface structure the Swift’s skew and Bahr’s skew are computed for a period range of 0.01-100 s, at all stations (Figure 4). The Swift’s skew values have been found less than 0.2° at majority stations for period range 0.01-100 s, which suggests the 1D and 2D nature of the structure, but at some stations the Swift’s skew plot shows higher value (i.e. > 0.2°) for period range 10-100s, indicating the 3D subsurface structure. The Bahr’s skew plot shows a value less than 0.3° for period range of 0.01-100s, which suggests the structure, is 1D and 2D, but at some sites, the value rises above 0.3° for period range 10-100s, indicating the structure is 3D. Patro et al., (2005), Tao et al., (2010), Naidu et al., (2011), Hubert, (2012), Barcelona et al., (2013), Oskooi et al., (2013) and Pranata et al., (2017) have also applied these techniques in the past.

Phase tensor ellipse calculated for the four different periods [0.1, 1, 10 and 100 sec] are presented in Figure 5. As stated earlier, Bibby, (2005) distinguished 1D, 2D and 3D structures based on lambda and beta values. Referring to the criteria given by Bibby (2005), the calculated phase tensor ellipses for 0.1sec and 1sec are close to circular, which indicates the 1D subsurface structure. The ellipses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Periods</th>
<th>Beta</th>
<th>Phimin</th>
<th>Phimax</th>
<th>Beta</th>
<th>Phimin</th>
<th>Phimax</th>
<th>Beta</th>
<th>Phimin</th>
<th>Phimax</th>
<th>Beta</th>
<th>Phimin</th>
<th>Phimax</th>
<th>Beta</th>
<th>Phimin</th>
<th>Phimax</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1s</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-6.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>-2.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-42</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>-3.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 4. Swift’s and Bahr’s skew plots showing 3D effects (data points marked with red circles) for periods greater than 1 sec.
at 10secs and 100secs are elongated, suggesting the 2D structure. At some stations, the plot shows high skew values which indicate 3D subsurface structure. The major axes of the ellipses are aligned mainly in NNE-SSW direction, which is consistent with the structural trend shown in Figure 2. The estimated values of major axes, minor axes and beta are mentioned in Table-2. Heise et al., [2008], Tao et al., [2010], Selway et al., [2012], Booker, 2014, Febriani et al., [2017] and Pranata et al., 2017 employed the phase tensor technique in their study to estimate the dimension of the subsurface structure.

According to Jones and Groom (1993), the proper selection of strike angle is important as it represents the structure in the model calculated from MT data and if the strike angle chosen is incorrect, it will lead to erroneous model. The choice of strike angle can be done by geological/tectonic trends of the region. There are several ways to estimate strike direction. In the present study, strike direction for broad period as well as various period bands is estimated through Phase Tensor (PT), Groom-Bailey (GB) and Becken and Burkhardt (BB) techniques [Figure 6]. The NNE-SSW strike direction has been estimated in the

**Figure 5.** Phase Tensor Ellipse plots along the MT profile for four different periods (0.1, 1, 10 and 100 Secs).
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The regional strike values are given in Table 3 for broad period range estimated through GB, BB and PT technique. Earlier, Patro et al. (2005) (BB technique); Naidu et al., 2011 (GB technique) and Barcelona et al., 2013 (GB technique), adopted these techniques in their study for the estimation of regional strike direction.

The dimensionality analysis has been conducted using WAL rotational invariants criteria the WALDIM code (Marti et al., 2009). The WALDIM code estimates the dimensionality in to period bands at each site. For each site the dimensionality of the band is the most occurring dimensionality response of the data in the band, if there occurs more than one dimensionality type in the band, priority is given to the lowest dimensionality. The dimensionality in the lower periods (0.0102 – 0.8286 sec) has been found 1D, except stations D12, D13, D14 and D15 (showing 3D and undetermined). The undetermined dimensionality is caused due to presence of error bars of the invariants Marti et al., 2009, while for higher periods (1.1110 – 90.4510 sec), it shows 3D/2D and 3D dimensionality at all stations [Table-4].

Figure 6. Rose diagram plot of regional strike direction for broad period through Groom-Bailey Decomposition (GBD), Becken and Burkhardt Decomposition (BBD) and phase tensor (PT) techniques.

Table 3. Strike values estimated for broad period range through three techniques (GB, BB and PT)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sites</th>
<th>GB [0.01-100s]</th>
<th>BB [0.01-100s]</th>
<th>PT [100s]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D2</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>18.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D4</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>08.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D5</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td>16.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D6</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>16.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D7</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>21.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D8</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>-14.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D9</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>20.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D10</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>21.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D11</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>16.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D12</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>01.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D13</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>08.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D14</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>-39.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D15</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>67.0</td>
<td>37.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
have used this technique in their study for dimensionality analysis (Marti et al., 2010; Tao et al., 2010; Barcelona et al., 2013; Marti et al., 2016 and Kashkouli et al., 2016).

The purpose of distortion decomposition is to separate local and regional parameters as much as possible under the assumption that the regional structure is at most 2D and the local structures cause only galvanic scattering of the electric fields (Groom and Bailey, 1989). According to Groom and Bailey (1989), a family of unit vectors of an electric field is given by a vector on the x-axis which is deflected clockwise by an angle \( \tan^{-1} e \), and a vector along the y-axis which is deflected in counter-clockwise direction with the same angle. Therefore, distortion parameter shear is estimated by a shear angle. Similarly, for estimation of twist angle, the electric field vectors rotate through a clockwise angle \( \tan^{-1} t \). RMS Error is a factor which indicates whether the MT data fits the distortion model with the acceptable value of RMS error or not. In Figure 7, the distortion parameters are calculated for various period bands as well as the broad period band. The maximum shear angle value observed for the period band 10-100s is 15.99°. Similarly, for twist angle, the maximum value observed for the period band 10-100s is 12.76°. Thus, for periods greater than 10s higher shear and twist values were observed, which could be the reason for the 3D structure shown in swift and Bahr skew results. However, the values of shear and twist angle for the broad period band is below \( \pm 45° \), which suggests a 2D structure. Thus, the distortion model fits the data with an acceptable average RMS error value of \( \approx 2° \).

According to Simpson and Bahr (2005), induction arrows can be used to demarcate the presence or absence of lateral variations in conductivity, as these vertical magnetic fields are caused by lateral conductivity gradients. Induction arrows eliminate the 90° ambiguity cases, which helps in proper choice of regional strike direction. The induction arrow for the period band of 0.01 to 100sec is given in Figure 8. Westward and eastward pointing arrows, respectively

---

**Table 4. Dimensionality Analysis using WALDIM technique.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sites</th>
<th>bands</th>
<th>Tmin.</th>
<th>Tmax.</th>
<th>Dimensionality</th>
<th>Sites</th>
<th>bands</th>
<th>Tmin.</th>
<th>Tmax.</th>
<th>Dimensionality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0102</td>
<td>0.0793</td>
<td>1D</td>
<td>D10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0102</td>
<td>0.0793</td>
<td>1D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1063</td>
<td>0.8286</td>
<td>1D</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1063</td>
<td>0.8286</td>
<td>1D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.1110</td>
<td>8.6570</td>
<td>3D/2D</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.1110</td>
<td>8.6570</td>
<td>3D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11.6078</td>
<td>90.4510</td>
<td>3D</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11.6078</td>
<td>90.4510</td>
<td>3D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0102</td>
<td>0.0793</td>
<td>1D</td>
<td>D11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0102</td>
<td>0.0793</td>
<td>1D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1063</td>
<td>0.8286</td>
<td>1D</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1063</td>
<td>0.8286</td>
<td>1D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.1110</td>
<td>8.6570</td>
<td>3D</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.1110</td>
<td>8.6570</td>
<td>3D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11.6078</td>
<td>90.4510</td>
<td>3D</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11.6078</td>
<td>90.4510</td>
<td>3D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0102</td>
<td>0.0793</td>
<td>1D</td>
<td>D12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0102</td>
<td>0.0793</td>
<td>1D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1063</td>
<td>0.8286</td>
<td>1D</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1063</td>
<td>0.8286</td>
<td>1D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.1110</td>
<td>8.6570</td>
<td>UNDETERMINED</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.1110</td>
<td>8.6570</td>
<td>3D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11.6078</td>
<td>90.4510</td>
<td>3D</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11.6078</td>
<td>90.4510</td>
<td>3D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0102</td>
<td>0.0793</td>
<td>1D</td>
<td>D13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0102</td>
<td>0.0793</td>
<td>3D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1063</td>
<td>0.8286</td>
<td>1D</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1063</td>
<td>0.8286</td>
<td>3D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.1110</td>
<td>8.6570</td>
<td>UNDETERMINED</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.1110</td>
<td>8.6570</td>
<td>3D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11.6078</td>
<td>90.4510</td>
<td>3D</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11.6078</td>
<td>90.4510</td>
<td>UNDETERMINED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0102</td>
<td>0.0793</td>
<td>1D</td>
<td>D14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0102</td>
<td>0.0793</td>
<td>1D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1063</td>
<td>0.8286</td>
<td>3D</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1063</td>
<td>0.8286</td>
<td>3D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.1110</td>
<td>8.6570</td>
<td>1D</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.1110</td>
<td>8.6570</td>
<td>3D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11.6078</td>
<td>90.4510</td>
<td>3D</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11.6078</td>
<td>90.4510</td>
<td>UNDETERMINED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0102</td>
<td>0.0793</td>
<td>1D</td>
<td>D15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0102</td>
<td>0.0793</td>
<td>1D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1063</td>
<td>0.8286</td>
<td>1D</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1063</td>
<td>0.8286</td>
<td>3D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.1110</td>
<td>8.6570</td>
<td>3D</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.1110</td>
<td>8.6570</td>
<td>3D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11.6078</td>
<td>90.4510</td>
<td>3D/2D</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11.6078</td>
<td>90.4510</td>
<td>UNDETERMINED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0102</td>
<td>0.0793</td>
<td>1D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1063</td>
<td>0.8286</td>
<td>3D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.1110</td>
<td>8.6570</td>
<td>UNDETERMINED</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Dimensionality and directionality analysis of the magnetotelluric data along the coastal part of western Saurashtra, Gujarat indicate a zone of low resistivity that trends parallel to the strike direction [real arrows are oriented perpendicular to the electric strike]. As expected, the real induction arrows point towards the conductive zone, present between the stations d9 and d10. Due to low resistive zone, the orientation of real induction arrows changes from east to west at station d9, also at station d2 the real induction arrows pointing towards the east, indicating a conductive zone. At increasing distance from the conductor the length of the arrows decreases. A sub-parallel alignment of the real arrows to their imaginary parts is another indication for a two-dimensional geometry [Rothe et al., 2004], which is observed at high periods [Figure 8].
Correlation of strike with 1D model

A 1D smooth modeling approach is adopted for delineation of subsurface resistivity structure subjected to Occam’s linearized scheme. The dimensionality analysis suggested 1D nature of data up to the 1s period. Therefore, 1D Occam inverse model up to 6 km depth is shown in Figure 9(b). The inverted model gave a resistivity value of \(<10\) Ohm-m for Quaternary and Tertiary sediments and \(~20\) - \(60\) Ohm-m for Trap layer. The thickness of sediments is observed about 500m deep in the Southern part of the profile and increases to \(~2\)km in the central part of the profile near Okha rann (Figure 9[b]). The thickness of sediments reduces to \(~1\)km in the Northern part of the profile. The strike trend matches well with the 1D resistivity model. The NS strike direction is observed at station D5, D8 and D13 and also the undulations are clearly seen at the boundary of Quaternary-Tertiary sediments and Deccan trap in the 1D resistivity model (Figure 9[b]).

CONCLUSION

In the present study, the Dimensionality and Directionality analysis have been computed using Phase Tensor Analysis (PTA) technique, WALDIM Fortran code, Swift’s and Bahr’s Skew technique, Groom-Bailey (GB) and Becken and Burkhardt (BB) technique. Dimensionality parameters Swift skew and Bahr skew plots show 2D subsurface structure except some stations showing values greater than 0.3° for higher periods, which indicates the 3D subsurface structure. Because of heterogeneity present in the area, the skewness values are not same for all MT stations and for all period bands. The Phase Tensor analysis for all MT stations at four different bands shows moderate skewness values, except some stations which shows high skewness values suggesting the subsurface structure is more than 2D, the WALDIM results are consistent with Phase Tensor analysis results. The distortion model generated from the Groom and Bailey decomposition technique fits the data with RMS error value of \(~2.0\). Regional strike estimated through three techniques (PT, GB, and BB) suggests a NNE-SSW direction, consistent with the Delhi-Aravalli trend. From 1D resistivity model, the undulations are found at the boundary of Quaternary-Tertiary sediments and Deccan trap in the central and Northern part of the profile, which indicates the presence of faults.
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ABSTRACT
Numerous interpretation techniques are available in the literature to interpret Vertical Electrical Sounding (VES) data and thus it becomes very difficult to choose one of them. A performance analysis of each method and its comparison with other techniques would aid the geophysicists to choose a better technique. An attempt has been made here to evaluate the performance and comparison of a few widely used interpretation techniques. The computer oriented interpretation techniques RESIST, IRESAN and IPI2Win have been subjected to rigorous analysis using input model parameters. These techniques are applied to interpret the theoretical three and five layered earth models generated for various combinations of resistivities and thicknesses and the output results are analyzed for their accuracy for all the three techniques. The analysis of their performance reveals that IPI2Win technique yields comparatively better results with minimum errors in the output of the interpreted parameters.

Key words: Vertical electrical sounding, Inversion algorithm, Percentage error, RMS error.

INTRODUCTION

The electrical method is one of the important geophysical methods in exploring the subsurface materials, particularly in shallow layers. The VES curves are usually interpreted using curve-matching procedures with the help of theoretical curves (Compagnie Generale de Geophysique, 1963; Flathe, 1963; Orellana and Mooney, 1966; Rijkswaterstaat, 1969) in conjunction with the auxiliary-point method of partial curve matching (Kalenov, 1957; Orellana and Mooney, 1966; Zohdy, 1965). Numerous techniques are available in literature for interpreting the VES data based on curve matching, inversion algorithm, forward algorithm and modeling to obtain the true resistivity and thickness of different layers. The utility of the master curves to interpret field data from various geological settings is rather restricted in the sense that the standard curves are available only for a limited number of layers of given resistivities and thicknesses. Quite often, it is found that the observed VES curve does not match with any of the available standard curves. In case of 5 or more number of layers, no standard curves are available for direct matching. In fact, even the experienced interpreter may find it difficult to obtain satisfactory curve matches, when he uses the auxiliary-point method for geoelectrical sections containing layers with small effective-relative resistances or small effective-relative conductance (Flathe, 1963; Zohdy and Jackson, 1973; Zohdy, 1974, Zohdy, 1975). The availability of inexpensive micro and personal computers has now made it possible to develop and implement algorithms, which can provide very efficient and accurate interpretation of VES data. Different computer programs like RESIST, IRESAN, Winsev, 1X1D, Zhond and IPI2 Win to interpret the resistivity sounding data are available based on different algorithms. In this study an attempt has been made to evaluate the performance of three most widely used techniques via IRESAN, RESIST and IPI2Win inversion algorithms for performance evaluation study. The percent errors in each parameter ($r_1, r_2, r_3, ..., h_1, h_2, ...$) for each technique, when applied to different models, are calculated.

WIDELY USED TECHNIQUES

Iterative Inversion

In this scheme, an initially guessed model is successively improved until the RMS [Root Mean Square] error is minimized to an acceptable level and the parameters remain stable [i.e., in successive iterations there is no improvement in the parameters], with respect to changes in the model. One of the simple ways to achieve this is provided by the Gauss method (Kowalik and Osborne, 1968), which requires accurate values of the partial derivatives of the model data with respect to their parameters. In this method, theoretical curves are computed from the initial guess model and matched with the observed values, which are improved iteratively until a best fit is achieved in the inversion process.

IRESAN

This program is developed by Das and Verma [1977], based on the steps of forward and inversion algorithms. While the theory for forward computation is largely reproduced from their work, the formulation for the inversion is based on the work by Jupp and Vozoff [1975].
INTERPRETATION OF SOUNDING DATA

IPI2Win is capable of solving electrical resistivity 1D forward and inverse problems for a variety of commonly used arrays for the cross-sections with resistivity contrast within the range of 0.0001 to 10000 ohm-m. The forward problem is solved using the linear filtering. In comparison, the inverse problem is solved using a variant of the Newton algorithm of the least number of layers or the regularized fitting minimizing algorithm using Tikhonov’s approach to solve incorrect problems. Prior information on layers depths and resistivities can be used for regularizing the process of the fitting error minimizing. The IPI2Win approach involving interactive semi-automated interpretation is preferable, since it considers both the effectiveness and the geological sense into consideration. Some of these, being rather of descriptive than quantitative nature, can hardly be introduced as formal parameters into the interpreting model. In this case, the interpreter’s experience and geological erudition may occur to be of even greater importance, than the calculation accuracy. The best fitting two-layered model is automatically suggested for the initial interpreting model of the present sounding point. Model editing involves altering the quantity of layers [from 2 up to 30] by means of splitting or joining them and changing the properties of the layers on the screen. The layer properties can be edited at the desired cell of the table in the model window. The theoretical curve will be redrawn for the present values of the model parameters.

The inversion techniques may yield several models that converge to a reasonable solution, with least RMS error. The model that fits the observed curve may not be the correct solution, keeping in view of the principle of equivalence. Hence, a detailed study is necessary and the models that fit the observed data is also to be analyzed in terms of its priority to the real model [actual lithology]. In this article we have attempted all these analyses.

METHODOLOGY

To test the performance of these three techniques, theoretical curves have been generated with Schlumberger array and subjected to interpretation. Schlumberger array is widely used for conducting Vertical Electrical Sounding surveys to explore the subsurface. Hence, the theoretical Vertical Electrical Sounding curves with Schlumberger array have been generated over three and five layer subsurface models for different combinations of resistivities and thicknesses. Six models for A, H and Q and eight models for K-type have been used for generating theoretical VES curves. Two models of HKH and KHA of five layers were also interpreted in this study (Figure 1).
Performance evaluation of different interpretation techniques of vertical electrical sounding data

All the three interpretation algorithms used in this study require initial guess model [Figure 2]. The input theoretical model is updated by optimization technique, till a best fit between theoretical and observed [minimum RMS error] is achieved. To analyze the performance, errors [0, ±5%, ±10%, ±20%, ±30%, ±40% and ±50%] have been added to the theoretical parameters (resistivity and thickness) of the model and are given as input initial guess values for the techniques. It means that the error of the input model is known so that final output values can be compared for its accuracy. The convergence criteria (RMS error) for each technique are noted. The output parameters are compared with the actual parameters of the model curve. Errors and percent errors are computed as the difference between the theoretical and interpreted values. Thus, the percent errors are computed for all the error ranges introduced to the input models in all the parameters, such as resistivities and thicknesses for the three interpretation techniques.

RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

A-type curves

Six models of A-type theoretical curves, generated for different combinations of resistivities ($\rho_i$) and thicknesses ($h_i$): $\rho_1$, $\rho_2$, $\rho_3$ and $h_1$; $h_2$ respectively are (1) 1:2.5:20 and 1:5 [2] 1:2.5:20 and 1:20 [3] 1:5:20 and 1:5 (4) 1:5:20 and 1:20 [5] 1:7.5:20 and 1:5 [6] 1:7.5:20 and 1:20. These theoretical VES curves are interpreted using RESIST, IRESAN and IPI2Win inputting the initial guess models with errors of 0, ±5%, ±10%, ±20%, ±30%, ±40% and ±50% added to the actual values. The output parameters corresponding to RMS error minimum are considered. The
difference between the actual theoretical parameters of the $\rho_1$, $\rho_2$, $\rho_3$, $h_1$ and $h_2$ and output values of $\rho_1$, $\rho_2$, $\rho_3$, $h_1$ and $h_2$ are computed and percent errors are calculated. The plots (Figure 3, A1 to A6) show -0.8 to 0.5 percent error in all the parameters ($\rho_1$, $\rho_2$, $\rho_3$, $h_1$ and $h_2$) in the case of IPI2Win and the RMS error is also less than 0.05%. On the other hand, IRESAN also yields reasonable results with percent errors less than 1% in all the parameters with the exception of a few cases [for resistivity ratios 1:5:20 and 1:7.5:20] where percent errors is around 5% in first layer resistivity and thicknesses. This is true for all the ranges of error in the initial input models. In the case of RESIST program, though the convergence criteria [minimum RMS error] is achieved, the output values of the parameters are in large errors [maximum of $\pm 50\%$ particularly in first and second layers parameters], when the initial guess model is away [$\geq \pm 30\%$] from the actual model. It can also be observed from all the plots of Figure 3, that in certain cases [when the thickness of second layer is more (1:20), the percent error in the output is small ($<1.5\%$) for the over estimates ($5\%$, $10\%$, $20\%$, $30\%$, $40\%$ and $50\%$) of the initial input parameters. And for underestimates ($-5\%$, $-10\%$, $-20\%$, $-30\%$, $-40\%$ and $-50\%$), the error percentage in the output increases with the increase of error in the input for all the parameters. However, it can be confidently said, if the initial guess model is close to actual model [$0$, $\pm 5\%$], the
Figure 3. Percentage error plots (A1 to A6) from three computer programs [IP12Win, RESIST and IRESAN] of A-type model curve with different resistivity and thickness ratios. RL-1, RL-2 and RL-3 are percentage errors in resistivities of layers-1, 2 and 3 and TL-1, TL-2 are percentage errors in thicknesses of layers-1 and 2.
percent error in output is very less ($\leq 10\%$), whereas in the case of IRESAN program, the percent error in output values is constant for all the subsurface parameters and for all the ranges of percent errors in the input models. In all the cases of models considered, the IPI2Win yields better results and percent error in output values is less than $\pm 0.5\%$ whatever may be the percent deviation of the input model from the actual one. The RMS error is also less than 0.05\% for IPI2Win, less than 1\% for RESIST and less than 2\% for IRESAN (Appendix).

**H-type curves**

Theoretical curves in H-type have been generated for six models of subsurface for different combinations of resistivities ($r_1$) and thicknesses ($h_1$). The models for ($r_1$, $r_2$, $r_3$ and $h_1$, $h_2$) are (1) $1:0.2:10; 1:5$ (2) $1:0.2:10; 1:20$ (3) $1:5:10; 1:4$ (4) $1:0.5:10; 1:20$ (5) $1:0.8:10; 1:5$ (6) $1:0.8:10; 1:20$. Same procedure is followed as in the above case. The percent error plots of IPI2Win results (Figure 4, H1 to H6) show $\pm 1\%$ in all the parameters ($r_1$, $r_2$, $r_3$, $h_1$ and $h_2$) and the RMS error is also less than 0.102\%.

In the case of IRESAN program, these plots show that the percentage errors are less than $\pm 2\%$ for most of the layer parameters and for all input error ranges. However, in certain cases of large errors ($\geq 10\%$) in the initial model, the error in the output values is around $\pm 5\%$. In the case of resistivity ratio of $1:0.2:10$ and thickness ratio $1:5$, the percent error is $\pm 5\%$ (Figure 4, H1 and H5) in second layer resistivity and thickness though the RMS error is less than 3\% (Appendix). It is observed from the plots (Figure 4, H1 to H6) that the percentage errors are constant for all of all parameters. In certain cases, if the initial guess model is close to actual model (0, $\pm 5\%$), the percent error in output is very less ($\leq 2\%$). It is noticed from the plots (Figure 4, H6), the output percentage error from IRESAN program is fluctuating if the resistivity contrast between first and second layers is less ($1:0.8$) and thickness of the second layer is more ($1:20$).

In the case of RESIST program, the output values reveal percentage errors less than one for all the error ranges of input values, but with the exception of the case where resistivity contrast between first and second layers is very less ($1:0.8:10$) (Figure 4, H5 and H6). In this case also, the percent error in first layer is less than $\pm 5\%$, that too for large errors ($\geq 50\%$) of input model. RMS error is 0.4\%. If the resistivity contrast is more between first and second layers and for large errors in input model, the output values are constant with percentage error ranging from -4.5 to 2.5. However, it can be confidently said, if the initial guess model is close to actual model (0, $\pm 5\%$), the percent error in output is very less ($\leq 0.5\%$). The maximum RMS error values for all the interpreted H-type curves for RESIST, IRESAN and IPI2Win are less than 0.1\%, 2.85\% and 0.1\% respectively (Appendix).

**Q-type curves**

Q-type theoretical curves generated for six models for ($r_1$, $r_2$, $r_3$ and $h_1$, $h_2$) are (1) $1:0.2:0.1; 1:5$ (2) $1:0.2:0.1; 1:20$ (3) $1:0.5:0.1; 1:5$ (4) $1:0.5:0.1; 1:20$ (5) $1:0.8:0.1; 1:5$ (6) $1:0.8:0.1; 1:20$. All the three techniques have been applied for obtaining the true values of resistivities and techniques. The percent error plots of output results with IPI2Win algorithm (Figure 6, Q1 to Q6) show -1.5 to 1 percent variation in all the parameters ($r_1$, $r_2$, $r_3$, $h_1$ and $h_2$) with the exception in a few cases where percent errors is around 4\% in the thickness of second layer. The RMS error (Appendix) is also less than 0.42\%.
Figure 4. Percentage error plots [H1 to H6] from three computer programs [IPI2Win, RESIST and IRESAN] of H-type model curve with different resistivity and thickness ratios. RL-1, RL-2 and RL-3 are percentage errors in resistivities of layers-1, 2 and 3 and TL-1, TL-2 are percentage errors in thicknesses of layers-1 and 2.
Figure 5. Percentage error plots (K1 to K8) from three computer programs [IPI2Win, RESIST and IRESAN] of K-type model curve with different resistivity and thickness ratios. RL-1, RL-2 and RL-3 are percentage errors in resistivities of layers-1, 2 and 3 and TL-1, TL-2 are percentage errors in thicknesses of layers-1 and 2.
Figure 6. Percentage error plots (Q1 to Q6) from three computer programs [IPI2Win, RESIST and IRESAN] of Q- type model curve with different resistivity and thickness ratios. RL-1, RL-2 and RL-3 are percentage errors in resistivities of layers-1, 2 and 3 and TL-1, TL-2 are percentage errors in thicknesses of layers-1 and 2.
IRESAN yields reasonable results with almost constant errors varying from -10% to 10% except in the case where the resistivity contrast between first and second layers is 1:0.8 and second layer thickness is 5 times the first one. The percentage error in the output values of the thickness of layer 1 is in large error (16%) that too when the initial guess model is away (>20%) from the actual model. This indicates uncertainty of the program for the guess parameters with low resistivity/thickness contrasts for input model. In the case of RESIST program, the percent error in most of the output parameters is less than 3% and never more than 5% with exception of Q5 and Q6 models (Figure 6, Q5 and Q6). In these cases also, the percent error in the output model for the first layer thickness is in proportion to the error in the input model (maximum of 30%). The RMS error values for RESIST, IRESAN and IPI2Win are respectively in the ranges 0.1% – 0.4%, 0.62% – 6.2% and 0.18% – 0.42% [Appendix].

Five layer cases

Theoretical VES curves have been generated over five layer subsurface models. The two resistivity and thickness ratios considered for these models are (ρ1: ρ2: ρ3: ρ4: ρ5 and h1: h2: h3: h4) are (1) 1:0.2:1.0:0.1:10, 1:5:20:20 and (2) 1:10:0.4:2.0:20, 1:5:10:10. The two model curves have been interpreted using all the three interpretation algorithms after adding errors to the parameters of input model.

Analysis of HKH type curve (1.0:0.2:1.0:0.1:10; 1:5:20:20) for different error ranges in input models, the percent errors in the output parameters of all the three techniques have been computed and plotted (Figure 7a). The percent error in resistivities for IPI2Win is almost zero or around ±1% for all the layer parameter except layer 4 where percent error increases with increasing error in input model. This may be due to large resistivity contrast (0.1:10) between layer 4 and 5. The percent error in thickness is also zero in case of thickness of layers 1, 2 and 3. In the case of the layer 4, the percent error is around -15% for underestimates of input models. In the case of overestimates of input model percent error in the output increases. The percent is as large as around 40% when input model is in 50% error. In the case of IRESAN algorithm, the percent error in the output of resistivity parameters varies in the range of 4% to 20% for all the layers except for overestimates in resistivity of the layer 4, where the percent error increases to ±40% with increase of error in input model. The percent error varies between 5% and 30% in case of thickness for the layers 1, 2, 3 and 5, where as the percent error increases for thickness of the layer 4 with increase of error in input model. The analysis of RESIST algorithm shows that for overestimates of error in input model, the percent error in output values is less than 5% and for underestimates of error in input model, the percent error in output of resistivity values increases with the increase of error in input. But for resistivity values of layer 4 and 5, the percent in output increases with increase in the error of input model. The same inference is true in case of output of thickness parameters.

In analysis of KHA (1:10:0.4:2:20; 1:5:10:10) (Figure 7b), IPI2Win algorithm shows (Figure 7b) a percent error in resistivity values of around ±1% in layers 1, 2, 3 and 5 and for all the error ranges of the input models. In the case of the layer 4, the percent error in the output is zero for all the ranges of error in input models. But when input model error is more than 30%, the percent error in the output substantially increases to very high values (~2500%). This may be due to large contrast (1:10:0.4:2:20) in the resistivities between 2nd, 3rd and 4th layers. In the case of thickness, the percent error in output values is in the range -0.2% to 28% for the entire range of input error models, with exception of layer 4, where the error percent is high around 50% for all the input error models.

In case of IRESAN, the percent error is around ±5% for all input error models in resistivity values of layers 1, 2 and 5 (Figure 7b). In case of layer 3 and 4, the percent error varies with error in input model. The same analysis is true in thickness parameter also, except for layers 3 and 4 which vary with percent errors in input models.
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The analysis of RESIST algorithm yields less error (<5%) in the output of resistivity of layers 1, 2 and 5 for all the input error models with the exception of -50% for which the error in output is around -30%. In case of layer 3 and 4 with increase of error in input model, there is an increase in error in output values. The percent error in thickness for layer 1 and 2 is less than ±5% for overestimates in input error. For underestimates in input error of more than -30%, the output percent error is large (±40%). In case of layers 3 and 4, the percent error increases with increasing error in input models.

EXAMPLES

Two field curves from different locations corresponding to different lithologies were interpreted with all the three techniques.

Example 1

A sounding carried out at Jaddangi village (17.4801°N, 82.1545°E) in East Godavari district covered by granite gneiss, was compared with the lithology of a drilled well at a distance of 196 m is taken for reference for comparing interpreted results (Figure 8). The sounding curve is subjected to interpretation by the three programs using two initial guess models. From a comparison of the results (Table 1), it is observed that in spite of the large deviations in the two initial models, the final output values of resistivities and thicknesses for all the three layers for both the initial models are consistent in the case of IPI2Win algorithm and differ in the case of RESIST and IRESAN particularly with respect to the parameters of the layers 2 and 3.

Example-2

The Vertical Electrical Sounding data has been taken from the published work [Kedareswarudu et al., 2006] over Borra caves, Visakhapatnam district (Figure 9). The actual measured depth to the bottom of cave from surface is approximately 2.5 meters. The results of interpretation using three different algorithms and with two initial guess models with large deviations are shown in table 2. The interpreted results of IPI2Win show more or less same values in output layer resistivities and thicknesses though there is deviation in the two input models (Table 2). On the other hand, the interpreted results of RESIST and IRESAN show differences in the output parameters for the two input models.
The measured depth to the bottom (~ 2.5 m) of cavern (revealed by high resistivity value is due to cavity) is in agreement with the results of IPI2Win (first and second layer thickness is 1.25+1.39= 2.64 meters).

**CONCLUSIONS**

From the analysis of all percent error plots of all the parameters, it is observed that IPI2Win yields less error irrespective of the magnitude of percent error in the input model. However, IRESAN and RESIST methods also yielded good results in certain cases of models and in some parameters only and showed large errors in other parameters. All the three algorithms are very effective, when the input models are close to the actual ones. Choosing of an initial model very close to actual model (unknown) is very difficult. RESIST and IRESAN algorithms reveal that the contrast in resistivities and
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thickness between the subsurface layers plays a significant role in the interpreted results. If the contrast in the parameters [Resistivity/Thickness] of the model is large and also when the input model is in large error, the output parameters are in large errors. This analysis is true for all the cases of VES curves of the entire subsurface models. The IPI2Win algorithm yields better interpreted results even though the input model deviates largely from the actual model. The RMS error is never greater than 0.2% (Appendix) and much less in the case of five layer models. The RMS error is comparatively large for IRESAN software and is less than 5% (Appendix). RESIST software shows an RMS error around 0.1% for most of the models and in a few cases converges to a high value and never more than 0.9% (Appendix).

In cases of A and K type model curves (figures 3 and 5), RESIST algorithm software yields large percent errors, if the input model parameters are in large under estimates, whereas if the input parameters are in large over estimates, the percent errors in output are not much. For H and Q type curves (figures 4 and 6), this method showed errors which are in proportion to the deviation of the input model in the output. From the above study, it is inferred that the IPI2Win results are more reliable showing consistent output, in spite of large deviations in the initial guess models.
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### Table 1. RMS error values of three algorithms for different percentage errors in input models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Error</th>
<th>RMS error value</th>
<th>Model Error</th>
<th>RMS error value</th>
<th>Model Error</th>
<th>RMS error value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.7441 0.0456</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
<td>0.1 1.7441 0.0456</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RMS error values of three algorithms for different percentage errors in input models.
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ABSTRACT
Rainfall and runoff are essential components of water resources in a watershed. Similarly, the rainfall is a principal source of surface water storage in lakes or tanks. Assessment of water availability for storage, in artificial/manmade structures depends upon the rainfall and runoff characteristics in a catchment. Surface water storage in tanks/lakes is also an important factor in tank irrigation and enhancement of ground water level. It is fairly well known that the runoff in a catchment is governed by several factors like land use/land cover, amount of rainfall, intensity of rain, type of soil, soil moisture and other hydro-geomorphological features. In view of this, the present study considers two minor irrigation (MI) tanks, Bilikere and Halebidu, which have dried up completely since 2004. The collective catchment (BKHB) of both the tanks is a mini watershed that covers 46.33 km² area, in Lakshman Theertha River basin, which is a sub-basin of the river Cauvery flowing through semi-arid region of Mysore district of Karnataka. Soil Conservation Service Curve Number (SCS-CN) method is integrated with RS and GIS technology to estimate the runoff. Study reveals that the volume of runoff is decreasing in recent times due to the change of land use pattern and significant decrease in intensity of rainfall in the studied region.

Key words: Bilikere and Halebidu tanks, Mean daily intensity (MDI), Antecedent moisture condition (AMC), Surface runoff, Soil conservation service curve number (SCS-CN).

INTRODUCTION
The process of surface runoff is intricate in nature and is governed by several inter-connected physical and morphological factors. There are various methods available for estimating the depth of runoff such as the rational method, empirical equations and watershed simulations. Estimation of surface runoff from a catchment area having the records of rainfall is complicated. For the BKHB catchment, which is the area under study, no specific records of runoff are available. SCS-CN method, one of the watershed simulation methods is best suited for such situations, where runoff records are not available. Hence for the present case study, SCS-CN method is adopted for estimating the runoff. The advantage of SCS-CN method is that it includes several influencing factors governing the runoff such as type of soil, antecedent moisture condition (AMC), land use/land cover (LULC) by integrating them into a single dimensionless factor called curve number (CN).

In fact, several methods based on SCS-CN are being used by researchers worldwide. For example, a methodology described by Morel-Seytoux and Verdin (1981) based on the relationship between CN, hydraulic gradient (K) and corresponding storage suction factor (S₀), has been used in calculating the surface runoff by Silveira et al. (2000). A renewed SCS-CN procedure based on soil moisture accounting (SMA) was established by clearing the confusion between intrinsic parameter and initial condition by Michel et al. (2005). Using the volumetric concept, CN was determined for gauged as well as ungauged watersheds that exhibited a power relation between the potential maximum retention and 5-day AMC. This modified SCS-CN was used and found to give similar results between actual and predicted values of runoff [Mishra and Singh 2006]. The accuracy of the modified SCS-CN method by incorporating the observed degree of saturation for the estimation of potential maximum retention parameter [S], coupled with a geomorphological unit hydrograph transfer function, was also tested in simulating catchment response [Brocca et al., 2009]. Best performance is however achieved by adopting median, geometric mean and asymptotic fit procedures for the determination of standard CN and monthly CN values to estimate runoff [Gundalia and Dholakia 2014].

Earlier studies carried out by many researchers [Pandey et al., 2003; Rao et al., 2011; Dhawale, 2013; Bansode and Patil, 2014; Tailor and Shrimali, 2016; Satheeshkumar et al., 2017] have shown that the SCS-CN method is best suited for Indian conditions. Therefore, in the present case study, runoff estimation is carried out by using modified SCS-CN model for Indian conditions, coupled with Remote Sensing (RS) and Geographical Information System (GIS) techniques.
STUDY AREA

Hunsur Taluk of Mysore district has seven MI (Minor irrigation) tanks with overall CCA (Culturable command area or atchkat) of 740 ha (Hectares). Among seven lakes, two major lakes, Bilikere Lake and Halebidu Lake, have dried up completely since 2004. These lakes are situated between 12°21’10.163”N and 12°17’37.353”N latitude and 76°25’46.296”E and 76°31’4.167”E longitude as shown in Figure 1. Both the tanks are rain fed perennial water bodies situated at an altitude of 695 m and 680 m above mean sea level (msl) respectively, towards west of Mysore in a suburban area. The water was usually used for agriculture, horticulture, fish culture and domestic purposes. The combined catchment of BKHB is 46.33 km² with a highest elevation of 774 m and lowest elevation of 680 m above msl. Geologically, the area comprises of granites, gneisses and charnockite rock stratum. The catchment is an agricultural terrain, in which major part of the land is a cropland, sparse vegetation and poor soil cover. Inconsistent rainfall lead to recurring drought in the study area. Plate 1 shows the temporal satellite images of the study area and Table 1 shows the details of satellite, sensor, date of acquisition, path and row.

DETAILS OF STUDIED TANKS

There are about 27 water bodies including ponds and lakes ranging from 0.7 ha to 62 ha in the BKHB catchment. This catchment is a part of Lakshman Theertha River which is a sub-basin of Cauvery river. The Bilikere Lake is having a catchment of 23.71 km², live capacity of 21 MCFt (Million cubic feet) with water spread area of 36.8 ha and a total physiographical area of 62.12 ha. Similarly, the Halebidu Lake has a catchment of 22.62 km², live capacity of 18 MCFt with a water spread area of 30 ha and a total physiographical area of 58.33 ha. [Minor Irrigation Department, 2014].

DATA

i. Topographic map of 1:50,000 scale from Survey of India (SOI), D43W7 and D43W11; 2005 edition, is used as the base map.

Table 1. Details of Satellites and Sensors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>Name of the Satellite</th>
<th>Sensor</th>
<th>Date of acquisition</th>
<th>Path</th>
<th>Row</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Land Sat -5</td>
<td>MSS</td>
<td>05/12/1994</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Land Sat -5</td>
<td>MSS</td>
<td>06/11/1996</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Land Sat -5</td>
<td>MSS</td>
<td>11/09/1999</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Land Sat -5</td>
<td>MSS</td>
<td>16/12/2004</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Land Sat -5</td>
<td>MSS</td>
<td>31/12/2006</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>IRS-P6</td>
<td>LISS-III</td>
<td>03/11/2009</td>
<td>099</td>
<td>065</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ii. Landsat images from USGS are used to generate the LULC maps by supervised classification.

iii. Stream network is generated using Arc Hydro tools and CARTOSAT-1; [2005-2014] DEM Image-Stereo Data, ISRO, India.

iv. The daily rainfall from 1975-2014 for Hunsur taluk of Mysore district is used for the analysis. The data is collected from Karnataka State Natural Disaster Monitoring Centre (KSNDMC), Bengaluru.

v. Soil map is acquired from National Bureau of Soil Survey and Land Use Planning (NBSS and LUP), Bengaluru is used to generate soil map and hydrological soil group map.

vi. Figure 2 depicts the methodology used for estimation of runoff from BKHB catchment.

METHODOLOGY

Estimating runoff: SCS-CN Method

SCS-CN method developed by soil conservation services of USDA in 1969, 1972, 1986 [USDA-NRCS 1986] is a modest, predictable and stable conceptual method for estimation of direct runoff based on storm rainfall depth. It depends on only one parameter Curve Number (CN). The CN varies for different soils, land use/land cover and hydrological conditions. The method is based on the basic theory of water balance equation of the rainfall in a known interval of time Δt, which can be expressed as

\[ P = I_a + F + Q \]

where \( P \) = total rainfall, \( I_a \) = initial abstraction, \( F \) = cumulative infiltration excluding \( I_a \) and \( Q \) = direct surface runoff [all in units of volume expressed as depth in ‘mm’ occurring in time \( \Delta t \) = 1day].

The above equation is modified with two fundamental hypotheses, which are stated as [i] ratio of the actual direct runoff \( Q \) to the maximum potential runoff \( P-I_a \) is equal to the ratio of the actual infiltration \( F \) to the potential infiltration \( S \) and [ii] the amount of initial abstraction is some fraction of the potential maximum retention \( S \) and is given by

\[ \frac{Q}{(P-I_a)} = \frac{F}{S} \]

\[ I_a = \lambda S \]
Combining equation 2 and 3 and solving
\[ Q = \frac{(P - \lambda S)^2}{P + (1 - \lambda)S} \text{ for } P > \lambda S \text{ and } Q = 0 \text{ for } P \leq \lambda S \quad 4 \]

Generally the value of \( \lambda \) varies from 0.1 to 0.3 for Indian conditions. In this study it is considered as 0.2, hence the equation 4 reduces to
\[ Q = \frac{(P - 0.2S)^2}{P + 0.8S} \text{ for } P > 0.2S \text{ and } Q = 0 \text{ for } P \leq 0.2S \quad 5 \]

The parameter ‘S’ in mm representing the potential maximum retention depends upon the soil-vegetation-land use complex of the catchment and also upon the AMC in the catchment just prior to the commencement of the rainfall event. It is expressed in terms of a dimensionless parameter CN as
\[ S = \frac{25400}{CN} - 254 \quad 6 \]

The CN (varies from 0 to 100, a value of 100 represents \( S=0 \) and a value of ‘0’ represents \( S=\infty \)) is determined using a data table, based on land cover, hydrological soil groups (HSG) and AMC. CN value is obtained from technical release TR-55 (USDA-NRCS, 1986).
The modified SCS-CN method for larger watersheds, by weighted curve numbers with respect to watershed/land cover area and is given

\[
CN_w = \frac{\sum_{i} CN_i A_i}{A}
\]

where \( CN_w \) = weighted curve number, \( CN_i \) = curve number from 1 to any number ‘i’, \( A_i \) = area with curve number \( CN_i \) and \( A \) = total area of the catchment (Subramanya, 2008).

Antecedent soil moisture condition (AMC)

Antecedent moisture condition denotes the moisture content present in the soil at the beginning of the rainfall-runoff event under consideration. It is well known that initial abstraction and infiltration is governed by AMC. For purposes of practical application three levels of AMC are recognized by SCS as shown in Table 2. These values are applied only to AMC-II to get the values CN-II. In turn, the values of CN-I and CN-III for AMC-I and AMC-III is determined using the equation 8 and 9.

\[
CN_I = \frac{CN_{II}}{2.281 - 0.01281 CN_{II}}
\]

\[
CN_{III} = \frac{CN_{II}}{0.427 + 0.00573 CN_{II}}
\]

Plate 1. Temporal satellite images of the study area
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Figure 2. Methodology for the calculation of runoff from BKHB catchment

Table 2. AMC Conditions for calculating CN - as per USDA-NRCS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AMC Type</th>
<th>Condition of soil</th>
<th>Total rain in previous 5 days (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dormant season</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Soils are dry but not to wilting point</td>
<td>&lt;13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Average conditions</td>
<td>13 to 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Saturated soil condition prevails</td>
<td>&gt;28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Results of LULC classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Land use/land cover classification</th>
<th>Area - ha</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Water in lakes and ponds</td>
<td>141.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Settlement - Rural</td>
<td>195.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Vegetation – Open forest and wetlands</td>
<td>257.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Vegetation – Agricultural plantations, Trees Etc.,</td>
<td>586.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Stony scrub and Rock out crops Etc.,</td>
<td>25.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Agricultural crop lands – Both kharif and rabi</td>
<td>3427.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>4633.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Land use/land cover classification (LULC)

Loss of vegetation cover and agricultural land, deforestation and destruction of important wet lands is a complex feature of environmental degradation. LULC is a significant process of detecting the historical changes of landforms. The land cover features are classified from the satellite data using supervised classification [Lillesand and Kiefer, 2007] method in conjunction with Google Earth Images and ground truth data. Thematic maps are generated using Arc GIS software [ESRI, 2010]. The land cover features identified in the study area are water in lakes and ponds, rural settlement, open forest and wetland vegetation [consisting more of eucalyptus plantations], Agricultural plantations are mainly of coconut plantations, rock outcrops and stony scrub, agricultural crop land includes both Kharif and Rabi seasons with straight row treatment practice. The main crops in the study area are ragi and tobacco [Raithamitra, 2014]. Classification is carried for two different years, one for the year 1994 [Figure 3] and other one is for 2004 image [Figure 4]. It is observed from LULC maps that, water spread area in tanks and ponds reduced significantly from 2004 onwards. An increase in wet land plantations especially eucalyptus plantations near and surrounding the dry lakes [The units are confirmed by field visits]. Simultaneously, there is a reduction in
agricultural plantations. No change in cropland area is observed. Increase of rock outcrop is observed and this is caused due to quarry extraction/mining. Results are presented in Table 3 and Figures 5 and 6.

**Soil map and hydrological soil map**

**Soil texture**

Six varieties of soil texture have been identified in the study area which are gravelly sandy loam, loamy sand, sandy clay, sandy clay loam, clay and rock outcrops with dyke ridges. The soil map is shown in Figure 7 and is generated using the map from NBSS and LUP. Maximum depth of gravelly sandy loam, loamy sand and sandy clay is 20 m, sandy clay loam varies up to 9 m and clayey soil ranges up to 16 m.

**Hydrological soil group (HSG) mapping**

Soils are classified by the Natural Resource Conservation Service into four Hydrologic Soil Groups based on the soil's runoff potential. The four Hydrologic Soils Groups are A, B, C and D (USDA-NRCS 1986).

**Group A**: Sand, loamy sand or sandy loam types of soils. It has low runoff potential and high infiltration rates, even when thoroughly wetted. They consist primarily of
deep, well to excessively drained sands or gravels and have a high rate of water transmission.

**Group B**: Silt loam or loam. It has a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wetted and consists predominantly or moderately deep to deep, moderately well to well drained soils with moderately fine to moderately coarse textures.

**Group C**: Soils are sandy clay loam. They have low infiltration rates when thoroughly wetted and consist essentially of soils with a layer that impedes downward movement of water and soils with moderately fine to fine structure.

**Group D**: Soils are clay loam, silty clay loam, sandy clay, silty clay or clay. This HSG has the highest runoff potential. They have very low infiltration rates when thoroughly wetted and consist mainly of clay soils with high swelling potential, soils with a permanent high water table, soils with a clay layer at or near the surface and shallow soils over nearly impervious material.

In the present study, the soil texture is classified into three hydrological soil groups A, C and D as shown in Figures 8 and 9. It is seen that, 59% of the soil texture falls in the group ‘A’ indicating low runoff potential and high infiltration rate when thoroughly wetted, and consists of excessively drained sands or gravels. 16% of soil texture fall in ‘C’ group suggesting low infiltration and moderate rate of water transmission and remaining 25% of the texture indicate group ‘D’ suggesting low infiltration rates and high runoff potential. The drainage pattern is dendritic in the BKHB catchment, 1st and 2nd order streams dominate the catchment as shown in the Figure 8 and most of them are formed in group ‘A’ soil signifying that, very high intensity

---

**Figure 5.** Percentage area distribution of LULC for the year 1994.

**Figure 6.** Percentage area distribution of LULC for the year 2004.
rainfall is required to overcome the rate of infiltration in the catchment and to generate surface runoff.

**Curve number (CN) calculation**

The CN values for each hydrological soil group and corresponding land use class during years 1994 and 2004 are organized as shown in Table 4. The values are for AMC-II condition. From this CN value, CN-I and CN-III values for AMC-I and AMC-III is calculated using equation (8) and (9). In order to homogenize the curve numbers, weighted curve number is determined for the BKHB catchment. The weighted CN-II values are 77.36 for 1994 and 75.95 for 2004 (Table 5).
Rainfall analysis

Average annual rainfall of Hunsur taluk is 802.37 mm, distributed with a coefficient of variation (CV) of 29.34%, standard variation (SD) of 235.4 mm. The minimum average annual rainfall of 100 mm was in 2012 and a maximum average annual rainfall of 1416 mm in 1999. Excess precipitation (>962.8 mm) occurred in 8 years, Deficient rainfall occurred in 5 years. Scanty rainfall occurred in only one year i.e., in 2012 (-88%) and normal rainfall (range 649.9 mm to 954.82 mm) occurred in 26 years among 40 years, indicating that the average annual rainfall is normal in the taluk.

Intensity of rain

The intensity of rainfall is a measure of the amount of rain that falls over time. Forty years daily rainfall data is analyzed and classified as per India Meteorological Department (IMD, 2016); NR: no rain (Intensity of rainfall equal to zero); VLR: very light rain (rainfall between 0.1 mm - 2.4 mm per day); LR: light rain (between 2.5 mm - 7.5 mm per day); MR: moderate rain (7.6 mm - 35.5 mm per day); RH: rather heavy (35.6 mm - 64.4 mm per day); HR: heavy rain (64.5 mm - 124.4 mm per day); VHR: very heavy rain (124.5 mm - 244.4 mm per day); EHR: extremely heavy rain (greater than 244.5 mm per day). Details of various intensities are
It is noticed that the intensity of VLR and LR has increased considerably since 2005, MR persists uniformly, RH and HR has decreased significantly. Mean daily intensity (MDI)
It is the ratio of average rainfall to the number of rainy days (as per IMD- a rainy day is described as a day with a rain amount of 2.5 mm or more). Average annual number of rainy days is 55 and average annual MDI is 14.92 mm per rainy day. Figure 10 displays the variation of average annual rainfall and number of rainy days from 1975 to 2014. It is noticed that the rainfall is relatively uniform and the number of rainy days is increasing. Figure 11 shows the relation between average annual rainfall and MDI.
Runoff estimation using SCS-CN method for degrading lakes/tanks: a case study of Bilikere and Halebidu tanks, Karnataka (India)

Rainfall is more consistent and MDI is in the negative trend. This tendency is due to the increase of more VLR and LR intensities than RH and HR.

**Runoff calculation**

The daily rainfall data for 40 years from 1975 to 2014 is considered for the runoff calculation. Initial abstraction is calculated at 20% of the maximum potential retention ($I_a = 0.2S$). If the storm event is higher than the initial abstraction, the runoff exists for that rainfall event. From Figures 12 and 13, it is observed that exponential curve fitting is better than linear fit (considering the 'R' value) between rainfall and runoff. It is seen that a minimum of 508 mm annual rainfall is required to get 43 mm runoff from linear regression and whereas 603 mm is required to get the same runoff in exponential fitting. The equation (10) for linear regression with 'R' value of 0.55 and exponential regression with 'R' equal to 0.74 is given by equation (11).

$$Y = 0.1072x - 11.465; R^2 = 0.3061$$

$$Y = 0.0035x^{1.466}$$

From Table 7, it is observed that a minimum rainfall of 14.87 mm/day to 16.09 mm/day is required to start the runoff process in the BKHB catchment for average AMC conditions. Table 6 shows the annual runoff and MDI from 1975 to 2014. From the observation of satellite images from 1975-2014, it is established that both the tanks were getting filled till 2003, except for the years 1990 and 1998. It is also seen that the lakes/tanks are dry since 2004; therefore a scatter plot between MDI and runoff is drawn.
as shown in Figure 14. The equation for linear trend with ‘R’ value of 0.8 is given by

$$Y = 11.703x - 100.04$$  

where \(Y\) = runoff and \(x\) = mean daily intensity.

From the equation (12) it is consequent that a least MDI value of 8.6 mm/day is required to have the surface runoff. Assessment of runoff data reveals that, when the runoff is higher than 43 mm (AMC-II) both the lakes/tanks were full with a corresponding MDI value greater than 12.3 mm/rainy day and average annual rainfall greater than 603 mm. Looking at the Table 6 and Figure 15, it is observed that the runoff is significantly in the decreasing trend since 2004 even though the rainfall is more consistent. This is because of the decreasing MDI. Though the value of runoff is greater than 43 mm in the years 2010 and 2014, the tanks are not getting filled due to the lower value of MDI. Whereas in the year 2011, both runoff and MDI are higher than the specified values; smaller ponds and ditches were filled but not Bilikere and Halebidu lakes. This may be due to the increased wetland vegetation cover in the catchment and clogging of stream channels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Average annual rainfall</th>
<th>NR</th>
<th>VLR</th>
<th>LR</th>
<th>MR</th>
<th>RH</th>
<th>HR</th>
<th>VHR</th>
<th>RND</th>
<th>MDI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1975</td>
<td>862.30</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1976</td>
<td>699.20</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1977</td>
<td>868.30</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1978</td>
<td>689.10</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1979</td>
<td>940.90</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>691.00</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1981</td>
<td>1073.00</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1982</td>
<td>550.40</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>748.50</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1984</td>
<td>550.00</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1985</td>
<td>703.90</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1986</td>
<td>566.90</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>776.50</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>766.00</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>754.90</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>428.60</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>1991</td>
<td>942.40</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>1297.20</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>743.00</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>1148.20</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>792.90</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>661.00</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>1139.20</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>662.00</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>1416.00</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>942.80</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>823.90</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>637.40</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>720.60</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>742.90</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>1003.20</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>758.20</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>877.90</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>721.20</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>980.50</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1126.40</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>780.87</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>647.50</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>760.00</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg</td>
<td>802.37</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td>14.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Rainfall in mm, NR-No rain, VLR-Very light rain, LR-Light rain, MR-Moderate rain, RH-Rather heavy rain, HR-Heavy rain, VHR-Very heavy rain)
Runoff estimation using SCS-CN method for degrading lakes/tanks: a case study of Bilikere and Halebidu tanks, Karnataka (India)

Table 7. Annual runoff values with Mean Daily Intensity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Annual-Rainfall [mm]</th>
<th>Annual Runoff [mm]</th>
<th>MDI [mm/rainy day]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AMC-I</td>
<td>AMC-II</td>
<td>AMC-III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>862.30</td>
<td>15.23</td>
<td>102.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976</td>
<td>699.20</td>
<td>16.69</td>
<td>101.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977</td>
<td>868.30</td>
<td>20.49</td>
<td>102.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978</td>
<td>689.10</td>
<td>6.91</td>
<td>44.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979</td>
<td>940.90</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>74.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>691.00</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>46.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981</td>
<td>1073.00</td>
<td>24.11</td>
<td>123.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1982</td>
<td>550.40</td>
<td>83.28</td>
<td>152.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1983</td>
<td>748.50</td>
<td>11.37</td>
<td>77.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1984</td>
<td>550.00</td>
<td>6.95</td>
<td>58.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985</td>
<td>703.90</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>58.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986</td>
<td>566.90</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>41.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1987</td>
<td>776.50</td>
<td>66.77</td>
<td>174.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td>766.00</td>
<td>17.80</td>
<td>87.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td>754.90</td>
<td>26.72</td>
<td>87.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>428.60</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>16.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>942.40</td>
<td>20.64</td>
<td>131.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>1297.20</td>
<td>14.14</td>
<td>122.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>743.00</td>
<td>10.49</td>
<td>66.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>1148.20</td>
<td>22.33</td>
<td>129.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>792.90</td>
<td>9.60</td>
<td>59.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>661.00</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>43.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>1139.20</td>
<td>26.24</td>
<td>129.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>662.00</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>24.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>1416.00</td>
<td>34.73</td>
<td>179.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>942.80</td>
<td>19.79</td>
<td>102.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>823.90</td>
<td>44.98</td>
<td>144.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>637.40</td>
<td>7.46</td>
<td>56.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>720.60</td>
<td>4.59</td>
<td>57.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>742.90</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>22.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>1003.20</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>21.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>758.20</td>
<td>5.83</td>
<td>39.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>877.90</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>36.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>721.20</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>22.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>980.50</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>34.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1126.40</td>
<td>12.37</td>
<td>65.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>780.87</td>
<td>5.08</td>
<td>49.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>647.50</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>34.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>760.00</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>53.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>802.37</td>
<td>14.21</td>
<td>74.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure 13.** Exponential relationship between annual rainfall and annual runoff.

**Figure 14.** Linear relationship between MDI and annual runoff.

**Figure 15.** Annual rainfall and annual runoff for all 3-AMC conditions (1975-2014).
CONCLUSIONS

SCS-CN model combined with RS and GIS is used to calculate the surface runoff from BKHB catchment. The following observations are made from the present study:

i. Daily rainfall data from 1975 to 2014 reveals that, the Antecedent Moisture Condition (AMC) in the catchment for the Kharif and Rabi season belongs to average conditions, i.e., AMC-II.

ii. Land use/land cover classification of 1994 and 2004 image discloses that, the water bodies have dried up from 3% to 0.1%, vegetation cover in and around the wetlands has increased significantly from 6% to 12%, rural settlement also has increased from 4% to 6%.

iii. Almost sixty percent of the catchment area is occupied under the category of ‘A’ group of HSG, indicating higher permeable soil texture and low runoff potential. Hence, necessitates a minimum of 15 mm rainfall per day to have the surface runoff.

iv. BKHB Catchment is dominated by first and second order streams and majority of them are formed in group-A soil which leads to higher rate of infiltration.

v. Weighted curve number values of 77.36 and 75.95 suggest that the basin is having low to moderate runoff capability.

vi. Potential maximum retention of soil varies from 75 to 80 mm for the BKHB catchment.

vii. Rainfall analysis shows that, the average annual rainfall is uniform in the region, however intensity of rainfall is decreasing gradually.

viii. Mean Daily intensity is also in the negative drift from the average value.

ix. Number of rainy days is in the positive movement but with low intensity rainfall.

x. Direct runoff has lowered from 2004. This may be due to increase in vegetation cover surrounding the lake and stream bed.

xi. A runoff value higher than 43 mm is essential for both the tanks to get water upto the maximum level.

xii. A minimum of 603 mm annual rainfall required to have 43mm annual runoff.

xiii. A least MDI value of 12.3 mm/per rainy day is necessary to have minimum runoff.

xiv. Thus, it may be concluded that the surface runoff in the BKHB catchment has decreased since 2004 and hence the lakes/tanks in the catchment have dried up completely.
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ABSTRACT
To balance between the demand and supply and ever increasing demand, it is necessary to conserve natural resources like watersheds, with proper prioritization. In that sense, morphometric analysis of any drainage basin is considered of high importance in hydrological investigation. Morphometric parameters describe the topology, the structure, the platform and the relief of basin, applied for the prioritization of watersheds. Some pre-information such as runoff, stages of its development and soil loss etc. are also provided by the analysis of morphometric parameters. In the present study, an attempt has been made to prioritize sub-watersheds based on morphometric analysis in relation to slope instability. The base map of stream network were digitized from toposeets no. 78A/4, 78A/8, 78B/1, 78B/5 and 78B/6 (Scale 1:50000) and then updated on Google earth. Arc GIS 9.3 software and MS excel-2007 was used to assess 13 morphometric parameters i.e. bifurcation ratio (Rb), length of overland flow (Lof), drainage density (Dd), stream frequency (Fs), texture ratio (Rt), drainage texture (Td), compactness coefficient (Cc), constant of channel maintenance (Ccm), shape factor/ basin shape (Sf), form factor (Ff), circularity ratio (Rc), elongation ratio (Re) and relief ratio (Rr). The results showed that SW 4, SW 5 and SW 8 fall under very high priority class in respect of soil erosion and soil loss or simply instability, having Rb of 3.532 – 4.002, Dd of 5.986 – 6.538, Fs of 14.934 – 29.447, Td of 9.765 – 23.158, Rt of 7.055 – 16.958, Lof of 0.069 – 0.084, Rr of 0.209 – 0.302, Cc of 1.265 – 1.554, Ccm of 0.139 – 0.167, Sb of 2.969 – 5.556, Ff of 0.180 – 0.337, Rc of 0.420 – 0.634 and Re of 0.479 – 0.655. Sub-watershed wise highest priority was obtained by SW 5 followed by SW 8, SW 4, SW 3, SW 9, SW 1, SW 6, SW 16, SW 2, SW 17, SW 15, SW 7, SW 10, SW 11, SW 12, SW 14, and SW 18.

Keywords: Sub-watersheds, Morphometric parameters, GIS, Compound Ranking Method, Prioritization.

INTRODUCTION
Drainage basin or watershed is the basic or ideal areal unit of the earth surface in geomorphological study of fluvially eroded landscapes (Chorley, 1969), for the management and sustainable development of natural resources [Patel et al. 2013]. Keeping in view of the increasing population demand and food security for the future generation, it is realized that the water and land resources need to be developed and used and managed comprehensively in an integrated way [Biswas et al., 1999]. Morphometric analysis is very much important in analyzing the changing quality of water and soil of a small hilly micro watershed. In addition, it is very useful in regional flood frequency analysis, hydrological modeling, watershed prioritization, natural resources conservation and management, drainage basin evaluation, etc. For that, it requires measurement of linear, areal and relief aspects of the basin (Nautiyal, 1994). The estimation of morphometric parameters of a hilly catchment gains more importance in spite of the difficulty in collection and measurement of hydrologic data [National Institute of Hydrology 1998]. Hence, GIS is an effective tool, not only for collection, storage, management and retrieval of spatial and non-spatial data, but also for the derivation of the useful results and modeling (Gupta and Srivastava, 2010; Srivastava et al., 2011). Pioneering work on the drainage basin morphometry has earlier been carried out by Horton (1932, 1945), Smith (1950), Stahler (1952, 1964), Miller (1953), Schumm (1956), Melton (1958) and Chorley (1969). Prioritization of sub-watersheds based on morphometric analysis were also attempted by Biswas et al. (1999), Chopra et al. (2005), Patel et al. (2013), Rekha et al. (2011), Panhalkar et al. (2011), Vandana (2012), Patil and Mali (2013), Panda and Nagarajan (2013), Tolessa and Rao (2013), Ali and Ali (2014), Amnani and Safaviyan (2015) etc. Shrimbal et al. (2011) delineated and prioritized of soil erosion areas in Sukhana lake catchment in the Shiwalik hills by using RS and GIS. Nookaratnam et al. (2005) carried out check dam positioning by prioritization of micro-watersheds using Sediment Yield Index (SYI) model and morphometric analysis using GIS. Similarly, Javed et al. (2009) and Javed et al. (2011) studied on prioritization of sub-watersheds of Kanera watershed in Guna district of Madhya Pradesh and Jaggar watershed in the Karauli district of Rajasthan respectively, based on morphometric and land use analysis using RS and GIS techniques.
Apart from these studies, Patel et al. (2013) carried out a case study to select suitable sites for water harvesting structures in Varekhadi watershed, a part of Lower Tapi Basin (LTB), Surat district, Gujarat by overlaying of Shuttle Radar Topography Mission DEM, soil map and slope map using RS and GIS approach. Chirala et al. (2015) worked on Meghadrigedda catchment in Visakhapatnam, India for mapping of soil erosion zones using RS and GIS techniques.

The present study focuses on sub-watersheds wise slope instability analysis and prioritization based on the 13 morphometric parameters of the Balason river basin, Darjeeling Himalaya, West Bengal [India], using RS and GIS techniques. Prioritization rating of 18 sub-watersheds is carried out through compound ranking method. The sub-watershed with the lowest rank is given the highest priority in terms of erosion and suggested for conservation measures urgently.
**STUDY AREA**

The Balason river basin which is located in the western part of Darjeeling district, confronted frequent destructive landslide events, was chosen as a study area [Figure 1]. The major right bank tributary of the Mahananda River, the Balason River originates from Lepchajagat (2361 m) located on the Ghum-Simana ridge. Balason river basin coversparts of Rangli Rangliot, Naxalbari, Matigara, Jorebunglow Sukiapokhri, Mirik and Kurseong block of Darjeeling district in West Bengal, having an area of 378.45 km². It is located in between 26° 40´ N to 27° 01´ N and 88°7´E to 88°25´ E. The main Balason River flows north to south-east having length of about 51.92 km and joins Mahananda River at 26°41´28´´ N and 88°24´15´´ E . The highest and lowest elevations are 2613 m found in southeastern corner and 105 m in Southern part of the basin respectively. About 83% of basin area falls within the slope 0°-30° revealing the activeness of the total erosional agents in its upper reaches. The area having above 30° slope have mostly been deforested and affected by weathering processes. The average rainfall of the basin is 2300 mm [range 2000-5000 mm] which is primarily dependent on southwest monsoon and occurs mostly in the months of June to September. The mean annual temperature is about 20.94°C. The northern upper hill tract and southern alluvial zone have a mean annual temperature of 12°C and 24.70°C respectively (Lama, 2003).

**Table 1. Empirical formula for calculating of morphometric parameters**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Morphometric Parameters</th>
<th>Types/ Aspects</th>
<th>Methods/ Formulae</th>
<th>References</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bifurcation Ratio (Rb)</td>
<td>Linear aspect</td>
<td>(Rb = Nu/Nu+1) (Nu = ) Total number of stream segment of order ‘u’, (Nu+1 = ) Number of stream segment of next higher order</td>
<td>Schumm, 1956</td>
<td>Unit less</td>
<td>1.6-4.103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Length of Overland Flow (LoF)</td>
<td>Linear aspect</td>
<td>(LoF = 1/2Dd) (Dd = ) Drainage density</td>
<td>Horton, 1945</td>
<td>Km/sq.km</td>
<td>0.069-0.857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Drainage Density (Dd)</td>
<td>Areal aspect</td>
<td>(Dd = L/A) (L = ) Total length of streams, (A = ) Area of watershed</td>
<td>Horton, 1945</td>
<td>Km/sq.km</td>
<td>0.583-7.207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Stream Frequency (Fs)</td>
<td>Areal aspect</td>
<td>(Fs = N/A) (N = ) Total number of streams, (A = ) Area of watershed</td>
<td>Horton, 1945</td>
<td>No. of stream/sq.km</td>
<td>0.069-29.447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Texture Ratio (Rt)</td>
<td>Areal aspect</td>
<td>(Rt = N1/P) (N1 = ) Total number of first order streams, (P = ) Perimeter of watershed</td>
<td>Horton, 1945, Schumm, 1956</td>
<td>No. of first order stream/km</td>
<td>0-16.958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Drainage Texture (Td)</td>
<td>Areal aspect</td>
<td>(Td = Nu/P) (Nu = ) Total number of streams, (P = ) Perimeter of watershed</td>
<td>Horton, 1945</td>
<td>No. of stream/km</td>
<td>0.069-23.158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Compactness Coefficient (Cc)</td>
<td>Areal aspect</td>
<td>(Cc = 0.2841*P/A^{0.5}) (P = ) Perimeter of watershed, (A = ) Area of watershed</td>
<td>Gravelius, 1914</td>
<td>Unit less</td>
<td>1.198-2.156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Constant of Channel Maintenance (CcM)</td>
<td>Areal aspect</td>
<td>(CcM = 1/Dd) (Dd = ) Drainage density</td>
<td>Horton, 1945</td>
<td>Sq. km/km</td>
<td>0.139-18.714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Shape Factor/ Basin Shape (Sf)</td>
<td>Areal aspect</td>
<td>(Sf = Lb^2/A) (Lb = ) Length of watershed, (A = ) Area of watershed</td>
<td>Horton, 1932</td>
<td>Unit less</td>
<td>1.780-5.804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Form factor(Ff)</td>
<td>Areal aspect</td>
<td>(Ff = A/Lb^2) (A = ) Area of watershed, (Lb = ) Length of watershed</td>
<td>Horton, 1932</td>
<td>Unit less</td>
<td>0.172-0.562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Circulatory ratio (Rc)</td>
<td>Areal aspect</td>
<td>(Rc = 4[A/P^2] (A = ) Area of watershed, (P = ) Perimeter of watershed</td>
<td>Miller, 1953</td>
<td>Unit less</td>
<td>0.218-0.707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Elongation ratio (Re)</td>
<td>Areal aspect</td>
<td>(2\sqrt{A/Lb} = ) Area of watershed, (Lb = ) Length of watershed</td>
<td>Schumm, 1956</td>
<td>Unit less</td>
<td>0.468-0.846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Relief Ratio (Rr)</td>
<td>Relief aspect</td>
<td>(Rr = Bh/Lb) (Bh = ) Basin relief, (Lb = ) Basin length</td>
<td>Schumm, 1956</td>
<td>Enumerative</td>
<td>0.023-0.302</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
group, Daling series rock type and Permian Damuda series that rests along a thin belt, mostly extending in an E-W direction. The Darjeeling group of metamorphic rocks consists of carbonaceous mica-schists, granitiferous mica-schists, golden-silvery mica schists and coarse grained gneiss of various resistances. These rocks are deeply weathered and having high density of fractures. During rainy season water percolates through the exposed fractures which reduce the cohesive strength of the soil.

**METHODOLOGY**

The present study is basically based on morphometric analysis of Balason river basin. To assess the morphometric conditions, SRTM Dem [30m resolution], Survey of India [SOI] toposheets and geological maps were used. The drainage network was initially derived from SOI toposheets78A/4, 78A/8, 78B/1, 78B/5 and 78B/6 [Scale 1:50000] and later updated using Google Earth. The sub-watersheds boundaries were demarcated on the basis of slope, elevation, 3D view and drainage flow directions and then the basin was divided into 18 sub-watersheds. To find out the sub-watersheds which are more unstable on the basis of slope instability, two major steps have been considered i.e. morphometric parameters calculation and preparation of spatial data layers and sub-watersheds wise slope instability analysis and prioritization using compound ranking method [Figure 2].

**Morphometric parameters calculation and preparation of spatial data layers**

The morphometric parameters, such as bifurcation ratio (Rb), length of overland flow (Lof), drainage density (Dd), stream frequency (Fs), texture ratio (Rt), drainage texture (Td), compactness coefficient (Cc), constant of channel maintenance (Ccm), shape factor/ basin shape (Sf), form factor (Ff), circularity ratio (Rc), elongation ratio (Re) and relief ratio (Rr), were computed using standard methods and formulae and prepared data layers in GIS [Table 1].
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Table 2. Results of some of the morphometric parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Watershed no.</th>
<th>Basin area(A) in km²</th>
<th>Basin Perimeter (P) in km</th>
<th>No. of first order stream</th>
<th>Total stream length</th>
<th>Total number of stream</th>
<th>Drainage density in km²</th>
<th>Drainage frequency in no. of stream/ km²</th>
<th>Texture ratio in no. of first order stream/ km</th>
<th>Drainage texture in no. of stream/Km</th>
<th>Constant channel maintenance</th>
<th>Length of overland flow</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SW 1</td>
<td>54.21</td>
<td>36.40</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>264.95</td>
<td>592</td>
<td>4.887</td>
<td>10.920</td>
<td>12.143</td>
<td>16.264</td>
<td>0.205</td>
<td>0.102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW 2</td>
<td>13.28</td>
<td>16.55</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>52.88</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>3.720</td>
<td>8.835</td>
<td>14.720</td>
<td>19.651</td>
<td>0.297</td>
<td>0.149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW 3</td>
<td>10.47</td>
<td>14.19</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>52.27</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>4.992</td>
<td>14.804</td>
<td>20.250</td>
<td>26.076</td>
<td>0.320</td>
<td>0.160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW 4</td>
<td>5.69</td>
<td>10.62</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>37.20</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>6.538</td>
<td>23.023</td>
<td>27.530</td>
<td>35.284</td>
<td>0.368</td>
<td>0.184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW 5</td>
<td>14.84</td>
<td>18.87</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>106.95</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>7.207</td>
<td>29.447</td>
<td>35.690</td>
<td>44.234</td>
<td>0.383</td>
<td>0.201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW 6</td>
<td>28.96</td>
<td>24.17</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>143.06</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>4.940</td>
<td>12.880</td>
<td>14.950</td>
<td>19.020</td>
<td>0.320</td>
<td>0.160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW 7</td>
<td>24.44</td>
<td>37.52</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>93.23</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>3.815</td>
<td>7.610</td>
<td>9.180</td>
<td>11.470</td>
<td>0.301</td>
<td>0.151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW 8</td>
<td>12.79</td>
<td>19.56</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>76.56</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>5.986</td>
<td>14.934</td>
<td>17.950</td>
<td>22.970</td>
<td>0.342</td>
<td>0.183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW 9</td>
<td>29.86</td>
<td>27.00</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>188.60</td>
<td>597</td>
<td>6.316</td>
<td>19.993</td>
<td>23.993</td>
<td>30.093</td>
<td>0.383</td>
<td>0.201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW 10</td>
<td>11.25</td>
<td>16.77</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>39.31</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>3.494</td>
<td>7.467</td>
<td>8.970</td>
<td>11.470</td>
<td>0.301</td>
<td>0.151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW 11</td>
<td>20.50</td>
<td>25.63</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>74.34</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>3.626</td>
<td>7.145</td>
<td>8.620</td>
<td>10.920</td>
<td>0.342</td>
<td>0.183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW 12</td>
<td>7.69</td>
<td>11.69</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>28.04</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>3.646</td>
<td>7.672</td>
<td>9.180</td>
<td>11.470</td>
<td>0.301</td>
<td>0.151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW 13</td>
<td>18.67</td>
<td>29.18</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>64.93</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>3.478</td>
<td>9.802</td>
<td>11.370</td>
<td>14.270</td>
<td>0.342</td>
<td>0.183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW 14</td>
<td>19.31</td>
<td>18.60</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>37.04</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.918</td>
<td>2.279</td>
<td>2.670</td>
<td>3.470</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW 15</td>
<td>27.57</td>
<td>29.96</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>100.93</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>3.661</td>
<td>9.358</td>
<td>11.370</td>
<td>14.270</td>
<td>0.342</td>
<td>0.183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW 16</td>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>19.70</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>53.93</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>3.852</td>
<td>10.786</td>
<td>12.980</td>
<td>16.780</td>
<td>0.383</td>
<td>0.201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW 17</td>
<td>6.74</td>
<td>12.35</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>28.59</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>4.242</td>
<td>12.315</td>
<td>15.075</td>
<td>19.875</td>
<td>0.383</td>
<td>0.201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW 18</td>
<td>58.18</td>
<td>57.65</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>33.94</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.583</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.857</td>
<td>0.428</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bifurcation ratio (Rb)

Rb is an index of reliefs and dissections (Horton, 1945). It is a dimensionless property that expresses the ratio of the number of streams of a given order to the number of streams of the next higher order (Horton, 1932, 1945; Schumm, 1956). In different environmental conditions, as well as different regions, Rb values show only a little variation, except where very strong geological control dominates (Stahler, 1957) and morphometric control of the basin itself (Singh et al., 1984). Lower Rb values are the result of structurally less disturbed watershed without any distortion in drainage pattern (Javed et al., 2009, Magesh et al., 2012) and are attributed to the relatively gentle slope (Panda and Nagarajan 2013). In this study, Weighted Mean Bifurcation Ratio (Rbwm) was considered which obtained by multiplying the bifurcation ratio for each successive pair of orders by the total numbers of streams involved in the ratio and taking the mean of the sum of these values.

Length of overland flow (Lof)

Lof is one of the most independent variables affecting both the hydrologic and physiographic development of drainage basin (Sharma and Padmaja, 1982). It refers to the length of the run-of the rainwater on the ground surface, before it localized into definite channels and considered length of overland flow to be about half the distance between stream channels and taken it to be roughly half the reciprocal of the drainage density (Horton, 1945). Length of overland flow is inversely relates with the average channel slope (Patel et al., 2013).

Drainage density (Dd)

It indicates the closeness of spacing between channels (Javed et al., 2009) and is a measure of the stream length per unit area in a region of watershed (Horton, 1932 and 1945; Stahler 1952; Melton, 1958); thus ‘Dd’ has units of the reciprocal of length (Patel et al., 2013). Structures, relief history (Pareta and Pareta, 2012), resistance to weathering, permeability of rock formation, climate, and vegetation etc. are the important controlling factors of drainage density (Javed et al., 2009). ‘Dd’ is helpful to study hydrologic response to rainfall events (Melton, 1957; Gupta and Srivastava, 2010), landscape dissection, runoff potential, infiltration capacity, climatic condition and vegetation cover of the basin (Patil and Mali, 2013). Singh (2010) divided drainage density into five categories i.e. (i) very low (ii) low (iii) moderate (iv) high (v) very high.
Stream frequency ($F_s$)

The word ‘stream frequency’ introduced by Horton refers to the total number of stream segments of all orders per unit area [Horton, 1932, 1945]. It has the positive correlation with ‘$D_d$’, indicating the increase in stream frequency with respect to increase density (Javed et al., 2009, Patel et al., 2013, Parveen, 2012). ‘$F_s$’ too can be classified into five categories i.e. very poor, poor, moderate, high and very high (Singh 2010).

Texture ratio ($R_t$)

Texture ratio is an important factor in the drainage morphometric analysis, which depends on the underlying lithology, infiltration capacity and relief aspects of the
terrain and can be defined as the ratio between first order stream streams and perimeter of the basin (Schumm, 1956).

### Drainage texture (Td)

Drainage texture is an important geomorphic concept which means that the relative spacing of drainage lines (Smith, 1950). Horton (1945) defined drainage texture as the total number of stream segments of all orders per perimeter of the area. The drainage density and drainage frequency have been collectively defined as drainage texture (Tolessa and Rao, 2013). According to Singh (2010), drainage texture refers to the relative spacing of streams per unit length in grid squares. It depends on the underlying lithology, infiltration capacity and relief aspects of the terrain. Smith (1950) and Singh (2010) classified drainage texture into five different textures i.e. very coarse (<2), coarse (2-4), moderate (4-6), fine (6-8) and very fine (>8).

### Compactness coefficient (Cc)

Cc can be defined as the ratio of perimeter of watershed to circumference of circular area, which equals the area of the watershed (Gravelius, 1914) and also known as Gravelius Index [GI]. A circular basin will yield the shortest time of concentration before peak flow occurs in the basin, thus it is most hazardous from a drainage point of view (Javed et al., 2009; NookaRatnam et al., 2005). It is dependent only on slope. This parameter is inversely related with the elongation ratio.

### Constant of channel maintenance (Ccm)

Constant of channel maintenance is an important property of landforms and it can be defined as the inverse of drainage density (Schumm, 1956). It means that the number of square km or kms of basin surface required developing and sustaining of a channel of 1 km long. According to Strahler (1957) it indicates the relative size of landforms units in a drainage basin and has a specific genetic connotation. The values of Ccm are divided into five classes i.e. very high, high, moderate, low and very low.

### Shape factor/ basin shape (Sf)

Sf is the ratio of the square of the basin length to area of the basin (Horton, 1932, 1945) and inversely related with form factor. Lower value of Sf reveals peaked flood discharge, while higher value indicates weaker flood discharge periods.

### Form factor (Ff)

According to Horton (1932, 1945) form factor may be defined as the ratio of basin area to square of the basin length. For a perfectly circular watershed, the value of form factor would always be less than .754 (Chopra et al., 2005; Javed et al., 2009). Smaller the value of form factor, more elongated will be the basin.

---

**Table 3. Calculation table for relative relief and relief ratio**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compactness Coefficient (Cc)</th>
<th>Basin Shape (Sb)</th>
<th>Longest Axis in km</th>
<th>Highest Elevation [m]</th>
<th>Lowest Elevation [m]</th>
<th>Relative Relief (rr)</th>
<th>Relief Ratio (Rr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>13.33</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>1720</td>
<td>0.129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>6.13</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>1280</td>
<td>0.209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>1080</td>
<td>1220</td>
<td>0.248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>2320</td>
<td>1080</td>
<td>1240</td>
<td>0.302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>7.18</td>
<td>2460</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>1520</td>
<td>0.212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>9.22</td>
<td>2613</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>1793</td>
<td>0.194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>9.16</td>
<td>1720</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>1180</td>
<td>0.129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>5.56</td>
<td>8.43</td>
<td>2420</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>1760</td>
<td>0.209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>9.21</td>
<td>2420</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>1880</td>
<td>0.204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>5.76</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>1480</td>
<td>0.257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>6.04</td>
<td>1680</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>1280</td>
<td>0.212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>0.221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>5.80</td>
<td>10.41</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1720</td>
<td>0.165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>7.61</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>0.102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>10.52</td>
<td>1240</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1040</td>
<td>0.099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>8.32</td>
<td>1820</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>0.192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>1120</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>0.174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>17.10</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Circularity ratio ($R_c$)

Quantitative dimensionless circularity ratio is used for the outline form of a watershed [Miller, 1953; Stahler, 1964]. It can be defined as the ratio of the area of a basin to the area of a circle, having the same circumference as the perimeter of the basin [Miller, 1953]. It depends on the length and frequency of streams, geological structures, land use/land cover, climate and slope of the basin. $R_c$ varies from 0 (a line) to 1 (a circle).

Elongation ratio ($R_e$)

Elongation ratio is defined as the ratio of diameter of a circle of the same area as the basin to the maximum basin length [Schumm, 1956]. This ratio runs between 0.6 and
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1.0 over a wide variety of climatic and geologic types and it can be classified into five categories i.e. circular (0.9-1.0), oval (0.8-0.9), less elongated (0.7-0.8), elongated (0.5-0.7) and more elongated (<0.5) (Strahler, 1964). The value of $Re$ varies from 0 (highly elongated shape) to 1 (circular shape).

**Relief ratio ($Rr$)**

The relief ratio may be defined as the ratio between the total relief of a basin and the longest dimension of the basin parallel to the main drainage line (Schumm, 1956). Total relief is the difference in the elevation between the highest point of a watershed and the lowest point on the valley floor (Pareta and Pareta, 2012).

**Sub-watersheds wise slope instability analysis and prioritization using compound ranking method**

The morphometric parameters i.e. bifurcation ratio ($Rb$), drainage density ($Dd$), stream frequency ($Fs$), texture ratio...
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The detailed results of linear aspects like weighted mean bifurcation ratio (Rbwm) and length of overland flow (Lof) is shown in Table 6. The values of Rbwm was used to classify the watershed into five categories i.e. very low, low, moderate, high and very high. SW 1, SW 2, SW 3, SW 5, and SW 7, are dominated by very high Rbwm value ranging from 3.78-4.10 due to low permeability and the presence of joints/fractures, observed in the exposed rocks helping first order streams, while SW 18 registered with very low Rbwm of 1.60, indicating less disturbed watersheds (Figure 3a). Low value of Lof indicates the basin was structurally complex and vice versa (Table 2). The calculated values of Lof are classified into five classes i.e. very high, high, moderate, low and very low. Very high (0.262 - 0.857) and very low (0.069 - 0.084) Lof were found for SW 18 and SW 4, SW 5, SW 9 respectively (Figure 3b). Low value of Lof indicates the basin was structurally complex and vice versa (Table 2). The calculated values of Lof are classified into five classes i.e. very low, high, moderate, low and very low. Very high (0.262 - 0.857) and very low (0.069 - 0.084) Lof were found for SW 18 and SW 4, SW 5, SW 9 respectively (Figure 3b).

Areal aspects

The results of areal aspects like drainage density (Dd), drainage frequency (Fs), texture ratio (Rt), drainage texture (Td), compactness coefficient (Cc), constant of channel maintenance (Ccm), shape factor (Sf), form factor (Ff), circularity ratio (Rc) and elongation ratio (Re) were given in Table 6. Dd in all the sub-watersheds range from 0.58

Table 4. Calculation table for form factor, circulatory ratio and elongation ratio

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>54.21</td>
<td>13.33</td>
<td>36.40</td>
<td>0.305</td>
<td>0.514</td>
<td>0.623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>13.28</td>
<td>6.13</td>
<td>16.55</td>
<td>0.353</td>
<td>0.609</td>
<td>0.671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10.47</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td>14.19</td>
<td>0.433</td>
<td>0.653</td>
<td>0.742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.69</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>10.62</td>
<td>0.337</td>
<td>0.634</td>
<td>0.655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>14.84</td>
<td>7.18</td>
<td>18.87</td>
<td>0.288</td>
<td>0.523</td>
<td>0.605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>28.96</td>
<td>9.22</td>
<td>24.17</td>
<td>0.341</td>
<td>0.623</td>
<td>0.659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>24.44</td>
<td>9.16</td>
<td>37.52</td>
<td>0.291</td>
<td>0.218</td>
<td>0.609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>12.79</td>
<td>8.43</td>
<td>19.56</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td>0.420</td>
<td>0.479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>29.86</td>
<td>9.21</td>
<td>27.00</td>
<td>0.352</td>
<td>0.514</td>
<td>0.669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>11.25</td>
<td>5.76</td>
<td>16.77</td>
<td>0.339</td>
<td>0.502</td>
<td>0.657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>20.50</td>
<td>6.04</td>
<td>25.63</td>
<td>0.562</td>
<td>0.392</td>
<td>0.846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>7.69</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>11.69</td>
<td>0.392</td>
<td>0.707</td>
<td>0.706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>18.67</td>
<td>10.41</td>
<td>29.18</td>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>0.275</td>
<td>0.468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>19.31</td>
<td>7.61</td>
<td>18.60</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.701</td>
<td>0.652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>27.57</td>
<td>10.52</td>
<td>29.96</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>0.386</td>
<td>0.563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>8.32</td>
<td>19.70</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>0.453</td>
<td>0.507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>6.74</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>12.35</td>
<td>0.252</td>
<td>0.555</td>
<td>0.567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>58.18</td>
<td>17.10</td>
<td>57.65</td>
<td>0.199</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>0.503</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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![Sub-watershed-wise data layer of relief ratio.](image)

**Figure 6.** Sub-watershed-wise data layer of relief ratio.

**Table 5.** Logic behind ranking of 18 sub-watersheds in relation to slope instability, based on morphometric parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Morphometric parameters</th>
<th>Rank at 18 point scale</th>
<th>Logic applied</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bifurcation Ratio (Rb)</td>
<td>1 rank to sub-watershed which has the highest Bifurcation Ratio</td>
<td>Higher the Bifurcation Ratio value greater the slope instability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of Overland Flow (LoI)</td>
<td>1 rank at the highest Length of Overland Flow</td>
<td>Higher the Length of Overland value, the sub-watershed become more instable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drainage Density (Dd)</td>
<td>1 rank at the sub-watershed having highest Drainage Density</td>
<td>The sub-watershed become more instable where the Drainage Density value is maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stream Frequency (Fs)</td>
<td>1 rank at the highest Stream Frequency</td>
<td>Higher Stream Frequency value leads more instability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texture Ratio (Rt)</td>
<td>1 rank at the maximum Texture Ratio</td>
<td>Texture Ratio value directly related to slope instability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drainage Texture (Td)</td>
<td>1 rank at the largest drainage texture</td>
<td>Slope become More instable where Drainage Texture value is higher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compactness Coefficient (Cc)</td>
<td>1 rank at the lowest Compactness Coefficient</td>
<td>Slope instability is negatively correlated with Compactness Coefficient value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant of Channel Maintenance (Ccm)</td>
<td>1 rank at the lowest Constant of Channel Maintenance</td>
<td>Higher the Constant of Channel Maintenance value lower the slope instability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shape Factor/ Basin Shape (Sf)</td>
<td>1 rank at the lowest Shape Factor</td>
<td>Higher Shape Factor value represents lesser slope instability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form Factor (Ff)</td>
<td>1 rank at the lowest Form Factor</td>
<td>Slope instability is more in lesser Form Factor value area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circularity Ratio (Rc)</td>
<td>1 rank at the lowest Circularity Ratio</td>
<td>Basin Circularity Ratio value negatively related to slope instability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elongation Ratio (Re)</td>
<td>1 rank at the lowest elongation ratio</td>
<td>Lower the value of elongation ratio indicates greater slope instability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relief Ratio (Rr)</td>
<td>1 rank at the highest Relief Ratio</td>
<td>Higher value indicates more slope instability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 6. Morphometric parameter wise sub-watersheds that fall in different categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Sub-Watersheds fall in different category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drainage Density</td>
<td>SW 10, SW 11, SW 12, SW 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stream Frequency</td>
<td>SW 2, SW 7, SW 16, SW 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bifurcation Ratio</td>
<td>SW 1, SW 13, SW 15, SW 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of Overland Flow</td>
<td>SW 2, SW 7, SW 10, SW 11, SW 12, SW 14, SW 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant of Channel Maintenance</td>
<td>SW 2, SW 7, SW 10, SW 11, SW 12, SW 13, SW 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form Factor</td>
<td>SW 1, SW 3, SW 6, SW 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circularity Ratio</td>
<td>SW 15, SW 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shape Factor</td>
<td>SW 1, SW 5, SW 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compactness Coefficient</td>
<td>SW 2, SW 3, SW 4, SW 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relief Ratio</td>
<td>SW 2, SW 3, SW 4, SW 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texture Ratio</td>
<td>SW 7, SW 10, SW 11, SW 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drainage Texture</td>
<td>SW 7, SW 10, SW 11, SW 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More Elongated</td>
<td>SW 1, SW 7, SW 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elongation Ratio</td>
<td>SW 1, SW 2, SW 4, SW 5, SW 6, SW 7, SW 9, SW 10, SW 15, SW 16, SW 17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

to 7.21 Km/Sq. km. (Table 2). The low values of Dd are associated with regions, having highly permeable sub-soil materials under dense vegetation cover and low relief while high values of Dd indicate regions of weak or impermeable subsurface materials, sparse vegetation and mountainous relief. In the Balason river basin, very low Dd were found in SW14 and SW18 (0.583 - 1.918) and on the other hand, very high Dd regions were found in SW 4, SW 5, SW 8 and SW 9 (4.993 - 7.207), because of impermeable subsurface materials and high relief (Figure 3c). SW 4, SW 5 and SW 9 (14.935 - 29.447) have very high Fs, because of the presence of ridges on both sides of the valley, high relief resistant/ low conducting sub surface material and indicate large number of stream availability, high runoff value, low infiltration capacity while, very poor was found in SW 14, SW 18 (0.069- 2.279), indicating low relief, highly permeable subsurface material, low runoff value and small number of stream availability [Table 2 and Figure 3d]. The Rt were calculated for the 18 sub-watersheds [Table 2] and classified into five classes i.e. very coarse, coarse, moderate, fine and very fine (Figure 4a). Very coarse texture ratio was found in SW 14 and SW 18 (<2) indicating permeable subsurface while very fine was in SW 1, SW 3, SW 4, SW 5, SW 6 and SW 9 (>8), representing impermeable subsurface. In the same way, the Td was very coarse in SW 18(<2) revealing permeable surface, while very fine in SW 1, SW 3, SW 4, SW 5, SW 6, SW 8, SW 9 and SW15 (>8), indicating impermeable subsurface material [Table 2 and Figure 4b]. Lower value of Cc reveals less erosion while higher value indicates high erosion (Table 3). SW12 and SW 14 are associated with very low Cc (1.198 - 1.203), and on the other hand, SW 7, SW 13, SW 18 were depicted with high
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Cc [1.622- 2.156] (Figure 4c). The lower value of Ccm indicates that the area is under the influence of structural disturbances, having high runoff and low permeability. In this study, the value of Ccm was very high in SW 18 (0.522 - 1.714) and very low in SW4, SW 5, SW 8 and SW 9 (0.139- 0.167) (Table 2 and Figure 4d). SW 3, SW 11 fall in the category of very low Sf [1.780- 2.312] that would indicate peaked flood discharge, while SW 8, SW 13, SW 16 and SW 18 have the very high shape factor [4.015 - 5.804], revealing weaker flood discharge periods (Table 3 and Figure 5a). The watershed with high Ff has high peak flows of shorter duration and vice versa (Table 4). In present study, the Basin was classified into five parts based on form factors i.e. very high, high, moderate, low and very low. Value of form factor obtained very low for watershed SW 8, SW13, SW 16 and SW 18 (0.172- 0.202) while very high for watershed SW 11 (0.434 - 0.562) (Figure 5b).

Based on Rc value, the Balason River basin can be classified into five category i.e. very high, high, moderate, low and very low. If the value was low then the discharge will be slow as compared to the others and so the possibility of erosion will be less. Very high value of Rc was found in SW 12 and SW 14 (0.654 - 0.707), while very low value observed in SW 7, SW 13 and SW 18 (0.218 - 0.275) (Table 4 and Figure 5c). The oval shape sub-watershed was found in SW 11 (0.8- 0.9) and more elongated shape in SW8 and SW13 (<0.5) (Table 4 and Figure 5d).

Relief aspects

It measures the overall steepness of the watershed. Low values of Rr indicates lower slope and minimum intensity of erosion process while high value reveals high slope, hilly region and maximum intensity of erosion process (Table 3).

Table 7. Details of prioritized zones of Balason river basin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prioritized zone</th>
<th>Sub-basins fall in this category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very high</td>
<td>SW 4, SW 5, SW 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>SW 1, SW 3, SW 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>SW 2, SW 6, SW 15, SW 16, SW 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>SW 7, SW 10, SW 11, SW 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very low</td>
<td>SW 12, SW 14, SW 18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7. Sub-watershed prioritization. (a) Categorized compound score and prioritization, (b) Individual sub-watershed prioritization.
On the basis of morphometric analysis, SW 4, SW 5 and SW 10 (Table 6 and Figure 6).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Watershed Id.</th>
<th>Rbwm</th>
<th>Dd</th>
<th>Fs</th>
<th>Td</th>
<th>Rt</th>
<th>Lof</th>
<th>Rr</th>
<th>Cc</th>
<th>Ccm</th>
<th>Sb</th>
<th>Ff</th>
<th>Rc</th>
<th>Re</th>
<th>Composite score</th>
<th>Compound score</th>
<th>Instability rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SW 1</td>
<td>4.016</td>
<td>8.87</td>
<td>10.92</td>
<td>16.246</td>
<td>12.143</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>0.129</td>
<td>1.405</td>
<td>2.025</td>
<td>3.278</td>
<td>0.305</td>
<td>0.514</td>
<td>0.623</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>7.92</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW 2</td>
<td>4.012</td>
<td>3.982</td>
<td>8.283</td>
<td>6.647</td>
<td>4.955</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>0.209</td>
<td>1.290</td>
<td>2.210</td>
<td>2.152</td>
<td>0.353</td>
<td>0.655</td>
<td>0.742</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>7.69</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW 3</td>
<td>4.103</td>
<td>4.992</td>
<td>14.804</td>
<td>10.923</td>
<td>8.245</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.248</td>
<td>1.246</td>
<td>2.200</td>
<td>2.132</td>
<td>0.433</td>
<td>0.653</td>
<td>0.742</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>7.69</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW 4</td>
<td>3.532</td>
<td>6.538</td>
<td>23.023</td>
<td>12.335</td>
<td>8.51</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td>1.265</td>
<td>1.153</td>
<td>2.069</td>
<td>0.337</td>
<td>0.643</td>
<td>0.655</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>7.38</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW 5</td>
<td>4.002</td>
<td>7.207</td>
<td>29.447</td>
<td>23.158</td>
<td>16.958</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.212</td>
<td>1.392</td>
<td>2.120</td>
<td>2.022</td>
<td>0.341</td>
<td>0.523</td>
<td>0.605</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>6.77</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW 6</td>
<td>3.454</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>12.88</td>
<td>15.432</td>
<td>10.923</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>1.276</td>
<td>2.156</td>
<td>2.062</td>
<td>0.291</td>
<td>0.428</td>
<td>0.599</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>10.62</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW 7</td>
<td>3.969</td>
<td>3.815</td>
<td>7.61</td>
<td>4.957</td>
<td>3.678</td>
<td>0.131</td>
<td>0.292</td>
<td>1.554</td>
<td>1.847</td>
<td>1.675</td>
<td>0.203</td>
<td>0.352</td>
<td>0.514</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>7.69</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW 8</td>
<td>3.783</td>
<td>5.986</td>
<td>14.934</td>
<td>9.765</td>
<td>7.055</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>0.209</td>
<td>1.254</td>
<td>1.567</td>
<td>3.556</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td>0.420</td>
<td>0.479</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>7.31</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW 9</td>
<td>3.639</td>
<td>6.316</td>
<td>19.993</td>
<td>22.111</td>
<td>15.963</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>0.204</td>
<td>1.404</td>
<td>1.543</td>
<td>2.841</td>
<td>0.352</td>
<td>0.514</td>
<td>0.669</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>7.69</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW 10</td>
<td>3.539</td>
<td>3.494</td>
<td>7.457</td>
<td>5.009</td>
<td>5.378</td>
<td>0.143</td>
<td>0.257</td>
<td>1.420</td>
<td>2.856</td>
<td>2.949</td>
<td>0.339</td>
<td>0.508</td>
<td>0.657</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>11.08</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW 11</td>
<td>3.771</td>
<td>3.626</td>
<td>7.415</td>
<td>5.931</td>
<td>4.253</td>
<td>0.138</td>
<td>0.212</td>
<td>1.608</td>
<td>2.787</td>
<td>1.780</td>
<td>0.562</td>
<td>0.395</td>
<td>0.846</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>11.08</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW 12</td>
<td>3.636</td>
<td>3.646</td>
<td>7.672</td>
<td>5.047</td>
<td>3.678</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>1.198</td>
<td>2.747</td>
<td>2.552</td>
<td>0.392</td>
<td>0.707</td>
<td>0.706</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>11.77</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW 13</td>
<td>3.432</td>
<td>3.478</td>
<td>9.802</td>
<td>6.271</td>
<td>4.421</td>
<td>0.144</td>
<td>0.165</td>
<td>1.919</td>
<td>2.888</td>
<td>5.804</td>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>0.275</td>
<td>0.468</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>10.54</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW 14</td>
<td>3.668</td>
<td>1.918</td>
<td>2.279</td>
<td>2.366</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>0.261</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>2.032</td>
<td>0.521</td>
<td>2.999</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.701</td>
<td>0.652</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>12.23</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW 15</td>
<td>3.647</td>
<td>3.661</td>
<td>9.358</td>
<td>8.611</td>
<td>6.175</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>1.621</td>
<td>2.738</td>
<td>4.014</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>0.386</td>
<td>0.563</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>9.77</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW 16</td>
<td>3.011</td>
<td>3.585</td>
<td>10.786</td>
<td>7.665</td>
<td>5.129</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>1.496</td>
<td>2.600</td>
<td>4.944</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>0.453</td>
<td>0.507</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>8.92</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW 17</td>
<td>3.445</td>
<td>4.242</td>
<td>12.315</td>
<td>6.721</td>
<td>4.777</td>
<td>0.118</td>
<td>0.174</td>
<td>1.351</td>
<td>2.236</td>
<td>3.966</td>
<td>0.252</td>
<td>0.555</td>
<td>0.567</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>9.69</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW 18</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.583</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.857</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>2.147</td>
<td>1.714</td>
<td>5.026</td>
<td>0.199</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>0.503</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>12.92</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Rr for watersheds varies from 0.023-0.302. Very low Rr was found in SW 18 and very high Rr for SW 3, SW 4 and SW 10 (Table 6 and Figure 6).

**Prioritization of sub-watersheds**

On the basis of morphometric analysis, SW 4, SW 5 and SW 8 fall in the high priority zone, while SW 12, SW 14 and SW 18 fall in the very low category (Table 7 and Figure 7a). Sub-watershed wise highest priority obtained by SW 5 followed by SW 8, SW 4, SW3, SW 9, SW 1, SW 6, SW 16, SW 2, SW 17, SW 15, SW 13, SW 7, SW 10, SW 11, SW 12, SW 14, and SW 18 (Table 8 and Figure 7b).

**CONCLUSION**

Watershed prioritization is considered as one of the most important aspects of planning, development and management programmes for the developing countries like India. The morphometric parameters, evaluated using GIS, have helped to understand the existing geomorphic process, operating within the framework of drainage basin which helps prioritization of watershed development. Balason is a seventh order basin. The 13 morphometric were used for this study. It can realistically be assumed that watersheds which attained low priority for soil conservation, are likely to have a high level of environment quality and hence,
the high priority watersheds should be considered for protection. Therefore, immediate attention towards soil conservation measures is required in these sub-watersheds, to conserve land for future erosion. These sub-watersheds may also be applicable in land use planning, in conservation of biodiversity, natural resources and locating water harvesting structure.
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ABSTRACT
The NIOT (National Institute of Ocean Technology) Chennai deployed moored buoys attached with sensors to collect subsurface oceanographic parameters on real-time basis at selected locations in Eastern Arabian Sea and Bay of Bengal and named it as the OMNI (Ocean Moored Bouy Network for Northern Indian Ocean) bouy system. This paper presents the usefulness of OMNI Bouy programme, in terms of technical advancements and met-ocean data quality and future strategies related to the programme.
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INTRODUCTION
The National Institute of Ocean Technology [NIOT], Chennai, the nodal agency for ocean technology, deployed instrumented moored buoys in Eastern Arabian Sea and selected locations of the Bay of Bengal since 1997, to provide continuous time-series measurements of surface meteorological and oceanographic parameters at such locations. In the recent years, several studies have shown the important role of variability of heat storage in the near-surface layers on the intra-seasonal and inter-annual evolution of monsoons and cyclones. Hence, a strong need was felt to augment some of these buoys with subsurface temperature, salinity and current sensors to continuously record the temporal evolution of their vertical structures. Under a new initiative, NIOT has deployed six moored buoys, attached with sensors, to collect subsurface oceanographic parameters on real-time basis at selected locations. These are coded as the OMNI (Ocean Moored buoy Network for Northern Indian Ocean) buoy system. The OMNI buoys are Moored Buoy Network in Northern Indian Ocean having the capability to Measure Ocean current, conductivity and temperature up to 500 m depth and transmit hourly data through satellite. Moreover, these buoys are also equipped with radiation sensors and rain gauges too [Venkatesan et al., 2013].

SIGNIFICANCE
The primary objective of this mooring is to understand the complex near surface thermohaline structure in the northern Bay of Bengal and understand the phenomenon of the mean seasonal cycle of the Indian monsoon, the intra-seasonal to intra-decadal oscillations of air-sea interactions, trends that are related to tropical cyclones and the annual cycle balance in the exchange of waters between the two limbs of North Indian Ocean, i.e. the Arabian Sea and the Bay of Bengal.

SYSTEM DESCRIPTION
The moored buoys are floating platforms, which consist of discuss shaped buoys, 3 m in diameter, with an aluminium instrument vessel to which sensors are attached for measuring meteorological parameters. These buoys are equipped with GPS, beacon light and satellite transceiver. The OMNI buoys are powered by lithium batteries and equipped with data acquisition and processing unit. The sensors are programmed to acquire data samples of specific duration of time and frequency. The performance of the data buoy depends upon the type of buoy, location and the water depth. The data buoy carries out sampling process for 30 minutes and at the end of sampling, the averaged data are transmitted to NIOT (National Institute of Ocean Technology) Chennai, every 3 hours, via IMMARSAT satellite telemetry [Venkatesan et al., 2013]. The data is placed in the global telecommunication system for weather and forecasting purposes. The data are disseminated to ESSO INCOIS [Indian National Centre for Ocean Information Services] after necessary quality control checks.
The meteorological sensors

They are assembled and fitted on the top of the buoy assembly. The meteorological sensors fixed on the sensor arm include air temperature, relative humidity, wind speed and direction at 3 m amsl. The Radiation and rainfall sensors are placed on the sensor arm at 2 m and 1m amsl respectively. The surface temperature and conductivity (to yield salinity) are measured from the bouy at a nominal depth of 1m. Subsurface CT (conductivity temperature) sensors are mounted on the inductive cable of the mooring system to measure temperature and conductivity at 10 different levels. The Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler (ADCP) is attached to the inline mooring for measuring subsurface currents. The depth at which the moorings are anchored to the seabed is 5000 m. (http://www.incois.gov.in/documents/ITCOocean/Suprit_MBD.pdf). The buoy system rely on lithium battery, using compact low power satellite communication system.

Parameters measured by OMNI Bouy system

The OMNI Bouys [Figure 1] measure the following meteorological and oceanographic parameters:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Sensor Type</th>
<th>Resolution</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Data Recorded</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wind Speed</td>
<td>Cup Anaemometer</td>
<td>01.0 m/s</td>
<td>±2%</td>
<td>0–35 m/s</td>
<td>1 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind Direction</td>
<td>Vane+ flux gate compass</td>
<td>0.1°</td>
<td>1.5– 4° ±</td>
<td>0–35°–30–</td>
<td>1 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air temperature</td>
<td>Pt/100 RTD</td>
<td>0.0015°C</td>
<td>0.3°C</td>
<td>70°C</td>
<td>1 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative humidity</td>
<td>Capacitance</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>± 1%</td>
<td>0–100% RH</td>
<td>1 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air pressure</td>
<td>Pressure transducer</td>
<td>0.01 hPa</td>
<td>± 0.15 hPa</td>
<td>500–1100 hPa</td>
<td>1 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainfall</td>
<td>Capacitance</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td></td>
<td>0–50 mm</td>
<td>2min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downwelling long-Wave radiation</td>
<td>Pyrgeometer</td>
<td>1.27 W/m2</td>
<td>± 1 mm</td>
<td>0–700 W/m2</td>
<td>1 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downwelling Short wave radiation</td>
<td>Pyranometer</td>
<td>0.488 W/m2</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>0–2800 W/m2</td>
<td>1 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water temperature</td>
<td>Thermistor</td>
<td>T: 0.0001°C</td>
<td>0.002°C</td>
<td>–5–35°C</td>
<td>1 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conductivity</td>
<td>Conductivity cell</td>
<td>C: 0.0001mS/cm</td>
<td>0.002°C</td>
<td>0–70 mS/cm</td>
<td>1 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water pressure</td>
<td>Strain gauge</td>
<td>P: 0.002%</td>
<td>0.003mS/cm</td>
<td>0–70 mS/cm</td>
<td>1 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Directional wave Spectra</td>
<td>Accelerometer, angular ratesensor, magnetometer</td>
<td>Pitch and roll: &lt; 0.001°</td>
<td>Heave: 5 cm pitch and roll: 0.05° Heading: 1.2°</td>
<td>Heave: ± 50 m</td>
<td>Heading: ± 180°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocean current Profile</td>
<td>Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler</td>
<td>Velocity: 0.1 cm/s Dir: 0.01°</td>
<td>Velocity :± 5 mm/s Dir: ± 2°</td>
<td>0–256 cm/s</td>
<td>1 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single point</td>
<td>Doppler Volume Sampler</td>
<td>Velocity: 0.1 cm/s Dir: 0.01°</td>
<td>Velocity 1% Dir: ± 2°</td>
<td>0–600 cm/s</td>
<td>1 h</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Venkatesan et al., 2013)

Surface meteorological: Wind speed and direction, air temperature, air pressure, humidity, short wave radiation, incoming long wave radiation and precipitation.

Surface Ocean parameters: Sea surface temperature, conductivity, wave, current speed and direction

Sub surface parameters: Temperature and salinity at depths starting from 5 m, 10 m, 15 m, 20 m, 30 m, 50 m, 75 m, 100 m, 200 m and 500 m. Currents at the depth levels of 10 m, 20 m, 30 m, 50 m and 100 m. Details of sensors and measuring parameters are given in Table 1.

OMNI BOUY SYSTEM STRUCTURE

The OMNI Bouy consists of the upper mast fitted with to the top of the buoy assembly, to which various meteorological sensors are attached and the antennas are mounted. A keel with counterweight is mounted under the hull to prevent capsizing of the buoy. The instrumentation cylinder is attached in the middle of the buoy hull which contains all electronic modules, the power package and the wave sensors. There are 3 sections of the OMNI Bouy Structure [https://www.niot.res.in/uploads/Mooring%20Design.pdf]:

Table 1. Details of sensors used for measuring the aforesaid parameters in OMNI bouy system.
OMNI (Ocean Moored buoy Network for northern Indian Ocean) Buoy System—a critical component of ocean observational programme of ESSO (Earth System Science Organization), Ministry of Earth Sciences, Government of India

**Upper section**

The Upper section has an alloy long link chain and an induction cable of about 16 mm diameter and 500 m length and the sub-surface sensors are attached in it. The link chain of 5 m in length is connected at the keel frame. Diameter of the chain is about 19 mm. It also has to absorb the rotational energy of the mooring, induced by the buoy rotating around its axis. The link chain ends at the Accoustic Doppler Current Profiler (ADCP) frame and the inductive cable is attached to the ADCP frame and extends up to the nylon rope below. The inductive cable has a diameter of 16 mm and has a maximum breaking load of 9000 kg. This section is designed to protect the mooring from fish bite and to enable the safe deployment and retrieval of the buoys.

**Middle Section**

The Middle section has a nylon rope, having diameter 18 mm, Length 500 m, depths below 2500 m, 750 m and above 2500 m. This section gives S-shape to the mooring. During high current, the nylon rope stretches and keeps the buoy always surfaced. The length of the nylon rope is chosen carefully to provide sufficient stretch to the mooring line. The maximum breaking load of the nylon rope is 5500 kg and it can stretch to about 20% of its initial length, when stretched to its working load limit. The nylon rope is fitted to the trawl floats, which help to keep the mooring in position.

**Lower Section**

This section consists of a polypropylene rope of 18 mm diameter and 4000 m in length, besides an anchor chain of 16 mm diameter and 1m in length. The polypropylene rope has a working load limit of 5500 kg. The polypropylene rope can stretch up to 15% of its original length. The ground chain connects the polypropylene rope with the sinker having weight of 1900 kg. In order to avoid the rubbing of chain with the sinker weight, subsurface floats consisting of 3 glass spheres with net buoyancy 25.4 kg, are mounted on the link chain with shackles that keeps the chain in upright position. The sinker weight and the drag anchor of the mooring, keeps the buoy in its position. The acoustic release is used for the recovery of buoy system. The release will hold onto the anchor of a buoy system until it is commanded to release it by signal from ships etc. An acoustic release has the ability to both receive and transmit signals to a surface instrument.

**TECHNICAL ADVANCEMENTS AND DATA UTILITY**

The sensors used in the OMNI Bouys have been selected based on performance history of similar systems at sea and due recommendations of an national expert committee comprising of eminent meteorologists and ocean scientists. The sensor is chosen on the basis of its withstanding hostile weather conditions and data stability. High correlation has been observed during inter-comparsion of conductivity and temperature data of OMNI Bouy with in situ, ship based measurement [Mathew et al., 2016]. The analysis of OMNI buoy data have contributed in better prediction of monsoons and cyclones in the Bay of Bengal [Venkatesan et al., 2014]. Moreover, data collected from the OMNI Bouy system are utilized in the validation of remote sensing data. Validation of retrieved and corrected SST[K-SST] from Kalpana satellite has been carried out, using near simultaneous observations of NIO T moored buoy data in E. Arabian sea and Bay of Bengal regions. A significant improvement in Root Mean Square Deviation (RMSD) of K-SST with respect to buoy [1.50–1.02 K],

---

**Figure 1.** OMNI (Ocean Moored buoy Network for northern Indian Ocean) Buoy System [https://www.niot.res.in/index.php/node/index/129/](https://www.niot.res.in/index.php/node/index/129/)
has been observed with the use of near real-time water vapour fields of TMI (Shahi et al., 2011). Data from NIOT moored data buoy are also used in the validation of Ocean Generation Circulation Model (OGCM) and Coupled Ocean Atmospheric Model (Thompson et al., 2008). The bouy data available on surface waves and currents can be effectively used for optimum ship routing to save time and fuel costs. Specially designed mooring system with induction mooring cable, acoustic release and anchors are used to keep the system in position in deep sea bed, as mentioned before. The huge voluminous data generated through OMNI Bouy network require proper data management and to overcome the manual mode of data management quality control, Advanced Data Reception and Analysis System (ADDRESS), has further been developed, specially for data inventory, cruise and operations management (Venkatesan et al., 2015a).

**LIMITATIONS**

Maintenance of the OMNI bouy system is expensive, specially in view of the challenges posed by increase in vandalism and piracy in Arabian Sea and Bay of Bengal. The availability of ship time according to planned schedule, is another challenge to maintain the bouy network for its optimum performance. Uninterrupted data transmission

---

**Figure 2.** Mooring Configuration of the OMNI Bouy System (Venkatesan et al., 2013).
through satellites is another challenge. To overcome the problems of data reliability caused due to sensor fouling, anti fouling paint/anti fouling device is applied to bouy component (Venkatesan et al., 2015b).

FUTURE STRATEGIES

Permanent maritime security arrangements to be considered on priority basis to address the problem of vandalism and privacy. Specialised ships with deep sea mooring capabilities are required for retrieval and deployment operations to ensure high standard of bouy data quality (Venkatesan et al., 2016). There is a growing interest in continuous multidisciplinary observations of oceans from regional scale to global scale and thus, bio-geochemical sensors and bio-optical sensors are highly needed. Bio-geochemical sensors are needed to monitor causes and effects of global climate change and ocean’s capability to act as a sink for CO$_2$ and other green house gases and heat. Bio-optical sensors are required for measuring parameters to indicate occurrence of water turbidity, harmful algal blooms and near surface trapping of heat. The O-SCOPE (Ocean Systems for Chemical, Optical and Physical Experiment) project sponsored by the NOPP (National Oceanographic Partnership Program), USA have taken a leading role in development and testing of advanced interdisciplinary ocean sensors (Dickey and Moore, 2003). The Ocean Observing System (OOS) is presently collaborating with ESSO-NCAOR (National Centre for Antarctic and Ocean Research) in the design and deployment of surface and subsurface mooring systems in the southern Indian ocean, to collect data on various parameters such as ocean currents, temperature, partial pressure of CO$_2$ gas dissolved in water, dissolved oxygen etc to measure the quantity of sinking particulate organic material (Venkatesan et al., 2016).

CONCLUSIONS

The longstanding need for comprehensive and continuous measurements on surface met. Ocean parameters along with subsurface ocean measurements have been fulfilled with the deployment of OMNI Bouy Network. These bouy data are assimilated into models by various operational forecasting agencies all over the world, leading to improved forecasts. Moreover, the moored bouy data are used to validate the performance of ocean atmospheric and coupled models and provide various measurement of ocean surface parameters viz SST, wave height etc. to validate satellite measurements and numerical model outputs.
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